

Artefact	Feedback	Source	Decision
Logos	It is a pity that there is not any HCP or any other player than CSPs, Intel and MobileEdgeX on the signee list, hopefully that will change soon.	Bart van Kaathoven (Ericsson)	We all share this view and are working on it
PR	No DT statement. We are equal partners with same rights and obligations, so there should be a statement from every partner or from no one (except LF and GSMA).	Nathan Rader (DT)	Will be removed from PR
Onepager (& Presentation)	Regarding the onepager, I have one thing that I feel uncomfortable a little. In the upper side, there are two '4G/5G network capabilities', but in the middle, only '5G network capabilities' is mentioned, which makes me feel 'where is 4G network capabilities?'.	Toshi Wakayama (KDDI) Jose Antonio Ordonez Lucena (Telefonica)	Change in the middle part to 4G/5G, change the paragraph in the sense: 4G already there, but 5G more and more powerful
Onepager (& Presentation)	In the 1st paragraph, the problem statement (and motivation behind it) might need to be reinforced in the 1st paragraph. What about making emphasis on the goal of making NaaS as a reality. A possible way to shape 1st paragraph could be as follows: <i>"Network-as-a-Service (NaaS) represents a paradigm shift whereby network operators can make network capabilities available for external consumption, including monitoring related and configuration related capabilities, through APIs. The on-demand, secure and controlled exposure of these capabilities pave the way for transforming operator networks into service enablement platforms, facilitating the application-to-network integration, which will be key to deliver enhanced and service-tailored customer experience in the 5G era. To ensure wide market adoption and an attractive economy of scale for operators and their customers, it is essential to push the industrialization of open, global and use-to-use (user-friendly) APIs."</i>	Jose Antonio Ordonez Lucena (Telefonica)	Delete the second sentence of the 1st paragraph, and include the information in the blue marked text avoiding duplication in other paragraphs
Onepager (& Presentation)	The link between the 1st paragraph (5G network capabilities) and 2nd paragraph (APIs, including service and network APIs) might not be fully clear for the audience. We've made a try to bridge the gap with the quoted text (in italics/blue) in the above bullet.	Jose Antonio Ordonez Lucena (Telefonica)	Done with the solution above
Onepager (& Presentation)	2nd paragraph -> what about replacing "aggregation" with "transformation" wording? Transformation means both hiding (omitting attributes), aggregation (make a service API out of one or more network APIs). oTo cover hide telco complexity, making and make the APIs easy to consume for customers with no telco expertise (user-friendly APIs). oTo enable a more robust and faster core network -> it is not fully clear what 'robust' and 'faster' wording means here. In addition, the focus is on core (what about other network domains, to be also key in the medium-term?). Our understanding is that these APIs allow transform e2e network into a programmable service enablement platform that fosters service innovation and allow reduced TTM.	Jose Antonio Ordonez Lucena (Telefonica)	Use "abstraction" Add text in bold Use "Facilitating application to network integration"
Onepager (& Presentation)	3rd paragraph: oCAMARA works in close collaboration with GSMA Operator Platform API Group (OPAG), which provides guidance on reference standards and prioritization for these APIs, with the goal of ensuring they fulfil the requirements of GSMA Operator Group (OPG).	Jose Antonio Ordonez Lucena (Telefonica)	Only reference "GSMA Operator Platform Group"
Onepager (& Presentation)	4th paragraph: oSuggest to replace 'scalability' with 'effortless portability and reproducibility (design-once-run-everywhere)'	Jose Antonio Ordonez Lucena (Telefonica)	Use "application portability"
Presentation	Slide 7 of the presentation has southbound only SCEF/NEF, which I think is misleading as it focuses on the network and excludes IT (TMF mainly) and other sources. --> use same as in slide 4	Bart van Kaathoven (Ericsson)	Use same terminology as in slide #4
Presentation	Slide 8 -> some suggestions for figure modification: othe bottom-side of figure, the focus in on NEF/SCEF – only core network. We should consider the TN and RAN also in-scope (specially for the mid and long term). In addition, cloud capabilities (for IaaS and 3rd workload hosting) and IT/OSS capabilities (for performance management, fault management and generic OAM features) should be also considered. Based on this rationale, what about replacing 'NEF/SCEF' tag with 'telco assets (NW/cloud/IT)' tag? ol suggest replacing 'S' and 'N' tags with 'Network APIs' and 'Service APIs' oln the middle-side of the figure, I'd clarify what this gateway represents. olve quickly drafted a figure trying to capture what I mean with the above bullets.	Jose Antonio Ordonez Lucena (Telefonica)	Use structure of graphic provided by Telefonica but without scope rectangle, with terminology of slide #4
Presentation	Slide 9 oreplace "GSMA OPG subgroup" with "GSMA Operator Platform API Group (OPAG)" oTest plan, cases and tools for service API validation and assessment.	Jose Antonio Ordonez Lucena (Telefonica)	Only reference "GSMA Operator Platform Group". Nothing to change in addition