The impact of user interface design of eco-feedback systems on consumer behavior

Aurélie Fakambi and Wouter Menninga

Abstract—Saving energy in buildings has become and remains a major issue for the planet. The last decade, systems have been developed to provide consumers with information about their energy consumption. Research has shown that the type of information displayed and the techniques used to present it have an impact on the user energy saving. This raises the question about how to display the information to the consumer in a comprehensive, attractive and non-intrusive way.

In this paper we compare and discuss the various methods of visualizing energy usage for consumers. Some of the design components of user interfaces such as historical comparisons and presentation of costs are more likely to aid in providing the consumer with an understanding of his energy usage and changing his behavior. We will extract the most effective methods from research and surveys.

The comparison of the different methods is based on the reduction of energy usage of consumers using such eco-feedback systems and if consumers keep using the eco-feedback systems for longer periods of time.

We expect to find the most effective methods to visualize energy consumption data for future eco-feedback systems.

Index Terms—Eco-Feedback, interface design, energy consumption, consumption feedback systems, energy feedback

1 Introduction

Reducing energy usage in buildings still remains a major challenge.

One method of reducing energy consumption is by increasing the awareness of consumers about their energy consumption using ecofeedback systems. These are systems with integrated sensors that provide the consumers in the building with information about their energy usage. The goal is that this leads to more energy efficient behavior by the consumers in the building.

However, research has shown that the type of information displayed and the technique used to present it have an impact on the user behavior. This means that the design of the user interface is a key factor in changing the users energy consumption behavior.

Our goal is to investigate the different ways to display to the users their electricity usage. The main UI components of eco-feedback systems are: historical comparison, presentation of costs, incentive, reward and commitment. From those components we want to extract the most effective ones: by effective we mean the ones which are more likely to help users save energy. Based on previous surveys we are going to compare percentage in the reduction of electricity usage according to the use of the different components. We are also going to compare different already existing UI. As criteria for the most effective ones studies have demonstrated that the information provided must be intuitive, clear and simple and the UI attractive and not too intrusive (e.g. not too many notifications) so that the users keep using it and is integrated in their everyday life.

This raises the question of what the most effective methods to visualize energy consumption data for future eco-feedback systems are.

2 USER INTERFACE COMPONENTS

Blablabla

3 THE SURVEYS

Several studies researching the effectiveness of consumer feedback on electricity consumption have been done before. This section will

- $\bullet \ \ Roy\ G.\ Biv\ is\ with\ Starbucks\ Research,\ E{-}mail:\ roy.g.biv@aol.com.$
- Ed Grimley is with Grimley Widgets, Inc., E-mail: ed.grimley@aol.com.

Manuscript received 31 March 2008; accepted 1 August 2008; posted online 19 October 2008; mailed on 13 October 2008.

For information on obtaining reprints of this article, please send e-mailto:tvcg@computer.org.

Table 1. Overview of information presented in the different prototypes by Karjalainen[2]

				Proto	otype			
UI component	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Historical comparison	×							
Normative comparison		×	×					
Goal setting		×						
Consumption (kWh)	×	×				×	×	×
Power (W)				×	×			
Costs (Euro)		×				×		
Environmental factor (kg CO ₂)			×					
Household total	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	
Disaggregation day/night							×	
Disaggregation by device					×	×	×	×
Chart	×			×	×		×	×
Other pictorial		×	×					
Table						×		
Other numeric		×	×		×			×
Textual			×					
Chooseable time period		×	×	×		×	×	×

discuss the results of some of these studies.

In a study from S. Karjalainen[2] from 2010, interviewing and paper prototyping were used to find the best ways to present information for maximum energy reductions. In this study, interviews with consumers were held to find out about their attitude towards energy monitoring and what kind of feedback they understand and prefer.

The qualitative interviews showed that 8 out of 14 interviewees actively try to save electricity at home, while all 14 responded they want to monitor electricity consumption. The interviewees also indicated that they prefer to receive feedback via a bill, web page or dedicated wall display rather than a mobile phone.

Additionally, 8 paper user interface prototypes were developed. Table 1 shows an overview of the UI components present in these prototypes.

Table 2. Nr of participants that understood and preferred for each of the prototypes. Total participants: 14.

	Nr of participants that understood prototype	Nr of participants who preferred prototype
Prototype 1	14	1
Prototype 2	14	1
Prototype 3	8	0
Prototype 4	12	0
Prototype 5	7	1
Prototype 6	14	7
Prototype 7	13	1
Prototype 8	14	3

The prototypes were shown to consumers, to find out how well these interfaces are understood by them. The prototypes were displayed to the consumers one by one and after showing all the prototypes, they were asked if they understood the prototypes and asked to choose the prototype they would prefer to use themselves.

Most prototypes were understood by the participants. Problems with understanding were mostly due to the fact that many people are not familiar with the scientific units used and do not, for example, understand the difference between W and kWh. Secondly, people in general do not understand how ${\rm CO}_2$ emissions relate to energy consumption.

In a study from R.K. Jain et al[1], a prototype eco-feedback system was built, with five key design components:

- *Historical comparison* ability to view historical electricity consumption in three modes (24h, to date and last week)
- Normative comparison ability to view the average electricity consumption of friends
- Rewards and penalization ability to get points or lose points based on consumption behavior
- Incentives ability to redeem points for prizes
- Disaggregation ability to find out the consumption of specific devices

The prototype was designed to allow users to go to any of the key design components with a single click from the main view.

The system gathered and stored data on logins and use of the system in a database for later analysis.

Participants were divided into three groups: one group had access to room-level electricity usage data and consumption information for participants in their peer network added to the historical comparison graphs. The second group only had access to the room-level electricity usage data. The third group was a control group without access to the eco-feedback system.

The researchers formulated and tested three hypothesis, namely:

- Users who reduced their energy usage relative to the control group, will have visited the eco-feedback system more often than users who increased or maintained their energy usage.
- Users that use: historical comparison, normative comparison, incentives/rewards or disaggregation will login more than users that do not use this feature.
- 3. The sign of the number of reward points a users views on their first login correlates with the number of times a user will log into the eco-feedback system.

Table 3. The results for the first hypothesis

	Users who reduced	Users who increased	p-value
	consumption	consumption	
Mean user logins	5.13	2.60	.028

Table 4. Correlation between user logins and the use of specific design components

Mean user logins by	Users who used	Users who did	p-value
component used	feature	not use feature	
Historical comparison	4.61	1.67	.0009
Normative comparison	5.00	2.40	.12
Incentives/rewards	4.49	1.25	.0001
Disaggregation	4.60	4.00	.64

In Table 3, the results of performing an analysis of the login data can be seen. The data in this table confirms the hypothesis that users who decreased consumption logged in more often (almost twice as often) than users with an increased consumption.

Table 4 shows the correlation between logins and use of specific design components. From these results, it can be concluded that users who used the *historical comparison* feature, on average logged in 3 more times that users that did not use that feature. Additionally, users that used the *incentives* feature logged in more that 3 additional times compared to users that did not use that feature.

For the *normative comparison* and *disaggregation* features, the p-value is not significantly low to reject the null hypotheses.

In Table 5, the results for the third hypothesis can be seen. Users who viewed a positive number of points on their first login, logged in 2.5 more times than users that got to see a negative number of points on their first login.

4 CONCLUSION

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank A, B, C. This work was supported in part by a grant from XYZ.

REFERENCES

- R. K. Jain, J. E. Taylor, and G. Peschiera. Assessing eco-feedback interface usage and design to drive energy efficiency in buildings. *Energy and buildings*, 48:8–17, 2012.
- [2] S. Karjalainen. Consumer preferences for feedback on household electricity consumption. *Energy and Buildings*, 43(2):458–467, 2011.

Table 5. Correlation between the sign of the number of points on first visit and number of logins

	Users that viewed positive points	Users that viewed negative points	p-value
Mean user logins	4.79	2.10	.0059