

# Building & Mining Knowledge Graphs

(KEN 4256)

## Assignment 3

### Multi-Hop Reasoning System on a Knowledge Graph

Due date: March 27, 2024 (upload on student portal before **23:59 CET**)

## Description

**Objective:** Your task is to design, implement, and evaluate a multi-hop reasoning system that operates on a knowledge graph (KG). This assignment encourages creativity, critical thinking, and practical application of KG-based reasoning techniques you learned throughout the course.

### Instructions:

1. KG selection
  - a. Choose a KG that aligns with your interests or domain expertise. It could be a publicly available KG (e.g., Wikidata, DBpedia) or a custom-built one (including a subset of an existing KG).
  - b. Justify your choice by how a set of multi-hop questions can be exactly answered by the KG.
2. System design and implementation
  - a. Multi-hop reasoning
    - i. Describe your approach to multi-hop reasoning. How will your system traverse the KG to infer complex relationships?
    - ii. Implement the reasoning mechanism (e.g., rule-based, embedding-based, or neural network-based)
  - b. Data processing
    - i. Discuss any data processing steps taken.
    - ii. Explain whether and how you handle missing data, noise, and inconsistent data.
  - c. Evaluation metrics
    - i. Define appropriate metrics to assess the system's performance (e.g., accuracy, precision, recall).
    - ii. Implement evaluation.
3. Theoretical analysis
  - a. Strengths & weaknesses

- i. Analyze the strengths and limitations of your reasoning system
    - ii. Consider scalability, interpretability, and computational efficiency
  - b. Zero-shot learning
    - i. Discuss how your system handles zero-shot scenarios (i.e., unseen or rare associations)
- 4. Practical implementation
  - a. Code repository
    - i. Share your codebase (GitHub, GitLab, etc.) with clear documentation
    - ii. Include instructions for running the system
  - b. Demonstration
    - i. Provide examples of multi-hop reasoning in action
    - ii. Show how your system infers new facts or associations
- 5. Quality of writing
  - a. Clarity & coherence
    - i. Write a concise and well-structured report
    - ii. Clearly explain your methodology, results, and insights
  - b. Grammar & style
    - i. Pay attention to grammar, spelling, and formatting
    - ii. Use professional language
- 6. Creativity & freedom
  - a. Appendix
    - i. Include a dataset (or a subset) from your chosen KG
    - ii. Describe any challenges faced during implementation
    - iii. Reflect on lessons learned and future directions
  - b. Exploration
    - i. Encourage exploration beyond the basic requirements
    - ii. Innovative ideas or extensions

### **Rubric for evaluation**

- 1. Excellent (15 points)
  - The submission demonstrates both theoretical depth and practical implementation
  - Clear, concise, and insightful analysis
  - High-quality writing, engaging
  - Innovative approaches or extensions
- 2. Very good (12-14 points)
  - Solid theoretical understanding
  - Good practical implementation
  - Well-written report
  - Some exploration beyond the basics
- 3. Good (9-11 points)
  - Adequate theoretical analysis
  - Basic implementation
  - Acceptable writing quality

- Minimal exploration
- 4. Satisfactory (6-8 points)
  - Meets minimum requirements
  - Limited depth
  - Writing needs improvement
- 5. Needs improvement (below 6 points)
  - Incomplete or flawed implementation
  - Poorly written report

## Deliverables

- Report: *One student per group* will deliver a report, with a maximum of 5 pages, containing work distribution / individual-contribution section, indicating each member's contribution to the assignment. Include Disclosure and Reflection notes if AI was used for implementation.
- Code: A Python script containing implementation of your reasoning system (**in a single file, .py or .ipynb**), including minimal documentation to install any additional dependencies and run the whole pipeline
- Zip all deliverables to one file, name it as "Group x\_Assignment 3"

## Reading Materials

- [Lecture 10 - RAG](#)
- [Lab10 - RAG over KG.ipynb](#)
- All other lectures and labs provided in this course
- The FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship:  
<https://www.nature.com/articles/sdata201618>

## Questions and Comments:

Prof. Dr. Michel Dumontier, [michel.dumontier@maastrichtuniversity.nl](mailto:michel.dumontier@maastrichtuniversity.nl)

Dr. Rena Yang, [rena.yang@maastrichtuniversity.nl](mailto:rena.yang@maastrichtuniversity.nl)