

# One-Dimensional Chain Rule: Demonstration

Aurelien LOUROT

2014-12-30

This article demonstrates the *chain rule*, a formula for computing the derivative of the composition of two functions, first used by Leibniz (around 1700). It states that

given two real functions  $f$  and  $g$  ( $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ) and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

if  $g$  is differentiable at  $x_0$  and  $f$  is differentiable at  $g(x_0)$ ,

then

$$(f \circ g)'(x_0) = (f' \circ g)(x_0) \cdot g'(x_0)$$

## 1 Alternate notations

The *chain rule* is often written in the following more accessible way:

$$\frac{d}{dx}(f(g(x))) = f'(g(x)) \cdot g'(x) \quad (1)$$

where the free variable  $x_0$  has been replaced by  $x$ .

The word *chain* suddenly springs into focus when using *Leibniz's notation*: if one defines  $u = g(x)$  and  $y = f(u)$ ,

- $f'$  represents the variation of  $y$  due to the variation of  $u$ , i.e.  $\frac{dy}{du}$ ;
- $g'$  represents the variation of  $u$  due to the variation of  $x$ , i.e.  $\frac{du}{dx}$ .

Substituting these terms in (1) results in

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{dy}{du} \cdot \frac{du}{dx}$$

## 2 Demonstration

The definition of  $g$  being differentiable at  $x_0$  is

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(x_0 + a) - g(x_0)}{a}$$

exists. Let's name it  $b_0$ .

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \forall a \in \mathbb{R}^*, \frac{g(x_0 + a) - g(x_0)}{a} = b_0 + h(a) \quad (2)$$

with

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} h(a) = 0 \quad ^{(1)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad &\Leftrightarrow g(x_0 + a) = g(x_0) + a \cdot b_0 + a \cdot h(a) \\ &\Rightarrow f(g(x_0 + a)) = f(g(x_0) + a \cdot b_0 + a \cdot h(a)) \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Note that there might also be one or several non null values of  $a$ , even "far" from 0, for which  $h(a)$  is exactly null.

Given  $k(a) = a \cdot b_0 + a \cdot h(a)$ , we have

$$f(g(x_0 + a)) = f(g(x_0) + k(a)) \quad (3)$$

Thanks to  $f$  being differentiable at  $g(x_0)$ , we know that **for**  $k(a)$  **non null**

$$\lim_{k(a) \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(g(x_0) + k(a)) - f(g(x_0))}{k(a)}$$

exists. Let's name it  $c_0$ .

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists l : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \frac{f(g(x_0) + k(a)) - f(g(x_0))}{k(a)} = c_0 + l(k(a)) \quad (4)$$

when  $k(a) \neq 0$  with

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow 0} l(b) = 0 \quad (5)$$

$$\text{When } k(a) \neq 0, \quad (4) \Leftrightarrow f(g(x_0) + k(a)) = f(g(x_0)) + k(a) \cdot c_0 + k(a) \cdot l(k(a))$$

Let's define  $m : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as

$$m(c) = \begin{cases} l(c), & c \neq 0; \\ 0, & c = 0. \end{cases}$$

We now have, for any value of  $k(a)$ ,  $f(g(x_0) + k(a)) = f(g(x_0)) + k(a) \cdot c_0 + k(a) \cdot m(k(a))$

Substituting this result into (3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} f(g(x_0 + a)) &= f(g(x_0)) + k(a) \cdot c_0 + k(a) \cdot m(k(a)) \\ \Leftrightarrow f(g(x_0 + a)) - f(g(x_0)) &= [a \cdot b_0 + a \cdot h(a)] \cdot c_0 + [a \cdot b_0 + a \cdot h(a)] \cdot m(k(a)) \\ \Leftrightarrow \frac{f(g(x_0 + a)) - f(g(x_0))}{a} &= b_0 \cdot c_0 + h(a) \cdot c_0 + [b_0 + h(a)] \cdot m(k(a)) \\ \Rightarrow \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(g(x_0 + a)) - f(g(x_0))}{a} &= b_0 \cdot c_0 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting  $b_0$  and  $c_0$  by their respective definitions gives

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(g(x_0 + a)) - f(g(x_0))}{a} = \left( \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(x_0 + a) - g(x_0)}{a} \right) \cdot \left( \lim_{k(a) \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(g(x_0) + k(a)) - f(g(x_0))}{k(a)} \right) \quad (6)$$

Note that all three limit arguments ( $a$ ,  $a$  and  $k(a)$ ) are independant free variables, so that (6) can be re-written as

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(g(x_0 + a)) - f(g(x_0))}{a} &= \left( \lim_{b \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(x_0 + b) - g(x_0)}{b} \right) \cdot \left( \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(g(x_0) + c) - f(g(x_0))}{c} \right) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \boxed{(f \circ g)'(x_0) = g'(x_0) \cdot f'(g(x_0))} \end{aligned}$$

■

---

<sup>2</sup>(5)  $\Rightarrow \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} l(k(a)) = 0$