BEST-FIRST

My best-first implementation uses 3 different cost functions. For the first 2 cases, I used a uniform cost—1 and 5, respectively. As expected, the results are the same and match what we would expect for breadth-first search. For the third case, the cost is determined by a random number between 0 and 5. For all cases and trials, the maximum number of moves was set at 1000.

Case1 (Cost = 1)

Input	Solution Path	Moves
['1','5','2','4','b','3','7','8','6']	1524b3786>1b2453786>12b453786>	63
	12345b786>12345678b	
['b','1','2','4','5','3','7','8','6']	b12453786>1b2453786>12b453786>	63
	12345b786>12345678b	
['b','2','3','1','4','6','7','5','8']	b23146758>123b46758>1234b6758>	42
	1234567b8>12345678b	
['1','2','3','b','8','5','4','7','6']	123b85476>123485b76>1234857b6>	180
	1234b5786>12345b786>12345678b	
['2','b','3','1','5','6','4','7','8']	2 b 3 1 5 6 4 7 8> b 2 3 1 5 6 4 7 8> 1 2 3 b 5 6 4 7 8>	255
	123456b78>1234567b8>12345678b	
	Average moves: 121	

Case2 (Cost = 5)

Input	Solution Path	Moves
['1','5','2','4','b','3','7','8','6']	1524b3786 → 1b2453786 → 12b453786 →	63
	12345b786 → 12345678b	
['b','1','2','4','5','3','7','8','6']	b12453786 → 1b2453786 → 12b453786 →	63
	12345b786 → 12345678b	
['b','2','3','1','4','6','7','5','8']	b23146758 → 123b46758 → 1234b6758 →	42
	1234567b8 → 12345678b	
['1','2','3','b','8','5','4','7','6']	123b85476 → 123485b76 → 1234857b6 →	180
	1234b5786 → 12345b786 → 12345678b	
['2','b','3','1','5','6','4','7','8']	2b3156478 → b23156478 → 123b56478 →	255
	123456b78 → 1234567b8 → 12345678b	
	Average moves: 121	

Case3(Cost = random[0,5])

cases/cost random	(-)- ₁ /	
Input	Solution Path	Moves
['1','5','2','4','b','3','7','8','6']	1524b3786 → 1b2453786 → 12b453786 →	778
	1b2453786 → 12b453786 → 1b2453786 →	
	12b453786 → 12345b786 → 12345678b	
['b','1','2','4','5','3','7','8','6']	b12453786 → 1b2453786 → 1524b3786 →	46
	1b2453786 → 12b453786 → 12345b786 →	
	12345678b	
['b','2','3','1','4','6','7','5','8']	b23146758 → 123b46758 → 123746b58 →	471
	1237465b8 → 1237b6548 → 123b76548 →	
	123576b48 → 1235764b8 → 123576b48 →	

	$1235764b8 \rightarrow 1235b6478 \rightarrow 12356b478 \rightarrow 12356b478 \rightarrow 1235b6478 \rightarrow 123456b78 \rightarrow 123b56478 \rightarrow 123b56478 \rightarrow 1235b6478 \rightarrow 1235b6478 \rightarrow 1235b6478 \rightarrow 1235b6478 \rightarrow 123b56478 \rightarrow 123b56478 \rightarrow 123456b78 \rightarrow 123456788 \rightarrow 123456788 \rightarrow 123456788$
['1','2','3','b','8','5','4','7','6']	$123 b 85 476 \rightarrow 1238 b 5476 \rightarrow 1b3825476 \rightarrow 960$ $b13825476 \rightarrow 1b3825476 \rightarrow 1238b5476 \rightarrow 12385b476 \rightarrow 12385b476 \rightarrow 12385b476 \rightarrow 123855476 \rightarrow 1238754b6 \rightarrow 1238754b6 \rightarrow 12385b476 \rightarrow 12385b476 \rightarrow 12385b476 \rightarrow 123485b76 \rightarrow 1234857b6 \rightarrow 1234b5786 \rightarrow 12345b786 \rightarrow 12345678b$
['2','b','3','1','5','6','4','7','8']	Solution Not Found within 1000 moves
	Average moves: 451

The random cost function obviously performed worst. In all three cases, the cost is not a function of any heuristic. Using random numbers as the cost doesn't make a whole lot of sense but it's very clear to see that random searching is not a good idea. The conclusion is that best-first search with uniform values (BFS) does an OK job of searching. 255 total moves is not terrible, but for a larger pattern (or a different pattern than the ones tested), this could pose performance issues.

A*

My A* cost functions are equal to each of the heuristics (misplaced, Manhattan, sum) + 5.

Basically, I'm treating the base cost for each move as '5', then tacking on a heuristic function.

Case1 (Cost = 5 + misplaced(n))

Input	Solution Path	Moves
['1','5','2','4','b','3','7','8','6']	1524b3786>1b2453786>12b453786>	33
	12345b786>12345678b	
['b','1','2','4','5','3','7','8','6']	b12453786>1b2453786>12b453786>	28
	12345b786>12345678b	
['b','2','3','1','4','6','7','5','8']	b23146758>123b46758>1234b6758>	17
	1234567b8>12345678b	
['1','2','3','b','8','5','4','7','6']	123b85476>123485b76>1234857b6>	86
	1234b5786>12345b786>12345678b	
['2','b','3','1','5','6','4','7','8']	2 b 3 1 5 6 4 7 8> b 2 3 1 5 6 4 7 8> 1 2 3 b 5 6 4 7 8>	149
	123456b78>1234567b8>12345678b	
	Average moves: 63	

Case2 (Cost = 5 + Manhattan(n))

Input	Solution Path	Moves
['1','5','2','4','b','3','7','8','6']	1524b3786>1b2453786>12b453786>	5
	12345b786>12345678b	
['b','1','2','4','5','3','7','8','6']	b12453786>1b2453786>12b453786>	5
	12345b786>12345678b	
['b','2','3','1','4','6','7','5','8']	b23146758>123b46758>1234b6758>	5
	1234567b8>12345678b	
['1','2','3','b','8','5','4','7','6']	123b85476>123485b76>1234857b6>	6
	1234b5786>12345b786>12345678b	
['2','b','3','1','5','6','4','7','8']	2 b 3 1 5 6 4 7 8> b 2 3 1 5 6 4 7 8> 1 2 3 b 5 6 4 7 8>	8
	123456b78>1234567b8>12345678b	
	Average moves: 6	

Case3(Cost = 5 + Manhattan(n) + misplaced(n))

Input	Solution Path	Moves
['1','5','2','4','b','3','7','8','6']	1524b3786>1b2453786>12b453786>	5
	12345b786>12345678b	
['b','1','2','4','5','3','7','8','6']	b12453786>1b2453786>12b453786>	5
	12345b786>12345678b	
['b','2','3','1','4','6','7','5','8']	b23146758>123b46758>1234b6758>	5
	1234567b8>12345678b	
['1','2','3','b','8','5','4','7','6']	123b85476>123485b76>1234857b6>	7
	1234b5786>12345b786>12345678b	
['2','b','3','1','5','6','4','7','8']	2 b 3 1 5 6 4 7 8> b 2 3 1 5 6 4 7 8> 1 2 3 b 5 6 4 7 8>	8
	123456b78>1234567b8>12345678b	
	Average moves: 6	

The results for A* are better across the board when compared to best-first. Within A*, the 'misplaced' heuristic performed the worst, followed by the sum of 'Manhattan' and 'misplaced', then 'Manhattan'. This suggests that the 'Manhattan' heuristic does a better job of determining cost-to-goal than the other 2 functions.

DATA FOR 15-puzzle

*All cost functions corresponding to each case are the same as before. The move limit has been increased from 1,000 to 10,000.

BEST-FIRST

CASE1

Input	Moves
['b','1','2','3','5','6','7','4','9','10','11','8','13','14',15',12']	772
['1','2','3','4','5','b','6','8','9',11','7','12','13','10','14','15']	1705
['1','2','3','4','5','6','7','8','9','10','12','b','13','14','11','15']	34
['1','2','3','4','5','10','6','7','9','14',11','8','13','b','15','12']	538
['1','2','3','4','5','b','6','7','9',10','11','8','13','14','15','12']	167
Average	643

CASE2

Input	Moves
['b','1','2','3','5','6','7','4','9','10','11','8','13','14',15',12']	772
['1','2','3','4','5','b','6','8','9',11','7','12','13','10','14','15']	1705
['1','2','3','4','5','6','7','8','9','10','12','b','13','14','11','15']	34
['1','2','3','4','5','10','6','7','9','14',11','8','13','b','15','12']	538
['1','2','3','4','5','b','6','7','9',10','11','8','13','14','15','12']	167
Average	643

CASE3

Input	Moves	
['b','1','2','3','5','6','7','4','9','10','11','8','13','14',15',12']	Solution not found	
['1','2','3','4','5','b','6','8','9',11','7','12','13','10','14','15']	Solution not found	
['1','2','3','4','5','6','7','8','9','10','12','b','13','14','11','15']	Solution not found	
['1','2','3','4','5','10','6','7','9','14',11','8','13','b','15','12']	Solution not found	
['1','2','3','4','5','b','6','7','9',10','11','8','13','14','15','12']		2528
Average		2528

A* CASE1

Input	Moves
['b','1','2','3','5','6','7','4','9','10','11','8','13','14',15',12']	260
['1','2','3','4','5','b','6','8','9',11','7','12','13','10','14','15']	652
['1','2','3','4','5','6','7','8','9','10','12','b','13','14','11','15']	15
['1','2','3','4','5','10','6','7','9','14',11','8','13','b','15','12']	253
['1','2','3','4','5','b','6','7','9',10','11','8','13','14','15','12']	57
Average	248

CASE2

Input	Moves
['b','1','2','3','5','6','7','4','9','10','11','8','13','14',15',12']	7
['1','2','3','4','5','b','6','8','9',11','7','12','13','10','14','15']	10
['1','2','3','4','5','6','7','8','9','10','12','b','13','14','11','15']	5
['1','2','3','4','5','10','6','7','9','14',11','8','13','b','15','12']	7
['1','2','3','4','5','b','6','7','9',10','11','8','13','14','15','12']	5
Average	7

CASE3

Input	Moves
['b','1','2','3','5','6','7','4','9','10','11','8','13','14',15',12']	7
['1','2','3','4','5','b','6','8','9',11','7','12','13','10','14','15']	11
['1','2','3','4','5','6','7','8','9','10','12','b','13','14','11','15']	5
['1','2','3','4','5','10','6','7','9','14',11','8','13','b','15','12']	9
['1','2','3','4','5','b','6','7','9',10','11','8','13','14','15','12']	5
Average	8

Conclusion:

A* is better than best-first, especially if we have a good cost-to-goal function

END