

# Updated Version of the Jern & Kemp (2013) Model

Working backwards, what is needed for simulation of exemplar generation is the probability of generating a stimulus  $y$  given exposure to members of the target category  $x_B$ :

$$p(y|x_B) = ? \quad (1)$$

where  $x_B$  may be empty. Jern & Kemp’s model achieves this using a generative process. Category members ( $x_A$  or  $x_B$ ; more generally written as  $x_C$ ) are assumed to have been generated using an underlying category distribution (specifically, multivariate normal):

$$x_C \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_C, \Sigma_C) \quad (2)$$

$p(y|x_C)$  is proportional to the candidate’s density under the target category’s distribution. Thus, obtaining the category distribution parameters  $(\mu_C, \Sigma_C)$  is key for generation. This document describes how we compute these variables in a conjugate model.

## Computing $\mu_C$

Assuming  $(\mu_C, \Sigma_C)$  are Normal-Inverse-Wishart distributed (unknown mean, unknown variance):

$$\mu_C = \frac{\kappa\mu_0 + n_C\bar{x}_C}{\kappa + n_C} \quad (3)$$

where:

- $\mu_0$  is the prior mean along  $p$  dimensions. Here we set it to the middle of the space.
- $\kappa$  is a scalar hyper-parameter, roughly weighting the importance of  $\mu_0$ .  $\kappa$  must be greater than zero.
- $n_C$  is the number of observations in  $x_C$
- $\bar{x}_C$  is the sample mean along  $p$  dimensions

In the case of a populated class,  $\mu_C$  ends up lying somewhere between  $\mu_0$  and  $\bar{x}_C$ , depending on  $\kappa_0$  and  $n_C$ . In the case of an empty class,  $n_C = 0$ , Equation 3 reduces to  $\mu_C = \mu_0$ . Because we set  $\mu_0$  to the center of the space, this outcome is the same as if we had integrated over all possible  $\mu_C$ . In practice, if  $n_C = 0$ , the model picks a stimulus at random from all candidates (uniform probabilities).

## Computing $\Sigma_D$

Unlike  $\mu_C$ ,  $\Sigma_C$  cannot be computed considering only the members of category  $y$ . Instead,  $\Sigma_C$  is influenced both by the distribution of  $x_C$  and by members of other categories through  $\Sigma_D$ .

$\Sigma_D$  is inferred based on the observed (empirical) category covariances  $C_y$ . We assume these covariances to be Wishart-distributed, and so  $\Sigma_D$  can be computed as:

$$\Sigma_D = \Sigma_0 + \sum_C C_C \quad (4)$$

$\Sigma_0$  is a  $p$ -by- $p$  prior covariance matrix. We use a  $p$ -dimensional identity matrix  $I_p$  multiplied element-wise against a free parameter,  $\rho$ , controlling the amount of variance assumed by the prior:

$$\Sigma_0 = I_p \rho \quad (5)$$

Thus, categories are assumed to have some degree of variance along each feature (specified by  $\rho$ ), but not are assumed to possess feature-feature correlations.

## Computing $\Sigma_C$

Assuming  $(\mu_C, \Sigma_C)$  are Normal-Inverse-Wishart distributed,  $\Sigma_C$  can be computed as:

$$\Sigma_C = [\Sigma_D \nu + C_C + \frac{\kappa n_C}{\kappa + n_C} (\bar{x}_C - \mu_C)(\bar{x}_C - \mu_C)^T] (\nu + n_C)^{-1} \quad (6)$$

$\kappa, \bar{x}_C, C_C, n_C, \mu_0$ , are the same values as described above.  $\nu$  is an additional free parameter, weighting the importance of  $\Sigma_D$ .  $\nu$  must be greater than  $p - 1$ . When  $x_b$  is empty, Equation 6 reduces to  $\Sigma_C = \Sigma_D$ .

## Computing response probabilities $p(y|x_C)$

$x$  are assumed to be drawn from a the distribution given by  $Normal(\mu_C, \Sigma_C)$ . Thus,

$$p(y) \propto Normal(y; \mu_C, \Sigma_C) \quad (7)$$

In practice,  $p(y)$  is computed by first obtaining the relative density of every possible generation candidate  $y_i$  under the category distribution. The end probability is a normalization of these values:

$$p(x) = \frac{\exp(\theta Normal(y; \mu_C, \Sigma_C))}{\sum_i \exp(\theta Normal(y_i; \mu_C, \Sigma_C))} \quad (8)$$

where  $\theta$  is a response determinism parameter.

## Description of free parameters

- $\kappa$ . Scalar,  $\kappa > 0$ . Weights the importance of  $\mu_0$  in inferring category  $\mu_C$ .
- $\rho$ . Scalar,  $\rho > 0$ . Sets the assumed variance in the domain prior,  $\Sigma_0$ .
- $\nu$ . Scalar,  $\nu > p - 1$ . Weights the importance of  $\Sigma_D$  in inferring the domain  $\Sigma_C$ .
- $\theta$ . Scalar,  $\theta > 0$ . Response determinism parameter.