

CS 205 Final Question 2: Detecting Perfect Powers

16:198:205

Consider the problem of factoring - decomposing a number N into its composite factors, (e.g., $2881 = 67 * 43$). This is generally understood to be a hard problem (in that the difficulty increases rapidly with N). For some N however, factoring can actually be quite straightforward (consider numbers that end in a digit 5, or numbers that are the difference of two squares). One special case of easily factorable numbers: N that are perfect powers, i.e., $N = a^k$ for some integer $a, k > 1$. The purpose of this question is to analyze the following problem: *i) given an integer N , what is the complexity of determining if N is a perfect power, and ii) if N is a perfect power, what the values of a and k are such that $N = a^k$?* The main observation is that while computing roots (how do you compute a square root?) is difficult, computing powers and exponentiating can actually be quite easy.

In each of the following: when we ask for a big- O bound, we are interested in as tight an upper bound as you can justify.

- 1) Give a big- O bound on the number of bits needed to represent a number N . **(2 points)**
- 2) Give a big- O bound on the complexity of multiplying two integers between 1 and N ? *Hint: what is the worst case?* Note, the bound should be simply in terms of N . **(3 points)**
- 3) Given N, k , what is the big- O complexity of computing N^k ? *Hint: Consider fast exponentiation.* Note, the bound should be in terms of N and k . **(5 points)**

Consider the problem first of determining whether or not N is a perfect square:

- 4) Given that $1 \leq \sqrt{N} \leq N$, consider sequentially taking each number $a \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, N-1, N\}$ and computing a^2 . Stop when either i) $a^2 = N$ and you have found the square root, or ii) $a^2 > N$ and N does not have an integer square root. Give a big- O bound on the overall complexity of this search in terms of N . **(4 points)**
- 5) As an alternate approach: we are effectively searching the set $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, N\}$ for the value of \sqrt{N} if it is there. For a given a , we can't compare a to \sqrt{N} since \sqrt{N} is not known. However, we can compute and compare a^2 to N . Use this idea as the basis of a *binary search*-type algorithm. Give a big- O bound on the overall complexity of this search, in terms of N . How does it compare to the previous? **(6 points)**

Generalize this idea then to determining whether or not N is a perfect k -th power for some given k (take k to be known):

- 6) Given that $1 \leq N^{1/k} \leq N$, consider sequentially taking each number $a \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, N-1, N\}$ and computing a^k . Stop when either i) $a^k = N$ and you have found the k -th root, or ii) $a^k > N$ and N does not have an integer k -th root. Give a big- O bound on the overall complexity of this search in terms of N and k . **(4 points)**
- 7) As an alternate approach: we are effectively searching the set $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, N\}$ for the value of $N^{1/k}$ if it is there. For a given a , we can't compare a to $N^{1/k}$ since $N^{1/k}$ is not known. However, we can compute and compare a^k to N . Use this idea as the basis of a *binary search*-type algorithm. Give a big- O bound on the overall complexity of this search, in terms of N and k . How does it compare to the previous? **(6 points)**

However, we may not know what exponent k to look for - k may be unknown, and need to be determined:

- 8) For a given value of N , what is the smallest value of k that may need to be considered? What is the largest value of k ? Give a big- O bound on the largest possible k . **(5 points)**

- 9) Consider extending the algorithm in Question 7 in the following way: for every possible k over the range above, use the algorithm in Question 7 to determine if N is a perfect k -power. If it is found that $N = a^k$ for some a, k , report them, otherwise report that N is not a perfect power. For clarity, write out the pseudocode of this algorithm given an input N . Give a big- O bound on the overall complexity of this search, in terms of N . Is this efficient? **(15 points)**
- *Bonus: What if we wanted to know if N was a perfect power **mod some prime** P . Could this algorithm still be used?*
 - *Bonus 2: The above suggested a linear search over possible values of k . Could this be improved, and if so, how? In the case of a linear search, would it be better to try k smallest to largest, or largest to smallest?*