

1. Solve the following recurrence relations using any of the following methods: unrolling, tail recursion, recurrence tree (include tree diagram), or expansion. Each case, show your work.

(a) $T(n) = T(n-1) + 2^n$ if $n \geq 1$, and $T(1) = 2$.

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= T(n-1) + 2^n \\ T(0) &= 2^0 = 1 \\ T(1) &= 2^1 = 2 \\ T(2) &= T(1) + 2^2 = 2 + 2^2 = 6 \\ T(3) &= T(2) + 2^3 = 6 + 2^3 = 14 \\ T(4) &= T(3) + 2^4 = 14 + 2^4 = 30 \\ &\vdots \\ T(n) &= T(n-1) + 2^n = (2^n - 2) + 2^n \end{aligned}$$

(b) $T(n) = T(\sqrt{n}) + 1$ if $n > 2$, and $T(n) = 0$ otherwise.

$$T(n) = T(\sqrt{n}) + 1 = T(n^{1/2}) + 1$$

Unrolling step

$$\begin{aligned} &= T(n^{1/2}) + 1 \\ &= (T(n^{1/4}) + 1) + 1 \\ &= (T(n^{1/8}) + 1) + 2 \\ &= (T(n^{1/16}) + 1) + 3 \\ &\dots \\ &= (T(n^{1/2^k})) + k \end{aligned}$$

When $n^{1/2^k}$ is ≤ 2 , we have $k \geq \log(\log n)$
therefore the run time would be :

$$T(n) = \Theta(1) + \log(\log n) = \Theta(\log(\log n))$$

2. Consider the following function: `def foo(n) if (n > 1) print(hello) foo(n/4) foo(n/4)`
 In terms of the input n , determine how many times is hello printed. Write down a recurrence and solve using the Master method.

Using the Master method where $T(n) = aT(n/b) + f(n)$ we have:

$$T(n) = 2T(n/4) + 1$$

$$a = 2 \quad b = 4 \quad f(n) = 1$$

$$n^{\log_4 2} = n^{0.5}$$

let $\epsilon = .5$

$$O(n^{\log_4 2 - .5}) = O(n^{\log_4 1.5})$$

therefore $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_4 1.5})$ by master method 1 $O(n^{\log_4 1.5})$ grows faster than $f(n)$. $T(n) = O(n^{\log_4 1.5})$ hold true for case 1 of the Master method because n grow faster then $f(n)$ which is 1.

3. Professor McGonagall asks you to help her with some arrays that are spiked. A spiked array has the property that the subarray $A[1..i]$ has the property that $A[j] \leq A[j+1]$ for $1 \leq j \leq i$, and the subarray $A[i..n]$ has the property that $A[j] \geq A[j+1]$ for $i \leq j \leq n$. Using her wand, McGonagall writes the following spiked array on the board $A = [7, 8, 10, 15, 16, 23, 19, 17, 4, 1]$, as an example.

- (a) Write a recursive algorithm that takes asymptotically sub-linear time to find the maximum element of A .

```

findMax(A,low,high)
{
    mid = floor(low + high)/2
    if(A[mid] > A[mid+1] && A[mid] > A[mid-1])//found max
        return mid
    else if(A[mid] > A[mid+1] && A[mid] < A[mid-1])//go left
        return findMax(A,low,mid-1)
    else // go right
        return findMax(A,mid+1,high)
}

```

- (b) Prove that your algorithm is correct. (Hint: prove that your algorithm's correctness follows from the correctness of another correct algorithm we already know.).

Loop invariant = mid in array $A[\text{low}..\text{high}]$

Initialization:

$\text{low} < \text{mid} < \text{high}$ in array A therefore max is in $A[\text{low}..\text{length}(A)-1]$

Maintenance:

Case 1: $\text{mid} > \text{mid} + 1$ and $\text{mid} > \text{mid} - 1 == \text{target}$ is found continue to termination

Case 2: $\text{mid} > \text{mid} + 1$ and $\text{mid} > \text{mid} - 1 == \text{target}$ must be to the left of mid by the pre conditions of a spiked array

Case 3: $\text{mid} < \text{mid} + 1$ and $\text{mid} < \text{mid} - 1 == \text{target}$ must be to the right of mid by the pre conditions of a spiked array

Termination:

If the loop terminates, max was found because max is in array A by the initialization step and is either found, found to the right or found to the left of mid within array A[low...high]

- (c) *Now consider the multi-spiked generalization, in which the array contains k spikes, i.e., it contains k subarrays, each of which is itself a spiked array. Let $k = 2$ and prove that your algorithm can fail on such an input.*

Counter Example:

Let A = [1,2,9,10,8,11,7,6]

First Iteration:

mid = 3; A[3] = 10

returns 10;

10 is not the max of array A

- (d) *Suppose that $k = 2$ and we can guarantee that neither spike is closer than $n/4$ positions to the middle of the array, and that the joining point of the two singly-spiked subarrays lays in the middle half of the array. Now write an algorithm that returns the maximum element of A in sublinear time. Prove that your algorithm is correct, give a recurrence relation for its running time, and solve for its asymptotic behavior.*

```
findMax(A,low,high){
```

```
  joinP = floor(low + high)/2
```

```
  leftBound = floor(low + joinP)/2
```

```
  rightBound = floor(high + joinP)/2
```

```
  midLeft = floor(low + leftBound)/2
```

```

midRight = floor(high + rightBound)/2
maxLeft = 0
maxRight = 0

while(maxLeft != 0 && maxRight != 0){

    if(A[midLeft] > A[midLeft +1] && A[midLeft] > A[midLeft - 1])
        maxLeft = A[midLeft]

    else if(A[midLeft] > A[midLeft +1] && A[midLeft] < A[midLeft - 1])
        maxLeft = findMax(A,low,midLeft-1)

    else if(A[midLeft] < A[midLeft +1] && A[midLeft] > A[midLeft - 1])
        maxLeft = findMax(A,midLeft+1,high)

    else if(A[midRight] > A[midRight +1] && A[midRight] > A[midRight - 1])
        maxRight = A[midRight]

    else if(A[midRight] > A[midRight +1] && A[midRight] < A[midRight - 1])
        maxRight = findMax(A,low,midRight-1)

    else if(A[midRight] < A[midRight +1] && A[midRight] > A[midRight - 1])
        maxRight = findMax(A,midRight+1,high)

}

if (maxLeft >= maxRight)
    return maxLeft

else
    return maxRight
}

```

4. Asymptotic relations like O , Θ , and Ω represent relationships between functions, and these relationships are transitive. That is, if some $f(n) = O(g(n))$, and $g(n) = O(h(n))$, then it is also true that $f(n) = O(h(n))$. This means that we can sort functions by their asymptotic growth. Sort the following functions by order of asymptotic growth such that the final arrangement of functions g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{12} satisfies the ordering constraint $g_1 = O(g_2)$, $g_2 = O(g_3)$, ..., $g_{11} = O(g_{12})$.

Some of the following identities were used to solve this problem:

$$n^{\lg \lg n} = (\lg n)^{\lg n}$$

$$n^2 = 4^{\lg n}$$

$$n = 2^{\lg n}$$

$$2^{\sqrt{2 \lg n}} = n^{\sqrt{2 / \lg n}}$$

$$1 = n^{1 / \lg n}$$

$$1 = n^{1 / \lg n} < (\sqrt{2})^{\lg n} < n = 2^{\lg n} < n \lg n = \log(n!) < n^2 < (\lg n)! < (3/2)^n < e^n < n!$$