Data Origami

Austin Haskell Meetup

August 18, 2016

Questions from last time?

Recursion

- Usually hear "functions that call themselves"

Other ways to think about it

- "solving a problem in terms of smaller versions of the same problem" (John D. Cook)
- computations that have to be performed an *indefinite* number of times

Ye Olde Factorial

```
brokenFact1 :: Integer -> Integer
brokenFact1 n = n * brokenFact1 (n - 1)
```

- ▶ How will that evaluate?
- ▶ Try it in REPL

Evaluation

```
brokenFact1 4 = 4 * (4 - 1)
                  * ((4 - 1) - 1)
                  * (((4 - 1) - 1) - 1)
                  ... this series never stops
```

- Well, that seems suboptimal.

Ye Olde Base Case

```
factorial :: Integer -> Integer
factorial 1 = 1
factorial n = n * brokenFact1 (n - 1)
```

- if the base case is an identity value, doesn't change the results of previous applications

A Recursive Datatype

```
data [] a = [] | a : [a]
```

- ► cons constructor : is an infix data constructor
- ► a product of its two arguments
- ► a potentially infinite data stream!

Pattern matching on lists

```haskell
head :: [a] -> a
head (x:_) = x

tail :: [a] -> [a]
tail (x:xs) = xs
```

- ▶ use `let` in REPL
- ▶ try to pass them empty lists

Rewriting for fun and safety

- an empty list
- Maybe?
- Either?

```haskell
myHead :: [a] -> Either [Char] a
myHead [] = Left "Empty list."
myHead (x:_) = Right x
```

Either

```
data Either a b = Left a | Right b
```

- like Maybe often used to prevent bottoming out
- provides opportunity here to tell *what* the error was

Exercise 1

- recommend doing this in a source file instead of directly in REPL

Seeing evaluation using `:sprint`

- enumFromTo *constructs* a list

```
Prelude> let blah = enumFromTo 'a' 'z'
Prelude> :sprint blah
blah = _
Prelude> take 1 blah
"a"
```

- normally doesn't evaluate until forced

Spine strictness

- matters when we talk about folds, binary trees
- evaluates to weak head normal form by default

Compare

- see which of these (if any) throws an exception

```
[x^y | x <- [1..5], y <- [2, undefined]]

take 1 $ [x^y | x <- [1..5], y <- [2, undefined]]
```

Time for a map

```
map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
```

- obligatory reminder that data structures are (usually) immutable in Haskell :)
- write map (exercise 2)

map

```
map f (x:xs) = f x :  map f xs
```

And `filter`

```
filter :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]
filter _ []     = []
filter pred (x:xs)
  | pred x          = x : filter pred xs
  | otherwise       = filter pred xs
```

- ▶ hey, how do we know there's no mutation here?
- ▶ try Exercise 3
- ▶ why would you need `words` for this?

Exercise 3 answer

```haskell
noDets :: String -> [[Char]]
noDets =
 filter (\x -> not (elem x ["the", "a", "an"])) . words
```

Exercises

- will rewrite with folds later

```haskell
-- direct recursion, not using (&&)
myAnd :: [Bool] -> Bool
myAnd [] = True
myAnd (x:xs) = if x == False then False else myAnd xs
```

- see exercise 4

Answers

```haskell
myOr' :: [Bool] -> Bool
myOr' [] = False
myOr' (x:xs)
    | x = x
    | otherwise = myOr' xs

myAny' :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> Bool
myAny' _ [] = False
myAny' f (x:xs)
    | f x = True
    | otherwise = myAny' f xs
```

Hooray for folds!

```haskell
foldr :: (a -> b -> b) -> b -> [a] -> b
foldl :: (b -> a -> b) -> b -> [a] -> b
```

Comparing with map

```
-- Remember how map worked?
map   :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
map (+1) 1 :       2 :        3 : []

     (+1) 1 :  (+1) 2 :  (+1) 3  : []

-- Given the list
foldr (+) 0 (1 :   2 :   3  :  [])

              1  + (2  + (3  +   0))
```

Right folds

```haskell
sum :: [Integer] -> Integer
sum []     = 0
sum (x:xs) = x + sum xs

length :: [a] -> Integer
length []     = 0
length (_:xs) = 1 + length xs
```

Right folds (cont'd)

```haskell
foldr :: (a -> b -> b) -> b -> [a] -> b
foldr f z []     = z
foldr f z (x:xs) = f x (foldr f z xs)

sum = foldr (+) 0
```

Right folds

- right associative
- alternate between the function and the recursive call
- given nonstrictness, this can be used on infinite or indefinite data structures without forcing it to go all the way down the spine

Left folds

```
foldl :: (b -> a -> b) -> b -> [a] -> b
foldl f acc []     =  acc
foldl f acc (x:xs) =  foldl f (f acc x) xs
```

Left folds (cont'd)

- directly calls itself
- the recursive trip down the spine cannot be stopped
- left associative