

MATH 6510

AUSTIN WU

Problem 1:**Claim 0.1 (γ is surjective):**

Now $\forall c' \in C'$, δ being an isomorphism implies there exists $d' \in D$ s.t. $\delta(d) = d'$. Now exactness implies that $g_4(d') = g_4 \cdot g_3(c') = 0 \implies$ that $\delta \cdot f_4(b) = 0 \implies$ since ϵ is an isomorphism that $f_4(d) = 0 \implies$ by exactness $d \in \text{img}(f_3)$. Let $d = f_3(c) \implies g_3(c') = d' = \delta \cdot f_3(c) = g_3 \cdot \gamma(c)$. Hence $c' - \gamma(c) \in \ker(g_3) \implies$ by exactness that $d = f_3(c) \implies$ that $c' - \gamma(c) \in \ker(f_3)$ so by exactness $\exists b'$ s.t. $c' - \gamma(c) = g_2 \cdot \beta(b) \implies$ by commutivity that $c' - \gamma(c) = \gamma \cdot f_2(b) \implies c' = \gamma(c + f_2(b))$ Hence surjectivity.

Claim 0.2 (γ is injective):

Now $\forall c \in C \gamma(c) = 0$ implies that $g_3 \cdot \gamma(c) = 0$ which implies by commutativity that $\delta \cdot f_3(c) = 0 \implies$ by δ bijective that $f_3(c) = 0 \dots$ By exactness $\exists b \in B$ s.t. $c = f_2(b) \implies \beta(b') = \gamma \cdot f_2(b) = \gamma(c) = 0$ which implies by exactness that $\exists a' \in A'$ s.t. $b' = f_1(a')$. α bijective implies that $\exists a \in A$ s.t. $a' = \alpha(a) \implies \beta(b) = f_1 \cdot \alpha(a) = \beta \cdot f_1(a)$ so $b - f_1(a) \in \ker(\beta)$ which implies since β bijective that $b = f_1(a) \implies$ by exactness that $c = f_2(b) = 0$ hence injectivity.

Hence a structure preserving bijection so isomorphism



Problem 2:

- (1) Recall that an
- n
- sphere is defined as

$$\mathbb{S}^n := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|x\| = 1\}$$

Claim 0.3 (\mathbb{S}^n is homeomorphic to the $n+1$ -simplex):

Let $V = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$. Then represent each vertex as the standard basis vectors $e_0, \dots, e_{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ so

$$\Delta^{n+1} := \{(x_0 \cdots x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2} \mid x_i \geq 0 \mid \sum x_i = 1\}$$

Then the $\partial\Delta^{n+1}$ consists of all faces of Δ^{n+1} . Now since Δ^{n+1} is a $(n+1)$ manifold with boundary implies that Δ^{n+1} is homeomorphic to D^{n+1} . This implies the boundary is homeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^n and so the boundary of the Δ^{n+1} simplex is homeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^n .

This intuitively makes sense as \mathbb{S}^1 requires 3 simplicies to describe and \mathbb{S}^2 requires 4 to describe.

- (2) For any n points there exists a $n-1$ plane that they all lie on. Therefore we need $n+1$ points at the least to define a simplicial complex that has volume in n dimensions, which is the number of points we used in the previous question.
- (3) For $\mathbb{S}^0 = \{-1, 1\}$ we get that it has exactly 2 connected components so $H_0\mathbb{S}^0 = \mathbb{Z}^2$, $H_n\mathbb{S}^0 = 0, 1 \leq n$.

For $\mathbb{S}^n, 1 \leq n$, we get that $H_0(\mathbb{S}^n = \partial\Delta^{n+1}) = \frac{\ker(d_0)}{\text{im}(d_1)} = \frac{C_0}{\text{im}(d_1)}$ so since the differential for $\text{im}(d_1)$ imply there is one connected component, it is equal to \mathbb{Z} .

Similarly, for $H_n(\mathbb{S}^n)$ since there are no $n+1$ faces in \mathbb{S}^n , we get that $H_n(\mathbb{S}^n)$ is nonzero and therefore \mathbb{Z} .

In $1 \leq k < n$, $H_k(\mathbb{S}^n)$, there are no holes in \mathbb{S}^n outside of n so $H_k(\mathbb{S}^n) = 0$.

The pattern then matches to $H_k(\mathbb{S}^n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & k = 0 \text{ or } k = n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$. Thus for \mathbb{S}^1 it is

$\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}, 0, \dots$, \mathbb{S}^2 it is $\mathbb{Z}, 0, \mathbb{Z}, 0, \dots$ and for \mathbb{S}^3 it is $\mathbb{Z}, 0, 0, \mathbb{Z}, 0, \dots$.



Problem 3:

- (1) Torus: The construction of a torus can be created by creating a triangular prism and then embedding a triangle inside that connects each edge of the triangle to the same edge on the top and bottom of the triangular prism.

I think you can use less points for a torus by having two triangles one embedded in another and an external point, although I am not sure how to construct it.

- (2) $\mathbb{R}P^2$: Create the simplicial complex for \mathbb{S}^2 (a tetrahedron). Then embed a vertex in each face and connect each that vertex to the opposite facing point in the tetrahedron. This is because $\mathbb{R}P^2$ is homeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^2 mod the equivalence relation that identifies opposite points as the same. I think this is the minimum number of points needed.
- (3) Klein Bottle: A pyramid with the bottom face removed and a series of triangular faces that wrap around onto the back face.

I am not sure about the minimum number of points needed.

Problem 4:

(1)

Claim 0.4 (Existence of an identity morphism):

For any object m , There exists the identity morphism defined as $id_A := I_m$ where I_m is the identity matrix of size m such that given $f : m \rightarrow n$ represented as a $n \times m$ matrix then $f \circ id_m = fI_m = f$ and given $f : l \rightarrow m$ represented as a $m \times l$ matrix, $id_m \circ f = I_m f = f$ Thus there exists an identity morphism. 🧐

Claim 0.5 (Composition is associative):

Given three morphisms between nonnegative objects n, l, k, m we define

$$h : n \rightarrow l, g : l \rightarrow k, f : k \rightarrow m$$

Which implies that h is a $l \times n$ matrix, g is a $k \times l$ matrix, and f is a $m \times k$ matrix. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ (g \circ h) &= f(gh) \\ (f \circ g) \circ h &= (fg)h \end{aligned}$$

And since matrix multiplication on the rationals is trivially known to be associative then the composition of morphisms is also associative. 🧐


Thus since we have a collection of objects, a set of morphisms between objects (described in question), shown existence of an identity morphism, and that composition of morphisms is associative, this object is indeed a category. 🧐

(2) Define the functor $F(m) := \mathbb{Q}^m, G(V) := \dim(V)$.

Now given $A : m \rightarrow n$ and $B : l \rightarrow m \implies F(A \circ B) = F(n) = \mathbb{Q}^n$ and $F(A) \circ F(B)$ maps $\mathbb{Q}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^n$ which is equal to $F(A \circ B)$.

Now given G and morphism $f : V \rightarrow W$ use axiom of choice to construct an arbitrary basis for V . Then there exists some unique linear map (matrix) that maps $V \rightarrow W$ to the respective chosen basis. This implies that $G(f) : G(V) \rightarrow G(W)$ is a morphism in C which implies since composition of matrix multiplication responds to the underlying linear maps that G is a functor. 🧐

Problem 5:


- (1) Suppose that $x \in NP$. Then x is finite with some cardinality n by definition and consists of the elements $\{p_0, \dots, p_n\}$ where there is an ordering $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_n$. Now removing p_1 or p_n gives the set $\{p_2, \dots, p_n\}$ or $\{p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}\}$ which trivially has the ordering $p_2 < p_3 < \dots < p_n$ or $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_{n-1}$. Thus they must also be in NP . Now removing p_i , $1 < i < n$ implies that there is a set $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{i-1}, p_{i+1}, \dots, p_n\}$. Now since it is known that $p_{i-1} < p_i$ and $p_i < p_{i+1}$ then by transitivity $p_{i-1} < p_{i+1}$ which implies there is an ordering $p_1 < \dots < p_{i-1} < p_{i+1} < p_n$. Thus there is an ordering and this set must be in NP . Removing 1 element from a set with cardinality 2 is also solved since subsets with cardinality 1 are trivially ordered. Thus every subset of x containing exactly 1 less element than x is also in NP . Continuing this process recursively results in finite termination since x is finite and also results in every subset of x being generated by recursively removing a single element in x that is not in the subset implying this element x' is also in NP and recursively repeating this process on x' with an element in x' but not in the desired subset of x . Thus every subset of $x \in NP$ is also in $NP \forall x \implies NP$ is a simplicial complex. 

- (2) Given a morphism $f : P \rightarrow Q$ in $PoSet$, define the functor N as $N(f) : N(P) \mapsto N(Q)$.

Now since $id_P : P \rightarrow P$ is the identity then $N(id_P)$ is the identity on P . Thus $N(id_P) = id_{N(P)}$.

Now since $N(g) \circ N(f)(p) = N(g)(N(f)(p)) = N(g)(f(p)) = g(f(p))$ Hence associativity is preserved and it is a functor.

Then $N(f)$ is a simplicial map since $N(g \circ f)(P)$ returns $N(g \circ f)(P) = N(g) \circ N(f)(P)$ which is a set consisting of the finite sets of Q with ordering $p_0 \leq \dots \leq p_n$ which implies from the first part of the question it is a.

- (3) Since $x \leq y$ becomes $y \leq x$ in $P^{op} \implies P^{op}(A, B) = P(B, A)$. This means there is an isomorphism between $P^{op}(A, B)$ and $P(B, A)$ which implies that the cardinality of $|NP|$ and $|NP^{op}|$ are equivalent. 

- (4) Define $cf := f := \{f(p_0) \dots f(p_k)\}$. Then

$$cf(x) = f(x) = \{f(p)|p \in x\} \subseteq f(x') = cf(x').$$

Thus it is order preserving. This implies that $c(id_L)(x) = \{id_L(v)|v \in x\} = x$. So identity map is preserved. and

$$(cg)(cf(x)) = (cg)(\{f(p)|p \in x\}) = \{g(f(p))|p \in x\} = (g \circ f)(x) = c(g \circ f)(x)$$

Thus associativity is defined so it is a functor. Since it is order preserving it follows reflexivity and transitive properties so it is a poset.

- (5) Now since the property that all subsets of all elements are in a simplex, mapping to the max vertex in the order implies that all subset vertices are also included in the map so it is simplicial.