Examples of Perfect Formulations

Definition 1. A matching in a graph G = (V, E) is a subset $E' \subseteq E$ of edges that are pairwise disjoint. In other words, E' is a matching if the graph G' = (V, E') has maximum degree at most 1.

The maximum (cardinality) matching problem admits the following IP formulation, where m = |E|.

$$\max \sum_{e \in E} x_e$$

$$\sum_{e \in \delta(v)} x_e \le 1, \ v \in V$$

$$x \in \{0, 1\}^m.$$

Theorem 1. The constraint matrix for the degree-based matching formulation is TUM if and only if the graph is bipartite.

In general, the degree-based matching formulation is not integral. For example, consider the case where $G = C_3$ or $G = C_5$.

This observation leads to Edmonds' Blossom inequalities, where $E[U] := \{\{u,v\} \in E \mid u,v \in U\}.$

$$\sum_{e \in E[U]} x_e \leq \frac{|U|-1}{2}, \quad U \subseteq V, |U| \text{ odd.}$$

Argue that blossom inequalities are CG inequalities.

Theorem 2 (Edmonds, 1965). The following is a perfect formulation for matchings.

$$\sum_{e \in \delta(v)} x_e \le 1, \qquad v \in V$$

$$\sum_{e \in E[U]} x_e \le \frac{|U| - 1}{2}, \qquad U \subseteq V, \ |U| \ odd$$

$$x \ge 0.$$

Edmonds proved this algorithmically. Later, the system was proven totally dual integral (TDI).

Matroids and their polyhedra

A classical example of a perfect formulation is for matroids, as shown by Edmonds. Matroids generalize the notion of linear independence in vector spaces.

Definition 2 (Whitney, 1935). A matroid M is a pair (I, \mathcal{I}) , where I is a finite "ground" set and \mathcal{I} is a collection of subsets of I that satisfies the following properties:

- 1. (nonemptiness) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$;
- 2. (heredity) if $J \in \mathcal{I}$ and $J' \subseteq J$, then $J' \in \mathcal{I}$;
- 3. (augmentation/exchange) if $S, B \in \mathcal{I}$ and |S| < |B|, then there exists $b \in B \setminus S$ such that $S \cup \{b\} \in \mathcal{I}$.

If (I, \mathcal{I}) satisfies the first two properties, it is called an independence system.

Definition 3 (rank). If (I, \mathcal{I}) is an independence system, the rank of a subset $J \subseteq I$ is:

$$r(J) := \max\{|J'| : J' \in \mathcal{I}, \ J' \subseteq J\}.$$

Exercise. Give a matroid over the ground set $I = \{1, 2, 3\}$ for which r(I) = 2.

Exercise. Give an independence system over $I = \{1, 2, 3\}$ with r(I) = 2 that is not a matroid.

Remark 1. Independence systems are common in combinatorial optimization. Examples include:

- feasible solutions to a 0-1 knapsack problem;
- the set of cliques in a graph.

Exercise. Suppose G = (V, E) is a simple graph. Prove that (E, \mathcal{E}) is a matroid, where \mathcal{E} contains those edge subsets E' for which (V, E') is a forest. (This is called the graphic matroid.)

Matroids and the greedy algorithm

Definition 4. If $M = (I, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid, then a subset $J \subseteq I$ with $J \in \mathcal{I}$ and r(J) = r(I) is called a basis. In other words, it is of maximum cardinality.

What are the bases for the graphic matroid assuming G is connected?

Theorem 3 (Edmonds, 1971). The following greedy algorithm finds a minimum cost basis for a matroid $M = (I, \mathcal{I})$ where $i \in I$ has cost c_i :

- 1. $S \leftarrow \emptyset$
- 2. while S is not a basis do:
 - (a) pick $i \in I \setminus S$ of minimum cost c_i such that $S \cup \{i\} \in \mathcal{I}$;
 - (b) $S \leftarrow S \cup \{i\};$
- 3. return S.

If you apply this greedy algorithm to the graphic matroid, what algorithm do you get?

Theorem 4 (Edmonds, 1971). If $M = (I, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid with rank function r, then the matroid polytope

$$P_M := \operatorname{conv}\left\{x^J \mid J \in \mathcal{I}\right\}$$

is fully described by the nonnegativity bounds and rank inequalities, i.e.,

$$P_M = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}_+^{|I|} \middle| \sum_{j \in J} x_j \le r(J), \ \forall J \subseteq I \right\}.$$

Given this theorem, how would you get a perfect formulation for bases of a matroid?

Corollary 1. The spanning tree polytope of G = (V, E) is fully described by the inequalities:

$$\sum_{e \in E} x_e = n - 1 \tag{1}$$

$$\sum_{e \in E[U]} x_e \le |U| - 1, \ U \subseteq V, \ 2 \le |U| \le n - 1$$
 (2)

$$x_e \ge 0. (3)$$