Convexity

Definition 1 (convex set). A set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is convex if, for any two points in S, the line segment joining them is also in S, i.e., if $x, y \in S$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, then $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in S$.

Definition 2 (convex function). A function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex if, for any two points $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, we have $f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$.

What is the connection between convex sets and convex functions?

Proposition 1. A function f is convex if and only if its epigraph epi(f) is a convex set, where

$$epi(f) := \{(x, z) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n, z \in \mathbb{R}, z \ge f(x)\}.$$

 (\Longrightarrow)

 (\longleftarrow)

Convex Hulls

Definition 3 (convex hull). The convex hull of a set S, denoted conv. hull(S) or simply conv(S), is defined as the intersection of all convex sets that contain S.

Definition 4 (convex combination). A point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be a convex combination of points in S if there exists a finite set of points $x^1, \ldots, x^p \in S$ and scalars $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_p$ such that

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i x^i, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i = 1, \qquad \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p \ge 0.$$

Proposition 2. The convex hull of S can alternatively be defined as:

- 1. conv(S) is the inclusion-wise minimal convex set that contains S;
- 2. $\operatorname{conv}(S) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x \text{ is a convex combination of points in } S\}.$

Proof. Exercise. \Box

Proposition 3. Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, $\sup\{c^T x \mid x \in S\} = \sup\{c^T x \mid x \in \text{conv}(S)\}$. Further, the supremum of $c^T x$ is attained over S if and only if it is attained over conv(S).

Why is this proposition important for us?

Meyer's Theorem

In this class, we are interested in feasible sets of the form $S = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^p \mid Ax + Gy \leq b\}$.

Theorem 1 (Meyer, 1974). If A, G, and b contain only rational entries, then there exist rational A', G', and b' such that $\operatorname{conv}(S) = \{(x,y) \mid A'x + G'y \leq b'\}$, i.e., it is a polyhedron.

Why is this theorem important for us?

Remark 1 (The rationality assumption is needed). The following IP has supremum 0, but it is not attained. The convex hull of its feasible points is not a polyhedron.

$$\max -\sqrt{2}x_1 + x_2 - \sqrt{2}x_1 + x_2 \le 0$$

$$x_1 \ge 1$$

$$x_2 \ge 0$$

$$x_1, x_2 \text{ integer.}$$

Why is this not too discouraging?