

APAL Project Proposal: Tour Of 2D Global Illumination Techniques

Austin J. Maddison
austin.mad@student.mahidol.edu
Mahidol University International College

1 Problem Description

One of the most critical components of realistic rendering is **Indirect Illumination**, also known as **Global Illumination (GI)**. Offline renderers typically solve for the radiance of visible surfaces in a scene using Monte Carlo Raytracing[1], iterating until the image converges to a noise-free result. This level of computation is infeasible for real-time rendering budget of $\leq 26\text{ms}$ per frame without specialized optimizations because of ray-tracing's long running time to converge.

2 Literature Review

Over the past decade, real-time GI solutions have relied on secondary scene representations, probe-based methods, or pre-baked lighting for static environments. These techniques generally fall into the following four categories:

- (1) **Lightmaps**
 - Store ray-traced lighting data in texture maps.
 - Baked in advance for static scenes.
- (2) **Tracing with Alternative Scene Representations**
 - Uses efficient structures such as:
 - Discrete Signed Distance Fields
 - Voxels
 - Proxies (low LOD proxies of LOD0 scene meshes)
- (3) **Screen-Space Methods**
 - Raytrace against information in the G-buffer (Depth, Albedo, Normal Buffer).
- (4) **Irradiance Probes**
 - Stores a coarse irradiance field.
 - Can be precomputed or updated dynamically.

Additional modern techniques that try to bring Monte Carlo Raytracing into realtime include:

- **Machine Learning (ML)**
 - Traces a low number of rays and infers missing details through denoising and upscaling.
- **Caching & Reuse**
 - **Temporal Accumulation & Reprojection:** Uses previous frames to refine current results.
 - **ReSTIR:** Reuses and resamples importance-traced rays for efficient global illumination.

Irradiance Probes

Among these techniques, **Irradiance Probes**[2] have been one of the most favorable approaches due to their:

- Simplicity
- Scalability

However, they have notable **limitations**:

- They are usually have a coarse resolution because they are placed sparsely which limits their ability to capture fine details in exchange for performance.

For non static scenes increasing probe density significantly raises computational costs since the number of required rays scales accordingly, so its a bit of a conundrum. Thus, finding an optimal balance remains a challenge in real-time GI solutions.

Radiance Cascades

In 2021, Alexander Sannikov, a programmer at Grinding Gear Games, developed a new GI solution called **radiance cascades**[3] while working on Path Of Exile 2. This solution draws inspiration from irradiance probes and the relationship between linear and angular resolution required to accurately capture an object's shape within a given area. Radiance cascades demonstrate better fidelity than irradiance probes while having a similar performance.

Radiance cascades utilize a hierarchy of cascading probes arranged in a grid. Unlike traditional (like Irradiance Probes) methods that shoot rays in random directions to measure the radiance at a point in the scene, radiance cascades employ a more structured approach. At each successive level in the cascade, the probes become more sparse but have higher angular resolution. This means that as you move up the levels, there are fewer probes, but they can capture more detailed angular information about the light in the scene. This hierarchical structure allows for efficient and accurate global illumination by balancing the density and resolution of probes.

Notable **limitations**:

- Since of its complexity, implementing the solution to 3D world-space hasn't been well explored or documented.

3 Application

I aim to develop a **GPU benchmarking program**. This app will allow users to load simple 2D scene descriptions, including lights, primitives, and material definitions. The application will then render the scene using **three different GI solutions in screen-space** for benchmarking purposes.

- (1) **Reference Per Pixel Raytracer** (*non-deterministic*).
- (2) **Irradiance Probes** (*non-deterministic*).
- (3) **Radiance Cascades** (*deterministic*).

I chose 2D as it reduces implementation time while still being able to appreciate and draw observations from the different algorithms.

Theory

For each GI solution I will discuss,

- (1) **how they work**
- (2) **time complexity**

How each succeeding GI solution (Monte-Carlo Raytracing → Irradiance Probes → Radiance Cascades) was motivated from the last.

Practice

For each GI solution I will compare their performance and fidelity using the outputs from the benchmarking application...

- (1) **running time**
- (2) **image output**

References

- [1] James T. Kajiya, *The rendering equation*, SIGGRAPH '86: Proceedings of the 13th annual conference on Computer graphics and interactive techniques, Pages 143 - 150, <https://doi.org/10.1145/15922.15902>, Published: 31 August 1986.
- [2] Carsten Dachsbacher, *Cascaded Light Propagation Volumes for Real-Time Indirect Illumination*, Crytek GmbH, VISUS / University Stuttgart, 2010.
- [3] Alexander Sannikov, *Radiance Cascades: A Novel Approach to Calculating Global Illumination [WIP]*, Grinding Gear Games.

Received Thursday 27th February, 2025