Ranges, Partitioning, and Limitations

PgDay Austin 2016 Corey Huinker

What is this talk about?

An overview of what Range Types are and what they can do.

A series of gripes about what they can't do.

Cool uses for Range Types in my work at Moat (http://moat.com).

Why are Range Types Important?

- They allow your data to more accurately convey meaning.
- They allow your code to more accurately convey intention.
- Indexability, Exclusion constraints
- No other RDBMS has them [1], giving PostgreSQL an expressive advantage.

Range Basics: Bounds

Ranges behave like and are denoted by standard mathematical Interval Notation.

Notation	Means	Notation	Means
(x	values > x	[x	values >= x
у)	values < y	y]	values <= y
(,	No lower bound	,)	No upper bound
(,)	everything	empty	No values

Constructing Ranges

Casting from text:

```
select '[low,high]'::rangetype
select '[low,)'::rangetype
```

Omitting a bound means unbounded, regardless of inc/excl

Creation through constructor function

```
select rangetype(low,high,'[)')
select rangetype(null,high,'[)') <</pre>
```

Nulling a bound is the same as omitting it.

NOPE

Note: no polymorphic constructor

```
select to range(null::rangetype,low,high,'[]'); <=</pre>
```

Range Basics: Existing Types

- int4range: Range of integer
- int8range: Range of bigint
- numrange: Range of numeric
- tsrange: Range of timestamp without time zone
- tstzrange: Range of timestamp with time zone
- daterange: Range of date
- boolrange: Range of boolean
- textrange: range of text

Why no textrange type?

- Collation Sequences.
 - Would need on textrange per collation sequence.
- No telling how many collations are installed.
 - Or what order they were installed in.
- Need one oid per range type, just like any other type.
- Would have to pre-allocate them with static type definitions.
- Not going to burn that many oids on a bunch of maybes.
 - So just define one type per collation sequence that you'll need
 - You probably only need "C" and maybe one other.

Attribute functions:

Ranges can be decomposed into their component attributes.

Attribute functions In Action:

```
# \pset null '¤'
Null display is "¤".

# select state, lower(rng) as low_inf, lower_inf(rng) as low_inf, upper(rng), upper_inc(rng), upper_inf(rng), isempty(rng) as empty from temps;

state | low | low_inc | low_inf | upper | upper_inc | upper_inf | empty

ice | x | f | t | 32.0 | f | f | f
water | 32.0 | t | f | 212.0 | f | f | f
steam | 212.0 | t | f | x | f | t
heat death | x | f | f | x | f | t

(4 rows)
```

Operators: =, <>

Discrete ranges normalize to the [) bound via the defined *canonical* function, and are then tested for equivalence. Continuous ranges do not have a *canonical* function, and are tested as-is.

Expression	
select '(1,10]'::int4range;	[2,11)
<pre>select '[yesterday,today]'::daterange = '[yesterday,tomorrow)'::daterange;</pre>	t
select '[1,3]'::numrange = '[1,4)'::numrange;	f
<pre>select '[1,3]'::numrange = '[1,3.000000000000000000001)'::numrange;</pre>	f

Operators: <, <=, >, >=

- Test lower bound scalar first, then use upper bound as a tiebreaker
 - Which isn't really intuitive, but then again neither are the alternatives:
 - Median?
 - Number of (discrete) values contained?
- Therefore, not generally useful for userland queries.
- Used internally for indexing.

Operator <<

- "Strictly to the left of"
- a << b if normalized upper bound of a is < normalized lower bound of b

Operator >>

- "Strictly to the right of"
- a >> b if normalized lower bound of a is > normalized upper bound of b

Operator &<

- "Does not extend to the right of"
- No element of a is > greatest element of b

Operator &>

- "Does not extend to the left of"
- No element of a is < least element of b

Operator - | -

- "adjacent"
- There is no overlap nor space between *a* and *b*.
- It doesn't matter which range is lower

Operators <@ and @>

- "contains", same as the geometric operators
- The value or range on the pointy side fits entirely within the range on the @ side
- It doesn't matter which range is lower

Operator &&

- "overlap", same as the geometric operator
- At least one <u>value</u> can fit in both ranges

Operator + (and the range_merge() function)

Union: All elements in both, if there are no gaps

```
\# select int4range(1,4) + int4range(2,10) as x;
   X
 [1, 10)
\# select int4range(1,2) + int4range(99,100) as y;
ERROR: result of range union would not be contiquous
# select range merge(int4range(1,2),int4range(99,100)) as z;
    7.
                      New in 9.5!
                     Available for earlier version in range type functions on PGXN
 [1,100)
```

Operator *

• Intersection: all elements in common, if any

Operator -

- Difference: all elements in a but not in b
- Will raise an error if the difference would return 2 disjoint sets

```
# select int4range(1,100) - int4range(1,10) as x;
    x
-----
[10,100)

# select int4range(1,100) - int4range(2,10) as x;
ERROR: result of range difference would not be contiguous
```

Missing Function: range_split()

- Same as the operator, but returning the left side remainder and right side remainder
- returns an array of the resulting ranges
- a SRF would be nice too.

Missing Operators = |, |=

Operators to test whether two ranges share a lower (=|) bound or upper bound (|=)

Missing Operators: elem <<, >>

- Same as the current <</>> operators, but allow the one arg to be a scalar.
- May be a problem for existing bitshift operators

```
hypotethical# select 1::integer << '[1,10]'::int4range as w, 1::integer << '(1,4]'::int4range as x, 4::integer >> '[1,4]'::int4range as y, 4::integer >> '(,4)'::int4range as z;
```

```
w | x | y | z
---+---+---
f | t | f | t
```

```
Can be simulated by creating a singleton range:
int4range(1,1,'[]') << int4range(2,11,'[]')</pre>
```

Missing Operator: elem <=> range

- Returns 0 if element a <@ range b.
- -1 if a << b, 1 if a >> b
- basically strcmp() but for ranges

```
w | x | y | z
---+---+----
0 | -1 | 0 | 1
```

Implemented as element_range_comp() in range_type_functions on PGXN

Missing Functions: is_singleton()

Return true if the range can contain only one element.

Found in range_type_functions on PGXN

Missing Functions: get bounds

- Represent either or both bounds conditions as SQL
- Helpful when constructing CHECK / WHERE clauses or dealing with foreign systems that don't support that range type or ranges in general.

Partitioning by Ranges Use Case

Use case is a series of "typeahead search" tables:

- Hundreds of millions of rows.
- Grouped by a taxonomy of 5 text strings of increasing length.
- The searchable text is usually 5-20 words per record
- Need a way to partition the table, but only text types available.
- Distribution is highly uneven along strict alphabetical lines.

Text Range Partitioning Advantages

- partitions have smaller GIN indexes on the searchable columns, so smaller BitmapAnd steps
- Ability to isolate very large clients.
- Search dataset evolves over time the lumps in the data move, but slowly.
- Partition maintenance only when data is starting to skew, much different from timeseries.

range_partitioning module

- On PGXN
- Functions closely match those in pg_partman.
 - o create parent(table,column name)
 - starts with implied range of (,)
 - o create_partition(table, new_range)
 - new partition range must be perfect subset of an existing range, and match lower or upper bound.
 - o drop_partition(lost_part,kept_part)
 - merge all data from lost_part into kept_part

range_partitioning module

- SELECT / INSERT / UPDATE queries are transparent.
- Does trigger function for transparent INSERT
- Probably better having bulk loads separated by partitioned value, and probing for the destination partition with get_destination_partition(), if possible.
- The create_parent() function cannot seamlessly derive the base type if more than one range type has that base type.
- Ranges are specified as un-casted text strings.

range_partitioning example

Use case: Message board for fans of TV shows. The site's users skew heavily towards certain niche shows.^[1]

```
/* Turn existing table into a parent table. One partition with range (,) */
select range partitioning.create parent('public.spoiler alerts',
                                        'tv show name');
/* Create a partition just for the show ARCHER, but all new partitions must
share an edge with an existing partition, so you may need to explicitly
create more than one */
select range partitioning.create partition('public.spoiler alerts',
                                           '(,ARCHER)');
select range partitioning.create partition('public.spoiler alerts',
                                           '[ARCHER, ARCHER]');
```

^[1] The niche is defined as "Shows I can name".

range_partitioning example (part 2)

range_partitioning: partition list

```
# select partition number, range
  from range partitioning.partition
 where master class = 'public.spoiler alerts'::regclass;
partition number |
                    range
               0 | (GAME OF THRONES, RICK AND MORTY)
               1 | (, ARCHER)
               2 | [ARCHER, ARCHER]
                3 | (ARCHER, GAME OF THRONES]
                4 | (RICK AND MORTY,)
                5 | [RICK AND MORTY, RICK AND MORTY]
```

range_partitioning type discovery

The create_parent(table,column) function doesn't need to have the range type specified if only one range type would work for that column.

```
/* if this returns more than one row, then we have to specify a range type
*/
select rt.rngtypid
from pg_attribute a
join pg_range rt
on rt.rngsubtype = a.atttypid
and rt.rngcollation = a.attcollation
where a.attrelid = 'my_schema.my_parent_table'::regclass
and a.attname = 'my partitioning column';
```

Complex Range Partitioning

- Possible to partition on ranges of complex types
 - That complex type must exist in the table itself, it can't be more than one column
 - So re-expose the components in a view.

Future Direction: range_partitioning

- Add functions to predict proper partition ranges for equal-ish row counts
 - width_buckets() works ok, but will sometimes skip some buckets entirely. You ask for 16 partitions, get ~13.
- Add functions to analyze existing partitions for skew
- Become obsolete.
 - Native Partitioning coming to PostgreSQL in v10, probably.
 - Existing work supports ranges but not range syntax.
 - Using BOUNDS syntax, not ranges.
 - Might only support '[)' ranges.

Links

Range Partitioning extension:

PGXN: http://pgxn.org/dist/range_partitioning/

GitHub: https://github.com/moat/range_partitioning

Range Type Functions:

PGXN: http://pgxn.org/dist/range_type_functions/

GitHub: https://github.com/moat/range_type_functions [*]

[*] - likely moving to new ownership