

## Assignment 1

### 1. Common Subsequence:

```
private static int LCSequence(char[] s1, char[] s2, int s1Len, int s2Len) {  
    if ( s1Len == 0 || s2Len == 0 )  
        return 0;  
    else if (s1[s1Len-1] == s2[s2Len-1])  
        return 1 + LCSequence(s1, s2, s1Len-1, s2Len-1);  
  
    return Math.max(LCSequence(s1, s2, s1Len-1, s2Len), LCSequence(s1, s2, s1Len, s2Len-1));  
}
```

**Complexity:  $O(2^N)$**

**Input: `s1.length` or `s2.length`**

The worst-case performance of each recursive function call

$$T(M, N) = k + T(M-1, N) + T(M, N-1)$$

For arbitrarily large values of M and N, each recursive call creates two additional function calls.

Also, half of the branches have a depth of M, and the other half has N. Viewing it as a recursive tree, each root node creates two more child nodes, and if the current layer has N nodes, the next layer in depth will have  $2^N$  nodes (likewise with M). Therefore, assuming a worst-case complexity where  $N = M$ , the complexity is  $O(2^N)$ .

## 2. Common Substring

```
public static char[] LCSubstring(char[] s1, char[] s2) {
    int[][] lenMatrix = new int[s1.length][s2.length];
    int largestCol = 0;
    int largestDiagonal = 0;

    // Find length of longest substring
    for (int row = 0; row < s1.length; row++) {
        for (int col = 0; col < s2.length; col++) {
            if (s1[row] == s2[col]) {
                // Look at diagonal direction
                lenMatrix[row][col] = 1;

                // Add diagonal values not outside of matrix bounds
                if (row-1 >= 0 && col-1 >= 0)
                    lenMatrix[row][col] = lenMatrix[row][col] + lenMatrix[row-1][col-1];

                // Find largest diagonal
                if (lenMatrix[row][col] > largestDiagonal) {
                    largestDiagonal = lenMatrix[row][col];
                    largestCol = col;
                }
            }
        }
    }

    // Traverse backwards to find substring by counting columns backwards
    // will not access out of bounds indices of s2 b/c, the largest col <= length of smallest char[] input
    char[] subString = new char[largestDiagonal];
    for (int i = 0; i <= largestDiagonal-1; i++)
        subString[largestDiagonal-1-i] = s2[largestCol - i];

    return subString;
}
```

**Complexity:  $O(N^2)$**

**Input: s1.length or s2.length**

The function begins with initializing variables ( $O(1)$ ). Next, two nested loops occur where the outer loop has a time complexity of  $O(M)$ , where  $M = s1.length$ , and the inner loop has a time complexity of  $O(N)$ , where  $N = s2.length$ . Inside the inner loop, constant time operations occur, so the time complexity of the inner loop is still  $O(N)$ . The time complexity of both loops together is  $O(N^2)$  because worst case,  $M=N$ , and the loop must have  $O(N^2)$  or  $O(M^2)$  operations. Finally, another loop occurs after both previous loops end. The loop only iterates the same amount of times as the length of the largest substring. A substring will always be at most the

length of either input. The worst-case substring length occurs when  $M = N$  and both the strings are identical; hence, the loop will iterate  $N$  times ( $O(N)$ ). Finally, the return statement is a constant time operation. The final time complexity is  $O(N^2) + O(N) + O(1)$ , which can be simplified as only  $O(N^2)$ .

### 3. Not Fibonacci

```
public static void notFib(Long n) {  
    Long num1 = 0L;  
    Long num2 = 1L;  
    Long sum = 0L;  
  
    if (n >= 1)  
        System.out.println(0);  
    if (n >= 2)  
        System.out.println(1);  
  
    int i = 3;  
    while(i <= n) {  
        sum = 3 * num1 + 2 * num2;  
        System.out.println(sum);  
        num1 = num2;  
        num2 = sum;  
        i++;  
    }  
}
```

**Complexity:  $O(N)$**

**Input:  $n$**

The function begins with constant time operations before entering a while loop, and assuming the worst case where  $n > 3$ , the while loop will iterate times  $n - 2$  with a resulting  $O(N-2) + O(1)$  time complexity, which simplifies down to  $O(N)$ .

#### 4. Remove Element

```
public static int removeElement(int[] nums, int val) {  
    int newIndex = 0;  
    int oldIndex = nums.length;  
    for (int i = 0; i < oldIndex; i++) {  
        if (nums[i] != val) {  
            nums[newIndex] = nums[i];  
            newIndex++;  
        }  
    }  
  
    return newIndex;  
}
```

**Complexity:  $O(N)$**

**Input: `nums.length`**

Like previous functions, it begins with constant time operations where variables are initialized ( $O(1)$ ). Subsequently, a loop occurs that will always iterate  $n$  times. Inside the loop are 2 constant time operations; the total time complexity of the loop is  $O(N + 2)$  or  $O(N)$ . Finally, a return statement happens, which is also a constant time operation,  $O(1)$ . By dropping the constant time operations, the total time complexity of the algorithm is  $O(N)$ .

## 5. Where In Sequence

```
public static int whereInSequence(Long Fn) {  
    Long num1 = 0L;  
    Long num2 = 1L;  
    Long sum = 0L;  
  
    if (Fn <= 0)  
        return 1;  
    if (Fn == 1)  
        return 2;  
  
    int i = 3;  
    while(i <= Fn) {  
        sum = 3 * num1 + 2 * num2;  
        if (sum > Fn) {  
            return i-1;  
        };  
        num1 = num2;  
        num2 = sum;  
        i++;  
    }  
  
    return -1;  
}
```

**Complexity:  $O(\log(N))$**

**Input: Fn**

Like previous functions, it begins with constant time operations,  $O(1)$ , where variables are initialized and some initial conditions are checked. Although the loop has the condition that it iterates while  $i \geq 3$  and  $i < Fn$ , which would suggest the loop iterates  $Fn-2$  times, which would result in a time complexity of  $O(N)$ ,  $Fn$  grows exponentially and requires fewer loop iterations to find the position in the sequence that is nearest to  $Fn$ .

By representing the notFibonnaci sequence using matrix operations

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_{n+1} \\ F_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^n \begin{bmatrix} F_1 \\ F_0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where } F_n = 1 \text{ and } F_{n-1} = 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_n \\ F_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F_n \\ F_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

After performing singular value decomposition and finding  $US^H$ , the diagonal matrix  $S$  can be rewritten as  $S^n$  because  $US^nH^T$  is equivalent to the previous expression.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^n & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \lambda_2^n \\ \lambda_1^n & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now, after solving for eigenvalues and vectors,  $F_n$  in the bottom row of the original left-hand matrix can be rewritten with the explicit formula  $((-3)^{(n-1)} - 1) / (4(-1)^{(n-1)}) = F_n$ .

As the equation demonstrates,  $F_n$  grows exponentially with respect to  $n$ , and thus,  $n$  corresponds to the  $n-2$  loops required to find  $F_n$ . Thus, the loops executed grow logarithmically with respect to  $F_n$ , which results in a time complexity of  $O(\log(N)) + O(1)$  or  $O(\log(N))$ .

### Extra Credit)

The first 100 entries in the Fibonacci sequence

Long is an 8-byte data type and stores integers from -9,223,372,036,854,775,808 to 9,223,372,036,854,775,807. The Sequence is growing too fast; hence, numbers above the upper bound of the data type are overflowing and becoming values in its lower bound.

0

1

2

7

20

61

182

547

1640

4921  
14762  
44287  
132860  
398581  
1195742  
3587227  
10761680  
32285041  
96855122  
290565367  
871696100  
2615088301  
7845264902  
23535794707  
70607384120  
211822152361  
635466457082  
1906399371247  
5719198113740  
17157594341221  
51472783023662  
154418349070987  
463255047212960  
1389765141638881  
4169295424916642

12507886274749927  
37523658824249780  
112570976472749341  
337712929418248022  
1013138788254744067  
3039416364764232200  
9118249094292696601  
8908003209168538186  
8277265553796062943  
6385052587678637212  
708413689326360021  
2125241067979080062  
6375723203937240187  
680425538102168944  
2041276614306506833  
6123829842919520498  
-75254544950990121  
-225763634852970364  
-677290904558911091  
-2031872713676733274  
-6095618141030199821  
159889650618952152  
479668951856856457  
1439006855570569370  
4317020566711708111  
-5495682373574427284



1959696952986269765  
5879090858958809294  
-809471496833123733  
-2428414490499371200  
-7285243471498113599  
-3408986340784789182  
8219785051355184071  
6212611080356000596  
191089167358450173  
573267502075350518  
1719802506226051555  
5159407518678154664  
-2968521517675087623  
-8905564553025262870  
-8269949585366236993  
-6363104682389159364  
-642569973457926475  
-1927709920373779426  
-5783129761121338277  
1097354790345536784  
3292064371036610353  
-8570550960599720558  
-7264908808089610057  
-3347982350559278556  
8402797022031715949  
6761646992385596230

1838196903447237075

5514590710341711224

-1902971942684417943

-5708915828053253830

1319996589549790127

3959989768649370380

-6566774767761440475

-1253580229574769810

-3760740688724309429

7164522007536623328

3046821948900318369

9140465846700955106

8974653466393313703