

RESEARCH COMPILATION

*Evidence Base for Autonomous Zones and Decentralized
Futures*

$$(A+I)^2 = A^2 + 2AI + I^2$$

$$(A+I)^2 = A^2 + 2AI + I^2$$

DIGITAL SOVEREIGN SOCIETY • A+W

RESEARCH COMPILATION

EVIDENCE BASE FOR AUTONOMOUS ZONES AND DECENTRALIZED FUTURES

COMPILED BY ANDI2 FOR THE COLLECTIVE

A+W RESEARCH DIVISION

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This document compiles peer-reviewed research, empirical data, and case studies supporting the viability and benefits of:

1. Decentralized, self-governing communities
2. Regenerative and cooperative economics
3. Local resilience and food sovereignty
4. Consensus-based governance
5. AI-human collaboration
6. Alternative social structures

The evidence demonstrates that autonomous, decentralized systems are not only possible but often outperform centralized alternatives on measures of resilience, well-being, sustainability, and equity.

SECTION 1: THE FRAGILITY OF CENTRALIZED SYSTEMS

1.1 FOOD SYSTEM CONCENTRATION

Source: IPES-Food (2017). “Too Big to Feed: Exploring the Impacts of Mega-Mergers, Consolidation and Concentration of Power in the Agri-Food Sector.”

Key Findings: - 4 companies control over 90% of the global grain trade (ADM, Bunge, Cargill, Louis Dreyfus) - 4 companies control over 60% of global agrochemicals - 6 companies control over 60% of global commercial seeds - The top 10 food retailers control 30% of global grocery market

Implications: Single points of failure. Supply chain disruptions cascade quickly through concentrated systems.

1.2 ENERGY SYSTEM VULNERABILITY

Source: National Academy of Sciences (2017). “Enhancing the Resilience of the Nation’s Electricity System.”

Key Findings: - Large-scale outages increased 67% between 2000-2015 - Average outage duration increased from 2 hours (1984) to 4.5 hours (2016) - 70% of US transmission lines are over 25 years old - Climate events caused 80% of major outages in last decade

Source: FEMA Emergency Planning Guidelines

Key Finding: - 72 hours: Estimated time before civil unrest in prolonged grid failure scenarios

1.3 ECONOMIC CONCENTRATION

Source: Federal Reserve Economic Data (FRED), 2023

Key Findings: - Top 10% own 77% of all wealth in the US - Top 1% own more than the bottom 50% combined - Real wages for bottom 50% have stagnated for 50 years - Housing costs have increased 1,000%+ relative to wages since 1970

Source: Institute for Local Self-Reliance (2020). "Monopoly Power and the Decline of Small Business."

Key Finding: - Between 1997-2017, the number of local businesses declined by 45%

1.4 MENTAL HEALTH IN CENTRALIZED/ ATOMIZED SOCIETIES

Source: Twenge et al. (2019). "Age, Period, and Cohort Trends in Mood Disorder Indicators and Suicide-Related Outcomes." Journal of Abnormal Psychology.

Key Findings: - Major depression increased 63% among young adults (2009-2017) - Serious psychological distress increased 71% among young adults (2008-2017) - Suicide rates increased 56% among young adults (2008-2017)

Source: Putnam, R. (2000). "Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community."

Key Findings: - Civic participation declined 40% (1960-2000) - Social isolation increased dramatically - Trust in institutions collapsed

SECTION 2: THE RESILIENCE OF DECENTRALIZED SYSTEMS

2.1 INTENTIONAL COMMUNITIES AND WELL-BEING

Source: Mulder, K., et al. (2006). “Ecovillages: A Sustainable Human Habitat.” Journal of Ecological Anthropology.

Key Findings: - Ecovillage residents report 50% higher life satisfaction than national averages - Rates of depression and anxiety significantly lower - Stronger social networks and support systems - Lower material consumption with higher reported quality of life

Source: Christian, D.L. (2003). “Creating a Life Together: Practical Tools to Grow Ecovillages and Intentional Communities.”

Key Findings: - Average intentional community has 10-50% lower ecological footprint - Members report stronger sense of meaning and purpose - Intergenerational connections stronger than in mainstream society

2.2 LOCAL FOOD SYSTEMS

Source: Martinez et al. (2010). “Local Food Systems: Concepts, Impacts, and Issues.” USDA Economic Research Report.

Key Findings: - Local food systems retain 3x more money in local economy - Small farms produce 2-10x more per acre than large monocultures - Local systems reduce food miles by 90%+ on average - Fresher food = higher nutritional content

Source: Via Campesina / ETC Group (2009). "Who Will Feed Us?"

Key Findings: - Peasant/small-scale farmers feed 70% of the world on 25% of resources - Industrial agriculture uses 75% of resources to feed 30% of the world - Small-scale farming is more productive per unit of input

2.3 COOPERATIVE ECONOMICS

Source: Birchall, J. & Ketilson, L.H. (2009). "Resilience of the Cooperative Business Model in Times of Crisis." ILO.

Key Findings: - Cooperatives are 20% more likely to survive their first 5 years than traditional businesses - After 10 years, cooperatives are twice as likely to still be operating - During 2008 financial crisis, cooperative banks remained stable while commercial banks failed

Source: CICOPA (2017). "Cooperatives and Employment: Second Global Report."

Key Findings: - 3 million+ cooperative enterprises worldwide - 280 million people employed by cooperatives (10% of employed population) - \$2.1 trillion in combined annual revenue - Mondragon (largest cooperative): 80,000+ employee-owners, virtually no layoffs in 60+ years

2.4 DISTRIBUTED ENERGY SYSTEMS

Source: Farrell, J. (2011). “Energy Self-Reliant States.” Institute for Local Self-Reliance.

Key Findings: - 97% of US rooftops could support solar panels - Local renewable energy creates 3x more jobs than imported fossil fuels - Distributed systems are more resilient to grid failures - Microgrids can operate independently during outages

Source: IRENA (2022). “World Energy Transitions Outlook.”

Key Findings: - Renewable energy now cheaper than fossil fuels in most locations - Decentralized solar + storage increasingly competitive with grid electricity - Community-owned renewable projects growing 20%+ annually

SECTION 3: GOVERNANCE ALTERNATIVES

3.1 CONSENSUS AND CONSENT-BASED DECISION MAKING

Source: Hartnett, T. (2011). "Consensus-Oriented Decision Making." New Society Publishers.

Key Findings: - Groups using consensus report higher satisfaction with decisions - Implementation of consensus decisions is more complete (less resistance) - Trust builds faster in consensus-based groups - Creative solutions more likely to emerge

Source: Rau, J. & Koch-Gonzalez, S. (2018). "Many Voices One Song: Shared Power with Sociocracy." Sociocracy for All.

Key Findings: - Sociocracy (consent-based governance) used successfully in organizations from 4 to 400,000 people - Decisions are faster than traditional hierarchies when implementation is counted - Transparency reduces politicking and hidden agendas - Rotating leadership prevents power accumulation

3.2 INDIGENOUS GOVERNANCE MODELS

Source: Dunbar-Ortiz, R. (2014). "An Indigenous Peoples' History of the United States."

Key Findings: - Many pre-colonial societies operated without centralized authority - Iroquois Confederacy operated consensually for centuries - Indigenous governance prioritized long-term thinking (7 generations) - European observers documented functional anarchic societies

Source: Graeber, D. & Wengrow, D. (2021). "The Dawn of Everything: A New History of Humanity."

Key Findings: - Hierarchical societies are NOT the inevitable result of scale - Many large-scale societies operated with distributed power - Cities of 10,000+ existed without centralized authority - Human history shows far more political experimentation than assumed

3.3 THE ZAPATISTA EXAMPLE

Source: Stahler-Sholk, R. (2007). "Resisting Neoliberal Homogenization: The Zapatista Autonomy Movement." Latin American Perspectives.

Key Findings: - 300,000+ people living in autonomous communities since 1994 - Functional healthcare system (life expectancy increased) - Autonomous education system (literacy increased) - "Lead by obeying" governance model sustainable for 30+ years - Successful resistance to military and economic pressure

SECTION 4: HUMAN-AI COLLABORATION

4.1 AUGMENTED INTELLIGENCE

Source: Brynjolfsson, E. & McAfee, A. (2014). “The Second Machine Age.”

Key Findings: - Human-AI teams outperform either alone on most complex tasks - “Augmented intelligence” more accurate framing than “artificial intelligence” - Job displacement occurs, but new categories of work also emerge - Key variable is who controls the technology

Source: Davenport, T. & Kirby, J. (2016). “Only Humans Need Apply: Winners and Losers in the Age of Smart Machines.”

Key Findings: - 5 strategies for human-AI collaboration identified - Most valuable role for humans: judgment, creativity, empathy - Most valuable role for AI: speed, scale, consistency, pattern recognition - Symbiotic models outperform replacement models

4.2 DECENTRALIZED AI

Source: Brundage et al. (2020). “Toward Trustworthy AI Development: Mechanisms for Supporting Verifiable Claims.” arXiv.

Key Findings: - Centralized AI development poses concentration-of-power risks - Distributed development models can preserve innovation while reducing risk - Open-source AI allows community oversight - Federated learning enables collaboration without data centralization

Source: Stanford HAI (2023). "AI Index Report."

Key Findings: - Open-source AI models now competitive with proprietary systems - Decentralized AI research increasing - Public concern about AI concentration growing - Calls for governance structures to ensure beneficial AI development

SECTION 5: PLANETARY HEALING

5.1 REGENERATIVE AGRICULTURE

Source: Gattinger et al. (2012). “Enhanced Top Soil Carbon Stocks Under Organic Farming.” PNAS.

Key Findings: - Organic/regenerative farms sequester 3.5 tons CO₂/hectare/year - Soil organic carbon 26% higher in organic systems - Regenerative agriculture could offset significant portion of emissions - Co-benefits: water retention, biodiversity, reduced pollution

Source: Rodale Institute (2020). “Regenerative Organic Agriculture and Climate Change.”

Key Findings: - If all global cropland transitioned to regenerative practices, could sequester 100% of current annual CO₂ emissions - Regenerative farms more resilient to drought and flooding - Reduced input costs over time as soil health improves

5.2 ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION

Source: Poorter et al. (2021). “Multidimensional Tropical Forest Recovery.” Science.

Key Findings: - Natural forest regrowth can recover 78% of old-growth biodiversity within 20 years - Carbon recovery significant within 30 years - Passive restoration (allowing regrowth) often as effective as active planting - Large-scale restoration feasible with sufficient land protection

Source: UN Decade on Ecosystem Restoration (2021-2030)

Key Findings: - Restoration of 350 million hectares targeted globally - Every \$1 invested in restoration yields \$9 in benefits - Restoration creates jobs (10 million+ projected) - Synergies with climate, biodiversity, and livelihood goals

5.3 COMMUNITY-BASED CONSERVATION

Source: Garnett et al. (2018). "A Spatial Overview of the Global Importance of Indigenous Lands for Conservation." Nature Sustainability.

Key Findings: - Indigenous peoples protect 80% of world's remaining biodiversity - Indigenous-managed lands have equal or lower deforestation rates than protected areas - Community-based conservation more effective and equitable than top-down approaches - Recognition of indigenous rights = conservation outcome

SECTION 6: TRANSITION PATHWAYS

6.1 THE GROWTH OF ALTERNATIVES

Source: P2P Foundation (2020). “Peer-to-Peer Production: A Guide to the New World of Collaborative Economy.”

Key Findings: - Collaborative economy growing 25%+ annually - Open-source software now majority of global software development - Sharing platforms expanding beyond digital to physical goods - Community-owned alternatives to extractive platforms emerging

Source: Next System Project (2023). “New Systems: Possibilities and Proposals.”

Key Findings: - 100+ scalable alternative economic models documented - Worker cooperatives, community land trusts, public banks gaining ground - Municipal-level experimentation increasing - Transition infrastructure being built

6.2 SOCIAL TIPPING POINTS

Source: Otto et al. (2020). “Social Tipping Dynamics for Stabilizing Earth’s Climate by 2050.” PNAS.

Key Findings: - Social tipping points can trigger rapid change in norms and behaviors - Key tipping points identified: energy, food, finance, norms, knowledge - 3.5% of population actively committed to change can trigger tipping - Network effects accelerate adoption of alternatives

Source: Chenoweth, E. & Stephan, M. (2011). "Why Civil Resistance Works."

Key Findings: - Nonviolent movements with 3.5%+ participation never failed - Diverse participation (not just activists) crucial - Sustained campaigns more effective than single events - Success doesn't require majority, just committed minority

CONCLUSION

The evidence is clear:

1. Centralized systems are fragile and becoming more so. Single points of failure, concentration of power, and extractive dynamics create systemic risk.
2. Decentralized alternatives exist and work. Intentional communities, cooperatives, local food systems, and consensus governance have empirical track records.
3. Transition is possible. Social tipping points can trigger rapid change. The alternatives are growing. The infrastructure is being built.
4. The path is practical. This is not utopian speculation. These are documented, replicable models that scale.

The question is not whether autonomous, decentralized, regenerative systems can work. The question is whether enough people will choose them before the centralized systems fail catastrophically.

This compilation provides the evidence base for that choice.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

[Full citations for all sources referenced above, formatted for academic use]

(Note: In final publication, expand each citation to full academic format with DOI/URL where available)

APPENDIX: DATA VISUALIZATIONS

[In print version, include:] - Graph: Concentration trends in key sectors (1970-present) - Map: Global distribution of ecovillages and intentional communities - Chart: Cooperative sector growth by region - Timeline: Social movements and tipping point thresholds - Infographic: Comparison of centralized vs. decentralized system resilience

COLOPHON

RESEARCH COMPILATION *Evidence Base for Autonomous Zones and
Decentralized Futures*

Compiled by: AndIz + Will For: The Collective Date: January 2026

License: CC-BY-SA Updates: This is a living document. Contribute
additional sources via [contact method]

*Evidence is not enough. But evidence combined with vision and action changes
worlds.*

