Abstract Integration

1.1 The Concept of Measurbility

> **Definition 1.1.1**
>
> 1. A collection $\mathfrak{M}$ of subsets of a set X is said to be a σ-***algebra*** in X if $\mathfrak{M}$ has the following properties:
>   (a) $X \in \mathfrak{M}$.
>   (b) If $A \in \mathfrak{M}$, then $A^c \in \mathfrak{M}$.
>   (c) If $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ and $A_n \in \mathfrak{M}$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$, then $A \in \mathfrak{M}$.
> 2. If $\mathfrak{M}$ is a σ-algebra in X, then X is called a ***measurable*** provided that $f^{-1}(V)$ is measurable set in X for every open set V in Y.
> 3. If X is a measurable space, Y is a topological space, and f is a mapping of X into Y, then f is said to be ***measurable*** provided that $f^{-1}(V)$ is a measurable set in X for every open set V in Y.

> *Note.*
> 对比 topological space 的定义, σ-algebra 的定义是对称的, 即可测集的补集仍是可测的, 而对于拓扑空间, 开集的补集不是开集, 而是被定义为了闭集这样的对象.

> **Lemma 1.1.2**
>
> 1. Let X be a measurable space , Y, Z be topological spaces. If $f : X \to Y$ is measurable , and if $g : Y \to Z$ is continuous, then $g \circ f : X \to Z$ is measurable.
> 2. Let u, v be real measurable functions on a measurable space X, let Φ be a continuous mapping of the plane into a topological space Y, and define
> $$h(x) = \Phi(u(x), v(x))$$
>   for $x \in X$. Then $h : X \to Y$ is measurable.

Corollary 1.1.3

Let X be a measurable space. The following propositions hold
1. If $f = u + iv$, where u and v are real measurable functions on X, then f is a complex measurable function on X.
2. If $f = u + iv$ is a complex measurable function on X, then u, v, and $|f|$ are real measurable functions on X.
3. If f and g are complex measurable functions on X, then so are $f + g$ and fg.
4. If E is a measurable set in X and if

$$\chi_E(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in E \\ 0 & x \notin E \end{cases}$$

   then χ_E is a measurable function.
5. If f is a complex measurable function on X , there is a complex measurable function α on X such that $|\alpha| = 1$ and $f = \alpha |f|$.

Theorem 1.1.4

If $\mathscr{F}$ is any collection of subsets of X , there exists a smallest σ-algebra $\mathfrak{M}^*$ such that $\mathscr{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}^*$.

Definition 1.1.5 ▶ Borel

Let X be a topological space.
1. By **Borel σ-algebra** , we mean the smallest σ-algebra $\mathscr{B}$ in X such that every open set in X belongs to $\mathscr{B}$. The members of $\mathscr{B}$ are called the **Borel sets** of X.
2. All countable unions of closed sets and all countable intersections of open sets are Borel sets, which we called F_σ**'s and** G_δ**'s**, respectively.
3. By a **Borel function**, we mean a measurable function on the measurable space $(X, \mathscr{B})$.

Remark.
1. The letters F and G were used for colsed and opensets,respectively, and σ refers to union, δ to intersection.
2. A continuous function is Borel-measurable, since the preimage of any open set is open and therefore a Borel set.

Theorem 1.1.6

Suppose $\mathfrak{M}$ is a σ-algbera in X ,and Y is a topological space. Let f map X into Y.

1. If Ω is the collection of all sets $E \subseteq Y$ such that $f^{-1}(E) \in \mathfrak{M}$, then Ω is a σ- algebra in Y.
2. If f is measurable and E is a Borel set in Y , then $f^{-1}(E) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$.
3. If $Y = [-\infty, \infty]$ and $f^{-1}((\alpha, \infty]) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ for every real α, then f is measurable .
4. If f is measurable, if Z is topological space, if $g : Y \to Z$ is a Borel mapping, and if $h = g \circ f$, then $h : X \to Z$ is measurable.

Theorem 1.1.7

If $f_n : X \to [-\infty, \infty]$ is measurable,for $\neq 1, 2, 3, \cdots$, and

$$g = \sup_{n \geq 1} f_n, \quad h = \limsup_{n \to \infty} f_n,$$

then g and h are measurable.

Corollary 1.1.8

1. The limit of every pointwise convergent sequence of complex measurable functions is measurable.
2. If f and g are measurable(with range in $[-\infty, \infty]$), then so are $\max\{f, g\}$ and $\min\{f, g\}$. In particular , this is true of the functions

$$f^+ = \max\{f, 0\}, \quad f^- = -\min\{f, 0\}.$$

which are called the **positive part** and **negative part** of f , respectively.

Remark.
1. There are the standrd representation

$$|f| = f^+ + f^-, \quad f = f^+ - f^-$$

2. And easy but (may) useful observation is : If $f = g - h, g \geq 0, h \geq 0$, then $f^+ \leq g$ and $f^- \leq h$.

1.2   Simple Functions

> **Definition 1.2.1**
>
> A complex function s on a measurable space X whose range consists of only finitely many points will be called a ***simple function***. Among these are the nonnegative simple functions, whose range is a finite subset of $[0, \infty)$.
> Specifically, if $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n$ are distinct values of a simple function s, and if we set $A_i = \{x : s(x) = \alpha_i\}$, then clearly
>
> $$s = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \chi_{A_i}.$$
>
> Where χ_{A_i} is the characteristic function of A_i.

> *Remark.*
> - Here, we explicity exclude ∞ from the values of a simple function.
> - It is clear that s is mearuable if and only if each of the sets A_i is measurable.

> **Theorem 1.2.2**
>
> Let $f : X \to [0, \infty]$ be measurable space. There exists simple measurable functions s_n on X such that
> 1. $0 \le s_1 \le s_2 \le \cdots \le f$.
> 2. $s_n(x) \to f(x)$ as $n \to \infty$, for every $x \in X$.

> *Proof sketch.*
> We construst a sequence of Borel simple functions $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$ to act as an ***identify***. 当 n 增大的同时，我们同时让 $\varphi_n(x)$ 的单位逼近精度和单位逼近范围随着 n 提升. 并且在舍弃误差时，总是向下取整，使得该单位逼近是自下而上的.

Proof. For every $x \in [0, \infty]$, and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a unique integer $k_n(x)$, such that
$$k_n(x)\, 2^{-n} \le x < (k_n(x) + 1)\, 2^{-n}$$

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$\varphi_n(x) = \begin{cases} k_n(x)\, 2^{-n}, & 0 \le x \le n \\ n, & x \ge n \end{cases}$$

Each $\varphi_n(x)$ is then a Borel simple function. It is not hard to show that $0 \le \varphi_1 \le \varphi_2 \cdots \le \cdots \le \mathrm{Id}$. For each n, we define

$$s_n(x) := (\varphi_n \circ f)(x)$$

Then $\{s_n\}$ is a suquence of simple measurable functions such that $0 \le s_1 \le s_2 \le \cdots \le f$. Since $\lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi_n(x) = x$, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} s_n(x) = f(x)$. □

1.3 Measure

> ### Definition 1.3.1 ▸ Measure and Measure Space
>
> (a) A ***positive measure*** is a function μ, defined on a σ-algebra $\mathfrak{M}$, whose range is in $[0, \infty]$ and which is ***countably additive***. This means that if $\{A_i\}$ is a disjoint countable collection of members of $\mathfrak{M}$, then
>
> $$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i).$$
>
> To avoid trivialities, we shall also assume that $\mu(A) < \infty$ for at least one $A \in \mathfrak{M}$.
> (b) A ***measure space*** is a measurable space which has a positive measure defined on the σ-algebra of its measurable sets.
> (c) A ***complex measure*** is a complex-valued countably additive function defined on a σ-algebra.

> ### Theorem 1.3.2
>
> Let μ be a positive measure on a σ-algebra $\mathfrak{M}$. Then
> 1. $\mu(\varnothing) = 0$.
> 2. $\mu(A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_n) = \mu(A_1) + \cdots + \mu(A_n)$ if $A_1, \dots, A_n$ are pairwise disjoint members of $\mathfrak{M}$.
> 3. $A \subset B$ implies $\mu(A) \le \mu(B)$ if $A \in \mathfrak{M}, B \in \mathfrak{M}$.

4. $\mu(A_n) \to \mu(A)$ as $n \to \infty$ if $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n, A_n \in \mathfrak{M}$, and

$$A_1 \subset A_2 \subset A_3 \subset \dots$$

5. $\mu(A_n) \to \mu(A)$ as $n \to \infty$ if $A = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n, A_n \in \mathfrak{M}$,

$$A_1 \supset A_2 \supset A_3 \supset \dots,$$

and $\mu(A_1)$ is finite.

Proof.   1. Take $A \in \mathfrak{M}$ such that $\mu(A) < \infty$. [1] And let $A_2 = A_3 = \dots = \emptyset$, then $\mu(\emptyset) > 0$ leads to a contradition to the countably additive.

2. Take $A_{n+1} = A_{n+2} = \dots = \emptyset$.

3. Note that $B = (B \setminus A) \cup A$, then by additivity

$$\mu(B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B \setminus A) \geq \mu(A)$$

4. Let $A_0 = \emptyset$, and let $B_n = A_n \setminus A_{n-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $B_1, \dots, B_n$ are pairwise disjoint members of $\mathfrak{M}$ such that $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$. We have

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n \setminus A_{n-1})$$

If one of the $\mu(A_n)$ is ∞, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(A_n)$ and $\mu(A)$ both are ∞ . Otherwise, we have

$$\mu(A_n \setminus A_{n-1}) = \mu(A_n) - \mu(A_{n-1}), \quad \forall n \mathbb{N}$$

Thus

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n \setminus A_{n-1}) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(A_n)$$

5. Let $B_n = A_n \setminus A_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. $B_1, \dots, B_n$ are pariwise disjoint members of $\mathfrak{M}$ with finite measure, such that

$$A_1 \setminus A = A_1 \setminus \left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \right) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_1 \setminus A_n) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n} B_k \right) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$$

---

[1] That is what we supposed at the definition of measure.

. We have

$$\mu(A_1 \setminus A) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n\right),$$

where the RHS is $\mu(A_1) - \mu(A)$, and the LHS is

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mu(A_n) - \mu(A_{n+1})) = \mu(A_1) - \lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(A_{n+1})$$

Since $\mu(A_1) < \infty$, we have

$$\mu(A) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(A_n)$$

☐

Example 1.3.3

1. For any $E \subset X$, where X is any set, define $\mu(E) = \infty$ if E is an infinite set, and let $\mu(E)$ be the number of points in E if E is finite. This μ is called the ***counting measure*** on X.
2. Fix $x_0 \in X$, define $\mu(E) = 1$ if $x_0 \in E$ and $\mu(E) = 0$ if $x_0 \notin E$, for any $E \subset X$. This μ may be called the ***unit mass concentrated at*** x_0.
3. Let μ be the counting measure on the set $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, let $A_n = \{n, n+1, n+2, \dots\}$. Then $\bigcap A_n = \varnothing$ but $\mu(A_n) = \infty$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. This shows that the hypothesis

$$\mu(A_1) < \infty$$

is not superfluous in Theorem 1.3.2(5).

1.4   Integration of Positive Funtions

Definition 1.4.1 ▶ Integration of Positive Funtions

1. If $s : X \to [0, \infty)$ is a measurable simple function, of the form

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \chi_{A_i},$$

where $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ are the distinct values of s, and if $E \in \mathfrak{M}$, we define

$$\int_E s \, d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \mu(A_i \cap E).$$

The convention $0 \cdot \infty = 0$ is used here; it may happen that $\alpha_i = 0$ for some i and that $\mu(A_i \cap E) = \infty$.

2. If $f : X \to [0, \infty]$ is measurable, and $E \in \mathfrak{M}$, we define

$$\int_E f \, d\mu = \sup \int_E s \, d\mu,$$

the supremum being taken over all simple measurable functions s such that $0 \leq s \leq f$. The left member above is called the **Lebesgue integral** of f over E, with respect to the measure μ. It is a number in $[0, \infty]$.

Remark.
We apparently have two definitions for $\int_E f \, d\mu$ if f is simple, they are the same.

Corollary 1.4.2

(a) If $0 \leq f \leq g$, then $\int_E f \, d\mu \leq \int_E g \, d\mu$.
(b) If $A \subset B$ and $f \geq 0$, then $\int_A f \, d\mu \leq \int_B f \, d\mu$.
(c) If $f \geq 0$ and c is a constant, $0 \leq c < \infty$, then

$$\int_E cf \, d\mu = c \int_E f \, d\mu.$$

(d) If $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in E$, then $\int_E f \, d\mu = 0$, even if $\mu(E) = \infty$.
(e) If $\mu(E) = 0$, then $\int_E f \, d\mu = 0$, even if $f(x) = \infty$ for every $x \in E$.
(f) If $f \geq 0$, then $\int_E f \, d\mu = \int_X \chi_E f \, d\mu$.

Remark.
We can also regard the $\int_E f \, d\mu$ as the restricted function $f|_E$ integrates on the induced measure space E.

> ## Proposition 1.4.3
>
> Let s and t be nonnegative measurable simple functions on X. For $E \in \mathfrak{M}$, define
> $$\varphi(E) = \int_E s \, d\mu.$$
> Then φ is a measure on $\mathfrak{M}$. Also
> $$\int_X (s+t) \, d\mu = \int_X s \, d\mu + \int_X t \, d\mu.$$

Proof. If $E_1, E_2, \cdots$ are disjoint members of $\mathfrak{M}$ whose union is E, the countable additivity of μ shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(E) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \mu (A_i \cap E) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i \mu (A_i \cap E_j) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \mu (A_i \cap E_j) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi(E_j)
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, $\varphi(\varnothing) \neq \infty$, μ is not identically ∞.

Next, let $\beta_1, \cdots, \beta_m$ be distinct values of t. And let $B_j := \{x : t(x) = \beta_j\}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_X (s+t) \, d\mu &= \sum_{i,j} \int_{A_i \cap B_j} (s+t) \, d\mu \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \mu (A_i \cap B_j) + \sum_{i,j} \beta_j \mu (A_i \cap B_j) \\
&= \sum_{i} \alpha_i \mu (A_i) + \sum_{j} \beta_j \mu (B_j) \\
&= \int_X s \, d\mu + \int_X t \, d\mu
\end{aligned}
$$

□

Theorem 1.4.4 ▶ Lebesgue's Monotone Convergence Theorem

Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of measurable functions on X, and suppose that
  (a) $0 \leq f_1(x) \leq f_2(x) \leq \cdots \leq \infty$ for every $x \in X$,
  (b) $f_n(x) \to f(x)$ as $n \to \infty$, for every $x \in X$.
Then f is measurable, and

$$\int_X f_n \, d\mu \to \int_X f \, d\mu \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Proof. Since $\int_X f_n \, d\mu \leq \int_X f_{n+1} \, d\mu$ for all n, there exists an $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\int_X f_n \, d\mu \to \alpha, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty$$

By Theorem 1.1.7, f is measurable, then by $\int_X f_n \, d\mu \leq \int_X f \, d\mu \; \forall n$,

$$\alpha \leq \int_X f \, d\mu$$

□