

AutoML Framework Questionnaire

* Erforderlich

General

1. Use Case *

2. Which ML problem class was solved? *

3. Which data types were used? *

4. Framework *

Performance criteria

5. Quality of results *

	Very Bad	Bad	Good	Very Good	Not relevant
Predictive Power	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Inference Time	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Model Size	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Model Complexity	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Resulting Model Type	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Interpretability / Explainability	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Training Time	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

6. Resource utilization during optimization *

	Very Bad	Bad	Good	Very Good	Not relevant
CPU	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(V)RAM	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Disk	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Accelerator	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

7. Compliance with limits *

	Very Bad	Bad	Good	Very Good	Not relevant
Trainings Budget	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
CPU	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(V)RAM	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
# Accelerators	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Disk	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

8. Were a disproportionate amount of resources required? *

9. Does performance improve with a higher budget? *

User friendliness

10. How easily could the framework be installed? *

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----

Not at all easy

Extremely easy

11. How did the framework have to be installed? *

- ☐ Code aus Repository
- ☐ Package Manager
- ☐ Precompiled Binary Download
- ☐ Compile Code Yourself

12. Were there any problems during the installation? *

13. Did system libraries need to be installed? *

14. Is source code available? *

☐ Yes

☐ No

15. What is the quality of the source code? *

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----

Extremely bad

Extremely good

16. Is there support for accelerator hardware? *

☐ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not relevant

17. Is the framework compatible with existing Python environments? *

☐ Yes

☐ With minor adjustments

☐ With big adjustments

☐ No

18. How helpful was the documentation during the induction process? *

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----

Not at all

Extremely

19. Quality of documentation *

	Very Bad	Bad	Good	Very Good	Not Relevant
The docu is easy to find	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The docu is up to date	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
API Docu is available	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Code Examples are available	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Possibility to get help from community	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Possibility to get help from developers	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

20. How good is the API? *

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----

Not Good at all

Extremely Good

21. API quality *

	Very Low	Low	High	Very High	Not Relevant
Amount of Boilerplate Code	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Configurability	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Amount of Feedback	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Quality of Feedback	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

22. Are the log files helpful? *

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----

Not helpful at all

Extremely helpful

23. Required prior knowledge *

	Very Low	Low	High	Very High	None
ML Algorithms	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Optimization Strategies	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
AutoML Concept Levels	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Data Preprocessing	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Programming Skills	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Use Case Criteria

24. Could the use case be implemented? *

☐ Yes

☐ No

25. If no, what were the issues?

26. Used AutoML layer *

☐ HPO

☐ CASH

☐ Complete Pipeline

27. Were adjustments to the framework required? *

	Yes	No	Not relevant
Models	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Search strategies	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Metrics	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Parallelization	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Data Types	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Data Volume	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Problem Formulation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

28. If yes, what exactly and how complex was it?

29. Adjustments to the framework *

- ☐ No adjustments
- ☐ The framework had to be adapted
- ☐ The problem definition (e.g. data used) had to be adapted

30. What is missing / What do you wish were available?

Lessons Learned

31. Overall assessment from the framework *

How would you overall rate the framework?

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----

Very bad

Very good

32. Advantages of the framework

33. Disadvantages of the framework

34. Further comments