

Nursing interventions

	Exceptional 5 points	Exceeds expectation 4 points	Meets expectation 3 points	Basic 2 points	Below expectation 1 points	Fail 0 points
Referrals or assessments	Identifies 4 expected outcomes for the patient. Exceptional discussion around the psychological, social, pathophysiological and pharmacological aspects of the case. Frequently links back to the case study.	Correctly identifies 4 expected outcomes for the patient. Well-developed discussion around the psychological, social, pathophysiological and pharmacological aspects of the case to a high standard.	Identifies 3-4 expected outcomes for the patient. Reasonable discussion around the psychological, social, pathophysiological and pharmacological aspects of the case, could be more in-depth.	Basically identifies 2-3 expected outcomes for the patient, however some may be irrelevant. Basic discussion around the psychological, social, pathophysiological and pharmacological aspects of the case, could be more in-depth.	Identifies less than 3 expected outcomes for the patient, most are irrelevant. Marginal discussion around the psychological, social, pathophysiological and pharmacological aspects of the case, but requires more depth. Frequently off topic.	Identifies less than 3 expected outcomes. Uses bullet points. Little to no discussion around the psychological, social, pathophysiological and pharmacological aspects of the case.
Use of SMART format	Exceptional use of the SMART format and evidence base for the rationale, linking frequently back to the patient and showing in-depth level	Competent use of the SMART format and evidence base for the rationale, linking back to the patient frequently and showing an in-depth	Reasonable use of the SMART format and evidence base for the rationale but could link to the case study more often.	Basic use of the SMART format and evidence base for the rationale but could link to the case study more often.	Marginal use of the SMART format and evidence base for the rationale. Needs to link to the case study more often.	Little to no use of the SMART format and evidence base for the rationale but could link to the case study more often. Uses bullet points of uses

	of knowledge.	level of knowledge.				SMART with letters at the beginning of each sentence rather than integrating into the paragraph. Little linking back to patient if at all.
Role of the nurse	Exceptional and in-depth analysis of the nursing role in the success of these outcomes, linking frequently back to the case study	Mostly in-depth analysis of the nursing role in the success of these outcomes, links frequently to the case study written to a high level	Reasonable analysis of the nursing role in the success of these outcomes. Links to the case study but could do so more often	Basic analysis of the nursing role in the success of these outcomes. Irrelevant information at times. Rarely links or tenuous links to the case study.	Marginal analysis of the nursing role in the success of these outcomes. Frequently off topic. Irrelevant information. Rarely links or tenuous links to the case study.	Little to no analysis of the nursing role in the success of these outcomes. Irrelevant information. Little to no or tenuous links to the case study.
Referencing	Uses a minimum of 2 core texts and 3 peer reviewed journal articles from last 10 years. Incorporates the use of the journals and texts effectively	Uses a minimum of 2 core texts and 3 peer reviewed journal articles from last 10 years. Incorporates the use of the journals and texts effectively	Uses 1-2 core texts and 2-3 peer reviewed journal articles from last 10 years. Incorporates the use of the journals and texts effectively	Uses 1-2 core texts and 2-3 peer reviewed journal articles from last 10 years. Some may be old or outdated. Incorporates the use of the journals and texts	Poor referencing and old literature used.	Poor referencing and old literature used.

			effectively		
--	--	--	-------------	--	--

Total: 0 out of 20 points (0%)

Total marks

Comments