

# Kernel-based Active Search on Graphs

## 1 Introducing AS on graphs

Here is the energy function used for AS:

$$E(f) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{L}} (y_i - f_i)^2 D_{ii} + \lambda w_0 \sum_{i \in \mathcal{U}} (f_i - \pi)^2 D_{ii} + \sum_{i,j} (f_i - f_j)^2 A_{ij} \quad (1)$$

Here is the energy function rewritten using matrices, where  $f_{\mathcal{L}}$  and  $f_{\mathcal{U}}$  are the  $f$ -vector portions belonging to the labeled and unlabeled portions respectively (they have been rearranged WLOG):

$$E(f) = \begin{bmatrix} f_{\mathcal{L}} \\ f_{\mathcal{U}} \\ y \\ \pi \end{bmatrix}^T \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \begin{bmatrix} D_{\mathcal{L}} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda w_0 D_{\mathcal{U}} \end{bmatrix} + \lambda(D - A) & \begin{bmatrix} -D_{\mathcal{L}} & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda w_0 D_{\mathcal{U}} \end{bmatrix} \\ \hline \begin{bmatrix} -D_{\mathcal{L}} & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda w_0 D_{\mathcal{U}} \end{bmatrix} & 0 \end{array} \right] \begin{bmatrix} f_{\mathcal{L}} \\ f_{\mathcal{U}} \\ y \\ \pi \end{bmatrix}$$

The minimizer is as follows (not proved here):

$$f^* = (I - A')^{-1} D' y' \quad (2)$$

where

$$A' = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} I_{\mathcal{L}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{1+w_0} I_{\mathcal{U}} \end{bmatrix} D^{-1} A, \quad D' = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{1+\lambda} I_{\mathcal{L}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{w_0}{1+w_0} I_{\mathcal{U}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad y' = \begin{bmatrix} y_{\mathcal{L}} \\ \pi \end{bmatrix}$$

If we set  $B = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} I_{\mathcal{L}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{1+w_0} I_{\mathcal{U}} \end{bmatrix}$ , we have that  $A' = B D^{-1} A$ ,  $D' = I - B$

Thus, we have our optimal solution:

$$f^* = (I - B D^{-1} A)^{-1} (I - B) y' \quad (3)$$

## 2 Kernel AS – Linear Kernel as similarity

Say  $A = X^T X$  where  $X = [x_1 \dots x_n]$ , with  $n$  data points and  $r$  features. Then  $D = \text{diag}(X^T X \mathbf{1})$ . (Precomputed in  $O(nr)$ ).

Thus,

$$f^* = (I - \bar{B} X^T X)^{-1} q \quad (4)$$

where  $\bar{B} = B D^{-1}$ ,  $q = (I - B) y'$ .

Here, we use the Kailath variant of the matrix inverse lemma:

$$(A + BC)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}B(I + CA^{-1}B)^{-1}CA^{-1}$$

We have:

$$f^* = (I - \bar{B}X^T X)^{-1}q = (I + (\bar{B}X^T)(I - X\bar{B}X^T)^{-1}X)q$$

Thus,

$$f^* = q + \bar{B}X^T(I - X\bar{B}X^T)^{-1}Xq \quad (5)$$

The main power obtained from this representation is that the inverse is now over an  $r \times r$  matrix as opposed to an  $n \times n$  matrix. The inverse can precomputed in  $O(r^2n + r^3)$ . So the entire precomputation is in  $O(r^2n)$  assuming  $n > r$ .

We want to compute the updates in  $O(r^2 + nr)$ .

## 2.1 Updates to $f$

We have precomputed  $(I - X\bar{B}X^T)^{-1}$ . One element in  $\bar{B}$  changes.

$$\bar{B}' = \bar{B} - \gamma e_i e_i^T$$

where  $e_i$  is the  $i^{th}$  standard basis vector.

Let  $K = (I - X\bar{B}X^T)$ .

Then,

$$K' := I - X\bar{B}'X^T$$

$$= K + \gamma X e_i e_i^T X^T$$

$$= K + \gamma x_i x_i^T$$

$$\text{Here, } \gamma = - \left( \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda} - \frac{1}{1 + w_0} \right) D_{ii}^{-1}.$$

Woodbury's Matrix inversion formula:

$$(A + UCV)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}U(C^{-1} + VA^{-1}U)^{-1}VA^{-1}$$

Using this, we have:

$$K'^{-1} = K^{-1} - K^{-1}(\gamma x_i)(1 + \gamma x_i^T K^{-1} x_i)^{-1} x_i^T K^{-1}$$

$$= K^{-1} - \frac{\gamma K^{-1} x_i x_i^T K^{-1}}{1 + \gamma x_i^T K^{-1} x_i}$$

Thus,

$$K'^{-1} = K^{-1} - \frac{\gamma (K^{-1} x_i)(K^{-1} x_i)^T}{1 + \gamma x_i^T K^{-1} x_i} \quad (6)$$

Further, only one element in  $q$  changes.  $q'_i = y_i \frac{1}{1 + \lambda}$

Thus, with the update to the inverse,  $f^* = q' + \bar{B}'X^T K'^{-1} X q'$ . This takes  $O(rn)$ .

## 2.2 Impact factor computation

This is a continuation of Kyle's notes on computing the Impact Factor (IM).

A few points to note: I use the variable  $P = SD$  where Kyle uses  $B = SD$ .  $S$  is some diagonal matrix which defines the relative weights of the edges to pseudo-nodes from labeled or unlabeled nodes.

From Kyle's notes, we have the change in  $f$  given node  $i$  is chosen to be labeled:

$$\Delta f(i) = [(y_i - \pi)P_{i,i}^k + \delta P(y_i - f_i^{k+1})]M_{i,i}^{-1} \quad (7)$$

where  $M = D + P^k - A$ ,  $\delta P = P_{i,i}^{k+1} - P_{i,i}^k$ .

Fixing  $y_i = 1$  to compute the IM, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \tilde{f}(i) &= [P_{i,i}^k - \pi P_{i,i}^k + \delta P - \delta P \tilde{f}_i^{k+1}]M_{i,i}^{-1} \\ &= [P_{i,i}^{k+1} - \pi P_{i,i}^k - \delta P \tilde{f}_i^{k+1}]M_{i,i}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

After solving for  $f_i^{k+1}$  and subtracting out  $f_i^k$ , we have that the  $i^{th}$  element of  $\Delta \tilde{f}(i)$  is:

$$\Delta \tilde{f}_i(i) = \frac{(P_{i,i}^{k+1} - \pi P_{i,i}^k - \delta P f_i^k)M_{i,i}^{-1}}{1 + \delta P M_{i,i}^{-1}} \quad (8)$$

Now, in order to compute the IM, we need, for each unlabeled node  $i$ ,

$\Delta F_i = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{U}} \Delta \tilde{f}_j(i)$  where the label of  $i$  is now set to 1. In order to compute that, we first need the following:

$$\Delta \tilde{f} = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \tilde{f}_1(1) \\ \vdots \\ \Delta \tilde{f}_n(n) \end{bmatrix}$$

Given that, we can find

$$\Delta F = \left[ \vec{L} - \pi \vec{U} - (\vec{L} - \vec{U}) \circ (f^k + \Delta \tilde{f}) \right] \circ M^{-1}u \quad (9)$$

where the  $\vec{L} = \frac{1}{\lambda}D$ ,  $\vec{U} = w_0D$ . We can use these because each element of  $\Delta F$  assumes that we are labelling that index as positive. Thus, the  $P_{i,i}^{k+1}$  value will always be that of a labeled node in this calculation.  $u$  is the vector whose entry  $i$  is 1 if it is unlabeled and 0 otherwise.

Then, since the IM is computed as a sum over all changes in the unlabeled node EXCEPT the node currently picked as a potential positive, we have that the final IM is:

$$IM^k = f^k \circ (\Delta F - \Delta \tilde{f}) \quad (10)$$

### 2.2.1 Rewriting $M^{-1}$

We first show that  $M^{-1} = (I - BD^{-1}A)^{-1}BD^{-1}$ .

We know that  $P = SD$  where  $S = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\lambda}I_{\mathcal{L}} & 0 \\ 0 & w_0I_{\mathcal{U}} \end{bmatrix}$  (since  $\lambda = \frac{1-\eta}{\eta}$ ).

Now, consider the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
M^{-1} &= (D + P - A)^{-1} \\
&= (D(I + S) - A)^{-1} \\
&= ((I + S) - D^{-1}A)^{-1}D^{-1} \\
&= (I - (I + S)^{-1}D^{-1}A)^{-1}(I + S)^{-1}D^{-1} \\
&= (I - BD^{-1}A)^{-1}BD^{-1} \quad (\text{It can be easily verified that } B^{-1} = I + S)
\end{aligned}$$

Making use of  $A = X^T X$ , we have that:

$$M^{-1} = (I + (\overline{B}X^T)(I - X\overline{B}X^T)^{-1}X)\overline{B} \quad (11)$$

Most of this is already being computed in our updates.

### 2.2.2 Computing $\Delta F$ given $\Delta \tilde{f}$

Given  $\Delta \tilde{f}$ , we can compute  $\left[ \vec{L} - \pi \vec{U} - (\vec{L} - \vec{U}) \circ (f^k + \Delta \tilde{f}) \right]$  in  $O(n)$  time as it is just sums or element-wise multiplications.

Further,  $M^{-1}u$  can be computed as  $f$  is.

- $z = \overline{B}u$  changes only one element each iteration and can be updated.
- $(I + (\overline{B}X^T)(I - X\overline{B}X^T)^{-1}X)z$  can then be computed in  $O(rn)$  time by cascading the matrix-vector multiplication in. There is never an  $\Omega(n^2)$  operation done here.

Thus, these operations are still within the time constraints of the original algorithm.

### 2.2.3 Computing $\Delta \tilde{f}$ via updates

We want to compute:

$$\Delta \tilde{f} = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \tilde{f}_1(1) \\ \vdots \\ \Delta \tilde{f}_n(n) \end{bmatrix}$$

where each element is given by equation 8. This can be written as:

$$\Delta \tilde{f} = \left[ \vec{L} - \pi \vec{U} - (\vec{L} - \vec{U}) \circ f^k \right] \circ \text{diag}(M^{-1}) \circ \text{diag} \left( \frac{1}{1 + (\vec{L} - \vec{U})M^{-1}} \right) \quad (12)$$

After computing  $\text{diag}(M^{-1})$ , we can compute the rest of this in  $O(n)$  time. Consider the following for  $\text{diag}(M^{-1})$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{diag}(M^{-1}) &= \text{diag}((I + (\overline{B}X^T)(I - X\overline{B}X^T)^{-1}X)\overline{B}) \\
&= \text{diag}(I + (\overline{B}X^T)(I - X\overline{B}X^T)^{-1}X) \circ \text{diag}(\overline{B}) \\
&= (1 + \text{diag}((\overline{B}X^T)(I - X\overline{B}X^T)^{-1}X)) \circ \text{diag}(\overline{B})
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\text{diag}(M^{-1}) = (1 + \text{diag}(\bar{B}) \circ \text{diag}(X^T(I - X\bar{B}X^T)^{-1}X)) \circ \text{diag}(\bar{B}) \quad (13)$$

This tells us that what we need to do is store and update

$J = \text{diag}(X^T(I - X\bar{B}X^T)^{-1}X)$  every iteration. The rest of the operations are either sums or point-wise multiplications which take  $O(n)$  each iteration.

Here's how we update it:

- Initialize  $j_i = x_i^T K^{-1} x_i$  and then  $J = \begin{bmatrix} j_1 \\ \vdots \\ j_n \end{bmatrix}$  where  $K = (I - X\bar{B}X^T)$  as defined before. This computation takes  $O(nr^2)$  time.
- Then, as we update  $K$ , we can also update  $J$ . Here,  $t$  is the index of the point to be labeled.

$$\begin{aligned} j'_i &= x_i^T K'^{-1} x_i \\ &= x_i^T \left( K^{-1} - \frac{\gamma(K^{-1}x_t)(K^{-1}x_t)^T}{1 + \gamma x_t^T K^{-1} x_t} \right) x_i \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$j'_i = j_i - c \cdot (x_i^T (K^{-1}x_t))^2 \quad (14)$$

where  $c = \frac{\gamma}{1 + \gamma x_t^T K^{-1} x_t}$  (computed in the updates to  $f$ ). Since  $(K^{-1}x_t)$  is also computed while updating  $f$ , updating each element  $j_i$  only takes  $O(r)$  time.

Thus, computing the entire  $J$  vector only takes  $O(nr)$  per iteration. Once we have  $J$ , we can compute  $\text{diag}(M^{-1})$  in  $O(n)$  time.

## 2.2.4 Computing IM after having both $\Delta F$ and $\Delta \tilde{f}$

This is just a point-wise multiply or difference between vectors and takes  $O(n)$  time every iteration. Thus, the entire procedure of computing the IM is within the time constraints of the original algorithm.