Database Question: What has led to the dominance of Hamilton's quaternions, Heaviside's 3-dimensional vector algebra, and Grassmann's exterior algebra over Clifford algebras?

Colin Roberts

May 4, 2021

#### Abstract

The topics in the title has interested me for quite some time. Each has found use for me in studying both pure mathematics and physics. My mathematical journey has taken me through each of the of the topics listed and I have now settled on utilizing geometric algebras since they prove to simply contain all of the previously mentioned algebras in a more concrete manner. In fact, this is exactly why I have posed the proceeding question. One could argue that the rigorous notion of the Clifford algebras can be off putting, but if someone is interested in vector and linear algebra as a whole, a cursory understanding of Clifford algebras would allow one to build a strong foundation without needless rigor. For example, see the text cite doran and lasenby and chisolm. I believe there is a great deal of insight that Clifford algebras can provide a young mathematician over, say, Heaviside's approach, all without overbearing rigor or, conversely, lack of detail.

As a final set of remarks, there seems to be two camps studying Clifford algebras. First, there are those who are deeply devoted and see it as a be-all end-all formalism that believe, unequivocally, that Clifford algebras are some type of holy grail of mathematics probably some hestene's source. The others are working predominantly in very pure fields who seem to use Clifford algebras in the most abstract and mind-bendingly rigorous way possible, thereby eclipsing adoption from mathematical youth who are driven away by overtly abject and complex technical jargon. One side question one could presume is, "why is there such a dramatic divergence of scope for this topic?" Or, in the same vein, "is there no middle ground for us to create a balanced viewpoint?" In writing this, I have discovered that these questions may be more fundamental than my original DBQ, but we carry on.

#### 1 Introduction

The database question posed stems from a question I have been curious about since my introduction to Clifford algebras. Our key figures include William Rowan Hamilton, Hermann Grassmann, Josiah Willard Gibbs, Oliver Heaviside, and the less well-known William Kingdon Clifford. Of course, we may touch briefly on some other important mathematicians such as John Wallis and Felix Klein. Each member in this list had some role, be it direct or indirect, in the formulation of algebra that describes geometry. These algebras include the complex numbers, the quaternions, the Grassmann (or exterior) algebra, Gibbs/Heaviside vector algebra, and the enveloping Clifford algebras. One may take this foray and venture into the algebra of Pauli matrices or Dirac matrices as well.

I will attempt to give brief background in order to better immerse the reader into the topic. A mix of rigor will be used – some elucidations will be more or less in depth than one may wish. Also, some prior knowledge will be assumed. At any rate, one will hopefully find curious relationships between these structures and, ideally, arrive at the same question posed earlier on their own. Throughout the introduction will be some brief historical remarks to set the stage for the primary sources and the subsequent commentary. For those familiar with the algebras stated above, you may feel free to move quickly or skip entirely the ensuing subsections.

#### 1.1 Complex numbers

The complex numbers find their origin quite long ago. There were references to square roots of negative numbers that may have appeared in Hero of Alaxandria's work in the first century AD. Studying polynomial equations led Gerolamo Cardano and Niccoló Tartaglia to consider complex numbers possibly as early as 1545. René Descartes is given credit for providing the name "imaginary". Later, we see complex numbers appear in Wallis' 1685 text A Treatise of Algebra were formalized later into the complex plane by Caspar Wessel in 1799 in the text On the analytic representation of direction, an effort applied in particular to the determination of plane and spherical polygons.

To begin, one may first consider the complex number algebra  $\mathbb{C}$  which adopts two key elements 1 and i. We note the identity 1 satisfies  $1^2 = 1$  whereas the imaginary unit i satisfies  $i^2 = -1$ . Taking  $\mathbb{R}$  linear combinations of these elements by  $x \cdot 1 + y \cdot i$  with  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . We typically put x + yi to reduce notational overhead and we reserve the binary operation  $\cdot$  for later examples. Next, we see the addition and multiplication properties

$$x_1 + y_1 i + x_2 + y_2 i = (x_1 + x_2) + (y_1 + y_2)i$$
(1)

$$(x_1 + y_1 i)(x_2 + y_2 i) = (x_1 x_2 - y_1 y_2) + (x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1)i,$$
(2)

where we have grouped terms to make the operations more clear. This algebra proves to be useful both algebraically and geometrically. The latter will prove to be of no surprise as we descend to more general structures.

In eq. (1), we note that this addition mimics vector addition and Wessel argues that we can represent these numbers in a plane in order to realize this concretely. The role of i, however, is immense. For one, the fundamental theorem of algebra states that all

polynomials over  $\mathbb{C}$  (or any subring) have a full factorization in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Geometrically, i acts as a rotor. Specifically, if I take a complex number z, iz rotates the point z by  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  radians in the counter clockwise direction (see eq. (2)). Succinctly, algebra can lead to geometry. This theme is important.

Both the above realizations manifest themselves in other fields. For example, the fundamental theorem of algebra guarantees existence of Jordon forms for matrices as we can always find *n*-complex eigenvalues (possibly with repetition) for a linear operator on an *n*-dimensional vector space. Following from this, one finds that linear dynamical systems can be solved uniquely and the role of imaginary eigenvalues is to describe systems with rotations or oscillations.

Lastly, and certainly not least, the complex algebra envelops many of the groups we typically see in introductory group theory courses. For example, symmetries of equilateral polygons are nicely encapsulated through multiplication of complex numbers. Perhaps this is most easily seen by the roots of unity  $z^n = 1$  for which we receive unique roots  $z_1, \ldots, z_n$  that form the vertices of an equilateral n-gon. Multiplication of these complex roots, for example, encodes the cyclic group of order n. Other symmetries utilizing complex numbers are prevalent in quantum mechanics, for example. Most generally, a rotation is given by an exponential  $e^{i\theta}$ .

#### 1.2 Quaternions

The quaternions were independently discovered by Olinde Rodrigues in 1840 source and later by Sir William Rowan Hamilton in 1843. As the story goes, Hamilton came to his realization on a walk passing over Brougham Bridge in Dublin where he scratched the famous equations

$$i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1 (3)$$

in the stone. The quaternion algebra is the  $\mathbb{R}$  algebra with the basis elements 1, i, j, and k.

Much like the complex numbers describe rigid motions in the plane, the quaternions describe rigid motions in 3-dimensional space. Addition of purely imaginary quaternions, that is objects of the form  $\alpha_1 i + \alpha_2 j + \alpha_3 k$ , functions like 3-dimensional vector addition. Rotation in each plane can be captured via multiplication by certain elements. In fact, it comes down to the same exponential mapping for the complex numbers. Quaternions are used today in computer vision applications since they drastically simplify the computational overhead compared to matrices that are needed to compute rigid motions.

It should be noted that the complex numbers arise as subalgebras of the quaternions. Indeed, any purely imaginary unit quaternion (so that  $\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 + \alpha_3^2 = 1$ ) can be taken to act as the imaginary unit. Therefore we see that, for any axis we choose in space, there is a copy of  $\mathbb C$  waiting there. Or, maybe more intuitively, for any plane in 3-dimensional space, we can induce a complex structure on the plane from a unit quaternion orthogonal to this plane. This is the isomorphism between the projective space and grassmannians

$$\mathbb{RP}^3 \cong Gr(1,3) \cong Gr(2,3). \tag{4}$$

Grassmannians, named after Hermann Grassmann, leads us to our next icon.

#### 1.3 Grassmann algebra

Hermann Grassmann developed his own take on a geometrically meaningful algebra which we now call the exterior algebra. His work beginning in 1832 formalized what we refer to as linear algebra. Later, in 1844, he published his theory of extension sources which outlines the his algebra. Rather than just working in dimensions 2 or 3, Grassmann built this structure to encode some geometry of n-dimensional spaces. Given two vectors u and v in an n-dimensional vector space, he equipped another product  $\wedge$  called the wedge (or exterior or outer) product satisfying

$$u \wedge v = -v \wedge u. \tag{5}$$

The wedge product allows one to concatenate vectors into new objects of higher grade which seek to describe subspaces (or, really, linear combinations of subspaces). The elements have an orientation due to the anticommutivity property given in eq. (5). These objects play a natural role in geometry as representations of k-dimensional volumes or k-vector fields. For example, given explicit u and v, the wedge product can be used to output the area of an oriented parallelogram generated by u and v. In the same vein, linear algebra operations such as the determinant are neatly captured in this algebra and the geometrical meaning becomes clear. For the case of the determinant, there is only a single grade n-vector and thus the product of n-vectors will describe the relative volume of an n-dimensional parallepiped that the vectors generate.

#### 1.4 Gibbs' and Heaviside's vector algebra

Once again, two mathematicians independently discover the same idea. Starting in 1880, Gibbs followed the work of Grassmann to use the exterior algebra specifically for 3-dimensional space in order to produce the Maxwell equations. Heaviside was doing the same, and it is his version that we dominantly see today in a multivariate calculus course or course in electricity and magnetism. sources

Both introduced the dot and cross products as the fundamental operations. The dot product provides the measure of lengths of vectors and can be used to determine angles between vectors. The curl, on the other hand, outputs information about areas generated by vectors as the wedge product of Grassmann did. However, the major difference is the cross product outputs another vector that is perpendicular to the plane generated by two independent vectors. But this is merely the same realization noted in the isomorphisms in eq. (4).

## 1.5 Clifford algebras

At last, we arrive at our final key player, William Kingdon Clifford. Given the distinct advantage of coming last in our timeline of interest, Clifford was able to unify the above concepts into one single entity. We see the important quote from Henry John Stephen Smith Source that, "Clifford was above all and before all a geometer." As such, though the work we examine is algebraic in nature, we expect the work to be rich in geometry.

In 1878, Clifford unifies the quaternions and Grassmann's algebra in his paper Applications of Grassmann's extensive algebra. He equips a vector space not only with the product  $\wedge$ 

but also includes an (psuedo)inner product · and incorporates this into the single geometric product. That is, the geometric product of vectors is

$$uv = u \cdot v + u \wedge v. \tag{6}$$

The geometric product places the k-vectors in the exterior algebra in the same footing as scalars and vectors. The inner product structure allows for a metric on the whole of the algebra and the geometric product allows for inverse operations. He referred to these algebras as geometric algebras.

The complex numbers can be seen as Clifford algebras or subalgebras in a handful of ways. For one, take  $\mathbb{R}$  and define  $e_1^2 = -1$ . Then, we have that  $x + ye_1$  is a faithful representation of the complex number x + iy. Another way that is possibly more geometrically insightful is to consider  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with  $e_i^2 = 1$  and take the even subalgebra generated by 1 and  $e_1e_2$ . Then  $(e_1e_2)^2 = -1$  and we can also note that  $e_1e_2$  acts as a rotor for vectors in the plane. Indeed, we can refer to this as a spinor algebra. In fact, all elements in this algebra are generated by exponentials of bivectors, that is, any unitary even element can be written as  $e^{\theta e_1e_2}$ . This is not surprising as for complex numbers we have the polar representation  $re^{i\theta}$ .

The quaternions were a special case of a subalgebra of the larger geometric algebra on 3-dimensional space. The quaternions are  $\binom{3}{0} + \binom{3}{2} = 4$ -dimensional and the whole geometric algebra is of dimension  $2^3$ , i.e., the sum of all the binomial coefficients. Of course, the algebras exist for arbitrary dimensions. Clifford showed other examples of related algebras over different fields such as the complex field, the split-complex numbers, or dual numbers which could be used to form split-biquaternions or dual quaternions as well. When written as a geometric algebra, I will remark that if  $e_1e_2$  is a rotor for the  $e_1e_2$ -plane, then it is clear that we have rotors for the other planes as well. These are the elements i, j, and k of the quaternion algebra.

Notably, I shall mention that the choice of signature of the inner product produces more interesting algebras. For example, take a basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_4$  such that  $e_i^2 = 1$  for i = 1, 2, 3 and  $e_4^2 = -1$ . This is often called the spacetime algebra which is used throughout relativity. From which, one can seek out to discover more about the Pauli and Dirac matrices.

# 2 The primary sources

#### 2.1 A treatise of algebra, both historical and practical

My first primary source is John Wallis' A treatise of algebra, both historical and practical [5]. This work provides a bit of a historical account of state of algebra at the time.

A Treatise of Algebra, both Historical and Pra-Etical. By JOHN WALLIS, D. D. Professor of Geometry in the University of Oxford; and a Member of the Royal Society, London.

For example, we can see Wallis mention the real and imaginary parts to roots. A great portion of this treatise is devoted to discussing the mathematicians who were working to solve and simplify polynomial equations.

By this means he shews the number of Roots (real or imaginary) in every Equation, and the Ingredients of all the Coefficients, in each degree of Affection.

To get a full perspective of the development of Clifford algebras, it felt pertinent to start from the very beginning.

#### 2.2 On quaternions, or on a new system of imaginaries in algebra

Just a note, this is not an original scan of the multiple documents – I have only been able to find a typed revision [4].

Hamilton's work is beautiful. He aptly describes a completely new number system that extends the notion of imaginary numbers to a system with three imaginary components and a single real scalar. He begins by describing their products, length, and a polar representation which allows him to conclude that he has now described infinitely many different square roots of negative one. Thus, returning back to the original premise of complex numbers.

He is able to extract a large amount of geometry from this number system via algebraic equations by identifying the imaginary part of quaternions with points in space. In essence, it seems that he realizes there are two components to multiplication of quaternions – the scalar product and some other type of product which we would think of as the cross or bivector product.

It is clear that this is a heavy motivator for Clifford once his comes.

#### 2.3 The linear extension theory - a new branch of mathematics

The original document is in German and can be found via [3] and the go-to english translation via this link https://bookstore.ams.org/hmath-19.

Die

# lineale Ausdehnungslehre

ein

# neuer Zweig der Mathematik

dargestellt

und

durch Anwendungen auf die übrigen Zweige der Mathematik,

wie auch

auf die Statik, Mechanik, die Lehre vom Magnetismus und die Krystallonomie erläutert

von

#### Hermann Grassmann

Lehrer an der Friedrich-Wilhelms-Schule zu Stettin.

I am quite struck by the immense amount of groundwork that Grassmann lays out in his document. In essence, he describes our modern notions of linear algebra through his descriptions of elementary magnitudes. That is, elements that cannot be derived from one another – or, in our more modern language, linearly independent vectors. Likewise, he notes that the complex numbers form an essential example for which he realizes are a foundation for understanding.

His claim to fame comes in the form of his antisymmetric product which he writes as [ab] = -[ba] which we now write as  $a \wedge b = -b \wedge a$ . He uses this along with the inner product to build up geometrical interpretations of solutions to linear systems of equations. This general framework of linear algebra is essential to our story.

## 2.4 Applications of Grassmann's extensive algebra

Finally, we arrive at some work by Clifford himself. In [1], we see that Clifford merely takes the axiom that we have orthogonal magnitudes such that  $e_ie_j = -e_je_i$  when  $i \neq j$ . This is the fundamental assumption for a geometric algebra. He realizes that there is more to the multiplication for quaternions than the two products of Grassmann in that the quaternion multiplication encapsulates "turning and stretching". He realizes the quaternions as "rectangular versors" (i.e., what we realize as  $e_ie_j$  for  $i \neq j$  in dimension 3). Purely by geometry, he reasons that these elements, when squared, should reverse a vector (that is, for example,  $(e_1e_2)^2 = -1$ ). Perhaps, this makes Henry Smith's quote "Clifford was above all and before a geometer," more reasonable!

Clifford reunites the quaternions with Grassmann's extensive algebra and, quite clearly, also realizes the complex field as a special case in dimension 2 as well. He then describes, in general, the geometric algebra for *n*-dimensional space.

# 2.5 On the space-theory of matter

I include this short text found via https://www.maa.org/press/periodicals/convergence/mathematical-treasure-clifford-on-riemann-surfaces which contains Clifford's comments on whether we live in a curved universe. I found it quite intriguing that his geometry led him down this same path prior to Einstein. Also, you can find his general comments on Riemann surfaces as well.

### 2.6 The quantum theory of the electron

Finally, I chose the source of Dirac on the quantum theory of the electron [2]. This inclusion may seem out of place, but I contest that it may have some to do with my DBQ.

It is abundantly clear that Dirac is of dire importance to modern physics. This paper of his is paramount. But, the catching point is his matrices  $\sigma_i$ . Herein lies an issue. Dirac needed no help to realize that he could generate square roots to a relativistic wave equation for which he has two new equations which allowed him to find two (spinor) solutions. These  $\sigma_i$  are in fact, elements of the spacetime algebra – he just had no need of using this formalism and instead used matrices as his convention.

# 3 Commentary

Clifford had built his theory off of giants such as Hamilton and Grassmann. Yet, his implementation seemed mostly formal. Though it unified a landscape of mathematics, it was not a necessity like Grassmann's work. It was also not completely pioneering like Hamilton's quaternions. Rather, it was somewhat of a historical sidenote in comparison.

Clearly, Clifford was an intelligent man that was quite far ahead of his time. If someone can predict the work of Einstein before Einstein, they are certainly bright. Yet, due to the fact that his work was not required to solve problems and was really only an encapsulating framework, authors like Dirac managed to sidestep the notion of a geometric (or Clifford) algebra in lieu of matrices.

I cannot say with certainty that this is a possible answer to my question as there is more to be dug out.

### References

- [1] P. CLIFFORD, Applications of Grassmann's Extensive Algebra, American Journal of Mathematics, 1 (1878), pp. 350–358. Publisher: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- [2] P. A. M. DIRAC, *The quantum theory of the electron*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Containing Papers of a Mathematical and Physical Character, 117 (1928), pp. 610–624. Publisher: Royal Society.
- [3] H. Grassmann, Die lineale Ausdehnungslehre ein neuer Zweig der Mathematik: dargestellt und durch Anwendungen auf die übrigen Zweige der Mathematik, wie auch auf die Statik, Mechanik, die Lehre vom Magnetismus und die Krystallonomie erläutert, O. Wigand, 1844. Google-Books-ID: bKgAAAAMAAJ.
- [4] W. R. HAMILTON, ON QUATERNIONS, OR ON A NEW SYSTEM OF IMAGINAR-IES IN ALGEBRA, p. 92.
- [5] J. Wallis, A treatise of algebra, both historical and practical, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, 15 (1685), pp. 1095–1106. Publisher: Royal Society.