

Commutative Banach Algebras of Multivectors from the Scalar Dirichlet-to-Neumann Operator

Colin Roberts

February 9, 2021

Abstract

The problem of determining an unknown Riemannian manifold given the Dirichlet-to-Neumann (DN) operator is known as the Calderón problem. One method of solving this problem in the two dimensional case is through the Boundary Control method. There, one uses the DN operator to construct a Banach algebra of holomorphic functions on the manifold. The Gelfand transform of this algebra is then homeomorphic to the manifold. In higher dimensions, we replace the complex field with a Clifford algebra and use the DN operator to determine a $\text{Spin}(n)$ invariant space of monogenic multivector fields. Using a power series representation for monogenic fields, one decomposes the space of monogenics into products of commutative algebras of $(0+2)$ -vector fields constant on translations of planes and monogenic in \mathbb{R}^n . Using this decomposition, we define spinor characters on the space of monogenic fields that correspond to Dirac measures on the manifold. The set of these Dirac measures is then homeomorphic to the underlying manifold with the Gelfand topology.

Contents

1 Preliminaries	1
1.1 Clifford and geometric algebras	1
1.1.1 Multivectors and grading	2
1.1.2 Multivector operations and the Clifford and spin groups	5
1.1.3 Pseudoscalars and duality	7
1.1.4 Blades and subspaces	9
1.1.5 Motivating example	11
1.2 Geometric manifolds	13
1.2.1 Multivector fields	14
1.2.2 Geometric calculus	16
1.2.3 Monogenic fields	17
1.2.4 Differential forms	18
1.2.5 Integration and currents	19
1.2.6 k -form inner product	20
1.2.7 Stokes' and Green's theorem	21

1 Preliminaries

The complex algebra \mathbb{C} can be generalized in a handful of ways. Some of which can be found through the use of Clifford algebras and, more specifically, in geometric algebras. We define the more general Clifford algebras first and realize geometric algebras as particularly nice Clifford algebras with a quadratic form arising from an inner product. Elements of a geometric algebra are known as multivectors and these multivectors carry a wealth of geometric information in their algebraic structure. \mathbb{C} itself can be realized as a special subalgebra of paravectors in the geometric algebra on \mathbb{R}^2 with the Euclidean inner product and the quaternions \mathbb{H} are realized as an analogous algebra on \mathbb{R}^3 . In particular, both \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{H} arise as the 2- and 3-dimensional even Clifford groups Γ^+ respectively.

reword this paragraph

1.1 Clifford and geometric algebras

First, we present a review of Clifford algebras and the relevant notions needed for this work. Those who feel they are familiar with both Clifford and geometric algebras may wish to skim through this subsection and visit section 1.1.5 to review the notation used throughout this manuscript.

Formally, we let (V, Q) be an n -dimensional vector space V over some field K with an arbitrary quadratic form Q . The tensor algebra is given by

$$\mathcal{T}(V) := \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} V^{\otimes j} = K \bigoplus V \oplus (V \otimes V) \oplus (V \otimes V \otimes V) \oplus \cdots, \quad (1)$$

where the elements (tensors) inherit a multiplication \otimes (the tensor product). From the tensor algebra $\mathcal{T}(V)$, we can quotient by the ideal generated by $\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} - Q(\mathbf{v})$ to create a new algebra.

Definition 1.1. The *Clifford algebra* $Cl(V, Q)$ is the quotient algebra

$$Cl(V, Q) = \mathcal{T}(V) / \langle \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} - Q(\mathbf{v}) \rangle. \quad (2)$$

To see how the tensor product descends to the quotient, we let $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ be an arbitrary basis for V , then we can consider the tensor product of basis elements $\mathbf{v}_i \otimes \mathbf{v}_j$ which induces a product in the quotient $Cl(V, Q)$ which we refer to as the *Clifford multiplication*. In this basis, we write this product as concatenation $\mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_j$ and define the multiplication by

$$\mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_j = \begin{cases} Q(\mathbf{v}_i) & \text{if } i = j, \\ \mathbf{v}_i \wedge \mathbf{v}_j & \text{if } i \neq j, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where \wedge is the typical exterior product satisfying $\mathbf{v} \wedge \mathbf{w} = -\mathbf{w} \wedge \mathbf{v}$ for all $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V$. As a consequence, the exterior algebra $\bigwedge(V)$ can be realized as a subalgebra of any Clifford algebra over V or as a Clifford algebra with a trivial quadratic form $Q = 0$.

In the case where V has a (pseudo) inner product g , we can induce a quadratic form Q by $Q(\mathbf{v}) = g(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})$ and give rise to a special type of Clifford algebra which motivates the following definition.

Definition 1.2. Let V be a vector space with an (pseudo) inner product $g(\cdot, \cdot)$. Then taking $Q(\cdot) = g(\cdot, \cdot)$, the Clifford algebra $Cl(V, Q)$ is called a *geometric algebra*.

In general, we put \mathcal{G} and assume the inner product and vector space will be arbitrary, given alongside, or will be clear from context. For example, when $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ we have the standard orthonormal basis $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$ which allows us to neatly define the quadratic form Q from the Euclidean inner product which has coefficients δ_{ij} with respect to this basis. Since we frequently utilize this geometric algebra, we put $\mathcal{G}_n := Cl(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|)$ to simplify notation.

Geometric algebras are an old and widely studied topic. For more information, see the classical text [4] or the more modern text [3] which also provides a wide range of applications to physics problems. Both these sources include much of the other necessary preliminaries I cover in the remainder of this section. Finally, the paper [2] proves many of the useful identities and notation used throughout this paper.

1.1.1 Multivectors and grading

Note that $Cl(V, Q)$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded algebra with elements of grade-0 up to elements of grade- n . We refer to grade-0 elements as scalars, grade-1 elements as vectors, grade-2 elements as *bivectors*, grade- r elements as *r-vectors*, and grade- n elements as *pseudoscalars*. For example, the pseudoscalar $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{v}_1 \wedge \mathbf{v}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{v}_n$ is an n -vector we will frequently return to. We denote the space of r -vectors by $Cl(V, Q)^r$. For each grade there is a basis of $\binom{n}{r}$ *r-blades* which are r -vectors of the form

$$\mathbf{A}_r = \bigwedge_{j=1}^r \mathbf{v}_j, \text{ for linearly independent } \mathbf{v}_j \in V, \quad (4)$$

fix all vector indices to be not bold

and we use a boldface of both the character and its subscript to specify that a r -vector is a r -blade and we note that vectors (since they are 1-blades) will not use this subscript. Instead, a vector \mathbf{v} may use a non-boldfaced subscript to reference an index. Briefly, take for example the case where $\dim(V) = 3$, then there are $\binom{3}{2} = 3$ 2-blades that form a basis for the bivectors and one particular choice of a bivector basis would be the following list of 2-blades

$$\mathbf{B}_{12} = \mathbf{v}_1 \wedge \mathbf{v}_2, \quad \mathbf{B}_{13} = \mathbf{v}_1 \wedge \mathbf{v}_3, \quad \mathbf{B}_{23} = \mathbf{v}_2 \wedge \mathbf{v}_3. \quad (5)$$

We will repeatedly use the notation $\mathbf{B}_{ij} := \mathbf{v}_i \wedge \mathbf{v}_j$ and the underlying basis will be clear from context. We refer to an $n - 1$ -vector as a *pseudovector* and it should be noted that every $n - 1$ -vector is a blade (see section 1.1.3). In other literature, some will refer to a r -blade as a *simple* or a *decomposable* r -vector.

citations

In general, an element $A \in Cl(V, Q)$ is written as a linear combination of basis elements of all possible grades and we refer to A as a *multivector*. To extract the grade- r components of A , we use the *grade projection* for which we have the notation

$$\langle A \rangle_r \in Cl(V, Q)^r \quad (6)$$

to denote the grade- r components of the multivector A (i.e., $\langle A \rangle_r \in Cl(V, Q)^r$). Any multivector A can then be given by

$$A = \sum_{r=0}^n \langle A \rangle_r \quad (7)$$

which shows the decomposition via the \mathbb{Z} -grading

$$Cl(V, Q) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^n Cl(V, Q)^j. \quad (8)$$

If A contains only components of a single grade, then we say that A is *homogeneous* and if the components are grade- r we put A_r and refer to A_r as a *homogeneous r -vector* or simply an *r -vector*. For example, when we refer to vectors we realize them as 1-vectors and likewise we realize bivectors as 2-vectors. Also of interest will be the elements in

$$Cl(V, Q)^{0+2} = Cl(V, Q) \oplus Cl(V, Q)^2 \quad (9)$$

which we refer to as *paravectors*.

The Clifford multiplication of vectors defined in 3 can be extended to multiplication of vectors with homogeneous r -vectors. In particular, given a vector $\mathbf{v} \in Cl(V, Q)$ and a homogeneous r -vector $A_r \in Cl(V, Q)$, we have

$$\mathbf{v}A_r = \langle \mathbf{v}A_r \rangle_{r-1} + \langle \mathbf{v}A_r \rangle_{r+1}, \quad (10)$$

which decomposes the multiplication into a grade lowering *interior product* and a grade raising *exterior product*. This allows us to extend the Clifford multiplication further. Given an s -vector B_s , we have

$$A_r B_s = \langle A_r B_s \rangle_{|r-s|} + \langle A_r B_s \rangle_{|r-s|+2} + \cdots + \langle A_r B_s \rangle_{r+s}. \quad (11)$$

This rule for multiplication then allows for the multiplication of two general multivectors in $Cl(V, Q)$. For this multiplication, specific grades of the product are worth noting.

$$A_r \cdot B_s := \langle A_r B_s \rangle_{|r-s|} \quad (12)$$

$$A_r \wedge B_s := \langle A_r B_s \rangle_{r+s} \quad (13)$$

$$A_r \rfloor B_s := \langle A_r B_s \rangle_{s-r} \quad (14)$$

$$A_r \lrcorner B_s := \langle A_r B_s \rangle_{r-s}. \quad (15)$$

Finally, we have a special product for bivectors called the *commutator product* given by

$$A_2 \times B_2 := \langle A_2 B_2 \rangle_2 \equiv \frac{1}{2}(A_2 B_2 - B_2 A_2). \quad (16)$$

These products are particularly emphasized as many helpful identities used in this paper are phrased using these notions. Taking eqs. (10), (13) and (14) into mind, we see that the grade lowering interior product can be written as

$$\langle \mathbf{v} A_r \rangle_{r-1} \equiv \mathbf{v} \rfloor A_r \equiv \mathbf{v} \cdot A_r \quad (17)$$

and the grade raising exterior product can be written as

$$\langle \mathbf{v} A_r \rangle_{r+1} \equiv \mathbf{v} \wedge A_r. \quad (18)$$

Finally, to suppress needless additional parentheses later on, we assert that the above products take precedence over the geometrical product in order of operation. For example, for multivectors A , B , and C , we must take

$$A \cdot BC \equiv (A \cdot B)C, \quad (19)$$

and extend this to the other products defined in eqs. (13) to (16) as well.

As discussed, $Cl(V, Q)$ is naturally a \mathbb{Z} -graded algebra but we also find that it carries a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -grading as well. Some would then refer to $Cl(V, Q)$ as a *superalgebra*. This additional grading can be realized by sorting r -vectors in $Cl(V, Q)$ into the sets where r is even or odd. We say a r -vector is *even* (resp. *odd*) if r is even (resp. odd) and in general if a multivector A is a sum of only even (resp. odd) grade elements we also refer to A as even (resp. odd). Taking note of the multiplication defined in 11, one can see that the multiplication of even multivectors with another even multivectors outputs an even multivector and that motivates the following.

Definition 1.3. The *even subalgebra* $Cl(V, Q)^+ \subset Cl(V, Q)$ is the subalgebra of even grade multivectors

$$Cl(V, Q)^+ := Cl(V, Q)^0 \oplus Cl(V, Q)^2 \oplus Cl(V, Q)^4 \oplus \dots \quad (20)$$

The even subalgebra is an extremely important entity that arises throughout physics due to its encapsulation of spinors. We touch on this next.

1.1.2 Multivector operations and the Clifford and spin groups

For the remainder of this paper, let us focus solely on geometric algebras \mathcal{G} . Given access to an (pseudo) inner product we have a natural isomorphism between V and V^* by the Riesz representation. Namely, given an arbitrary basis \mathbf{v}_i for V there exists the corresponding dual basis f_i for V^* such that $f_i(\mathbf{v}_j) = \delta_{ij}$. In geometric algebra, this notion is somewhat superfluous as we can realize the dual basis inside V itself in the following manner. Note that there is a unique map $\sharp: V^* \rightarrow V$ for which $f \mapsto \mathbf{f}^\sharp$ such that

$$\mathbf{f}_i^\sharp \cdot \mathbf{v}_j = \delta_{ij}. \quad (21)$$

Hence, if we simply put $\mathbf{v}^i := \mathbf{f}_i^\sharp$ we can note that \mathbf{v}^i is simply a vector in the geometric algebra.

Definition 1.4. Let $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ be an arbitrary vector basis of V generating \mathcal{G} . Then we have the *reciprocal basis* $\mathbf{v}^1, \mathbf{v}^2, \dots, \mathbf{v}^n$ satisfying

$$\mathbf{v}^i \cdot \mathbf{v}_j = \delta_j^i, \quad (22)$$

and we refer to each \mathbf{v}^i as a *reciprocal vector*.

In terms of the inner product g , we have that the coefficients are given by $g_{ij} = \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_j$ and thus we have an explicit definition for the reciprocal vectors by putting $\mathbf{v}^i = g^{ij} \mathbf{v}_j$ where g^{ij} is the coefficients to the matrix inverse $(g_{ij})^{-1}$ and we assume the Einstein summation convention.

The inverse to this isomorphism is $\flat: V \rightarrow V^*$ which is given by $\mathbf{v} \mapsto v^\flat$ satisfying

$$v_i^\flat(\mathbf{v}_j) = \delta_{ij}.$$

Given these identifications, there is no need to distinguish between the vector space V and its dual V^* as it suffices to consider V itself with reciprocal vectors \mathbf{v}^i with the application of the scalar product. For reference, the maps \sharp and \flat are the *musical isomorphisms*.

sources

For a geometric algebra with a positive definite inner product, all blades have an inverse and hence form a group. With a pseudo inner product, the invertible elements are not quite as broad. To this end, we can construct a group of all invertible elements referred to as the *Clifford group* $\Gamma(\mathcal{G})$ for an arbitrary geometric algebra \mathcal{G} by

give an example later

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{G}) := \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^k \mathbf{v}_j \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \forall j : 1 \leq j \leq k : \mathbf{v}_i \in V \text{ such that } g(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_i) \neq 0 \right\}. \quad (23)$$

We refer to elements of the Clifford group as *Clifford multivectors*. Note that Clifford multivectors are not necessarily blades since the product used in the construction is not the exterior product \wedge . For any Clifford multivectors $A = \mathbf{v}_1 \cdots \mathbf{v}_k$ in the group Γ , we have that multiplicative inverse A^{-1} is given by $A^{-1} = \mathbf{v}^k \cdots \mathbf{v}^1$ as we can see that $A^{-1}A = AA^{-1} = 1$ by construction. Another note is that all scalars, vectors, pseudovectors, and pseudoscalars are always in the Clifford group and have multiplicative inverses. The inverse of a vector

\mathbf{v} is given by $\frac{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}}$. The form of the inverse motivates the utility of the *reverse* operator \dagger defined so that $A^\dagger = \mathbf{v}_k \cdots \mathbf{v}_1$. For a r -blade A_r , the reverse also satisfies the relationship

$$A_r^\dagger = (-1)^{r(r-1)/2} A_r. \quad (24)$$

One can see that the multiplicative inverse of an element of the Clifford group A is the reverse of the corresponding product of reciprocal vectors since $A_r^{-1} = (\mathbf{v}^1 \cdots \mathbf{v}^k)^\dagger$. When we take $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ with the Euclidean inner product, we can note that elements $s \in \Gamma^+(\mathcal{G}_n)$ act as rotations on multivectors $A \in \mathcal{G}_n$ through a conjugate action

$$A \mapsto s A s^{-1}. \quad (25)$$

In fact, all nonzero vectors $\mathbf{v} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{G}_n)$ define a reflection in the hyperplane perpendicular to \mathbf{v} via the same conjugation action above. This allows one can realize that all rotations are even products of reflections.

Following these realizations, one can see that the Clifford group $\Gamma(\mathcal{G}_n)$ contains important subgroups such as the orthogonal and special orthogonal groups as quotients

$$\mathrm{O}(n) \cong \Gamma(\mathcal{G}_n)/(\mathbb{R} \setminus 0) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{SO}(n) \cong \Gamma^+(\mathcal{G}_n)/(\mathbb{R} \setminus 0), \quad (26)$$

where $\mathbb{R} \setminus 0$ is the multiplicative group of real numbers. This motivates the following definition.

Definition 1.5. The *Clifford norm* $\|\cdot\|$ for $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{G})$ is given by

$$\|s\|^2 := s s^\dagger. \quad (27)$$

Note that when the inner product is positive definite the Clifford norm is indeed a norm but can fail to be a norm in spaces with mixed signature (see eq. (58)). Also, note that for vectors the Clifford norm corresponds with the norm induced from the inner product in that with a vector \mathbf{v} we have $\|\mathbf{v}\| = \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^\dagger = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}$. We also give the name *unit* to r -blades \mathbf{A}_r with unit Clifford norm $1 = \|\mathbf{A}_r\|$. Finally, this allows us to arrive at a definition for the classical pin and spin groups.

Definition 1.6. The *pin* and *spin* groups $\mathrm{Pin}(V)$ and $\mathrm{Spin}(V)$ are defined to be

$$\mathrm{Pin}(V) := \{s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{G}) \mid \|s\| = 1\}. \quad (28a)$$

$$\mathrm{Spin}(V) := \{s \in \Gamma^+(\mathcal{G}) \mid \|s\| = 1\}. \quad (28b)$$

Our focus will be the case where we take $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_n$ for which we put $\mathrm{Spin}(n)$, but these statements can often be more broadly generalized. Moreover, we can realize this group as a quotient of the Clifford group $\Gamma(\mathcal{G}_n)$ by

$$\mathrm{Spin}(n) \cong \Gamma^+(\mathcal{G}_n)/\mathbb{R}_+, \quad (29)$$

where \mathbb{R}_+ is the multiplicative group of positive real numbers. The spin group $\mathrm{Spin}(V)$ is a Lie group usually derived via a short exact sequence of groups

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spin}(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(V) \rightarrow 1. \quad (30)$$

Here, we have given a more concrete realization of the spin group as special elements inside a geometric algebra. The Lie algebra of the spin group is denoted by $\mathfrak{spin}(V)$ and $\mathfrak{spin}(n)$ when referencing $\text{Spin}(n)$. This algebra typically characterized as the tangent space of $\text{Spin}(V)$ at the identity. However, through this approach, we realize that $\mathfrak{spin}(V)$ is isomorphic to the algebra of bivectors with the antisymmetric product \times . Then, for any bivector B , we can generate an element in the spin group given via the exponential

provide a citation.

$$e^B = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{B^j}{j!}. \quad (31)$$

Fundamentally, the even subalgebra \mathcal{G}^+ is invariant under the action of $\text{Spin}(V)$ since all elements in both sets are of even grade. This definition follows.

Definition 1.7. Let \mathcal{G} be a geometric algebra with an inner product of arbitrary signature, then we define a *spinor* to be an element of \mathcal{G}^+ .

Morally, this definition is telling us $\psi \in \mathcal{G}^+$ is an element that transforms under a left action of an element of $\text{Spin}(V)$ to produce another spinor which leaves us with a convenient definition in that a spinor is simply an even multivector. For more on the topic, see [5].

1.1.3 Pseudoscalars and duality

Pseudoscalars are a deeply useful aspect of geometric algebra and we will now cover some of their utility. First and foremost, these pseudoscalars grant us a means of determining volumes. This will be a necessary notion in order to define integration in section 1.2.5.

Definition 1.8. Let \mathcal{G} be a geometric algebra with an inner product with definite signature. Then the *volume element* in the arbitrary basis $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ is

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{v}_1 \wedge \mathbf{v}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{v}_n. \quad (32)$$

It is worth noting that volume elements are invertible since they are blades in a geometric algebra with definite inner product. Similarly, any pseudoscalar is invertible in this case.

We also want to note that the volume element here fits our intuition and indeed we find

$$\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\| = \sqrt{\det(g)}. \quad (33)$$

Since pseudoscalars are generated by a single element (recall there are $\binom{n}{n}$ independent grade- n elements), we should realize that the volume element is simply a scalar copy of a pseudoscalar that is unital.

Definition 1.9. Let $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ be the volume element, then we have the *unit pseudoscalar*

$$\mathbf{I} := \frac{1}{\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\|} \boldsymbol{\mu}. \quad (34)$$

As is clear by the definition above, we must have that

$$\|\mathbf{I}\| = 1. \quad (35)$$

The unit pseudoscalar satisfies a useful relationship when swapping the left for right multiplication with an r -vector by

$$\mathbf{I}A_r = (-1)^{r(n-1)}A_r\mathbf{I}. \quad (36)$$

Thus, \mathbf{I} commutes with the even subalgebra, and anticommutes with the odd subalgebra. We can also see that the inverse for unit pseudoscalar is $\mathbf{I}^{-1} = \mathbf{I}^\dagger$ which is an identification that we will often use. Formulas throughout are usually given in their most general context and substitution is done only when working with specialized algebras.

Note that for a homogeneous r -vector A_r we have that A_r^\perp is an $n - r$ -vector. Indeed, if we take an invertible r -blade \mathbf{A}_r , then we can find the \mathbf{A}_r -subspace dual of a multivector B by

$$B \rfloor \mathbf{A}_r^{-1}.$$

The notions of duality here give us geometrical insight. Taking an s -blade \mathbf{B}_s we can note:

- If $s > r$, the \mathbf{A}_r -subspace dual of \mathbf{B}_s vanishes.
- If $s = r$, the \mathbf{A}_r -subspace dual of \mathbf{B}_s is a scalar and is zero if \mathbf{B}_s contains a vector orthogonal to \mathbf{A}_r .
- If $s < r$, the \mathbf{A}_r -subspace dual of \mathbf{B}_s represents the orthogonal complement of the subspace corresponding to \mathbf{B}_s in the subspace corresponding to \mathbf{A}_r .

Since the pseudoscalar is a blade representing the entire vector space, this allows one to create dual elements within the entire vector space.

Definition 1.10. Given a multivector B , we define the *dual* of B to be

$$B^\perp := B \rfloor \mathbf{I}^{-1} \equiv B\mathbf{I}^{-1}. \quad (37)$$

The dual allows one to exchange interior and exterior products in the following way.

$$(A \wedge B)^\perp = A \rfloor B^\perp \quad (38)$$

$$(A \rfloor B)^\perp = A \wedge B^\perp \quad (39)$$

This shows the natural duality between the inner and exterior products and their interpretations as subspace operations. The duality extends further to provide an isomorphism between the spaces of r -vectors and $n - r$ -vectors since for any r -vector A_r we have A_r^\perp is an $n - r$ -vector. It is under this isomorphism one can realize that all pseudovectors are $n - 1$ -blades. Furthermore, for multivectors A and B ,

$$(AB)^\perp = AB^\perp \quad (40)$$

For those familiar with the Hodge star operator, \star , this should feel familiar. This is discussed in ??.

Remark 1.1. If we consider \mathcal{G}_3 , we can realize the cross product of two vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} by

$$\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v} := (\mathbf{u} \wedge \mathbf{v})^\perp \equiv (\mathbf{u}^\perp) \times (\mathbf{v}^\perp), \quad (41)$$

where we use the bold notation for \times to distinguish between the bivector commutator product \times defined in eq. (16). The special fact of \mathcal{G}_3 that is abused in a standard multivariate calculus course is that vectors and bivectors are dual to one another. In fact, the first equality is exactly this pedagogical reasoning; the cross product returns a vector perpendicular to the subspace spanned by the two input vectors and is zero when the two inputs are linearly dependent. One can also note that the vector $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}$ is sometimes referred to as axial and in other cases the pseudovector $\mathbf{u} \wedge \mathbf{v}$ is referred to as axial. The similar product notation of \times and \times now becomes transparent.

1.1.4 Blades and subspaces

Each invertible unit r -blade \mathbf{U}_r ($\|\mathbf{U}_r\| = 1$) corresponds to a r -dimensional subspace and can be identified with a point in the Grassmannian of r -dimensional subspaces in an n -dimensional vector space, $\text{Gr}(r, n)$. We will often allude to this identification directly by referring to a subspace via a reference to a unit blade, e.g., the subspace \mathbf{U}_r . Extending the dual to act on the unit r -blades that make up $\text{Gr}(r, n)$, one realizes that $\text{Gr}(r, n)^\perp = \text{Gr}(n - r, n)$ shows the spaces are in bijection. Moreover, given a subspace \mathbf{U}_r , we can complete the vector space by

$$\mathbf{U}_r \wedge \mathbf{U}_r^\perp = \mathbf{I}. \quad (42)$$

We can also note that any invertible blade \mathbf{A}_r is simply a scaling of some unit blade so that $\mathbf{A}_r = \alpha \mathbf{U}_r$. This interpretation also proves to be a wonderfully geometrical perspective on the products defined in eqs. (12) to (15). For example, we see that there are a handful of reasons to adopt the additional multiplication symbols \rfloor and \rfloor [2].

- The products \rfloor and \rfloor allow us to avoid needing to pay special attention to the specific grade of each multivector in a product. The product \cdot on \mathbf{A}_r and \mathbf{B}_s depends on k and s and as such given by either \rfloor or \rfloor but one must know k and s in order to define this product exactly.
- We gain geometrical insight on the structure of r -blades in terms of their corresponding subspaces. Let \mathbf{A}_r and \mathbf{B}_s be nonzero blades with $r, s \geq 1$ then
 - $\mathbf{A}_r \rfloor \mathbf{B}_s = 0$ iff \mathbf{A}_r contains a nonzero vector orthogonal to \mathbf{B}_s .
 - If $r < s$ then if $\mathbf{A}_r \rfloor \mathbf{B}_s \neq 0$ then the result is a $s - r$ -blade representing the orthogonal complement of \mathbf{A}_r in \mathbf{B}_s .
 - If \mathbf{A}_r is a subspace of \mathbf{B}_s then $\mathbf{A}_r \mathbf{B}_s = \mathbf{A}_r \rfloor \mathbf{B}_s$.
 - If \mathbf{A}_r and \mathbf{B}_s are orthogonal, then $\mathbf{A}_r \mathbf{B}_s = \mathbf{A}_r \wedge \mathbf{B}_s$.

We also have the equivalences

$$\mathbf{A}_r \cdot \mathbf{B}_s \equiv \mathbf{A}_r \rfloor \mathbf{B}_s \quad \text{if } k \leq s \quad (43)$$

$$A_r \cdot B_s \equiv A_r \lfloor B_s \quad \text{if } k \geq s. \quad (44)$$

For homogeneous r -vectors A_r and B_r , the products above simplify to

$$A_r \cdot B_r \equiv A_r \lfloor B_r \equiv A_r \rfloor B_r. \quad (45)$$

In fact, if we are given two r -blades $\mathbf{A}_r = \mathbf{a}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathbf{a}_r$ and $\mathbf{B}_r = \mathbf{b}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathbf{b}_r$ we have the

$$\mathbf{A}_r \cdot \mathbf{B}_r^\dagger = \det(\mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{b}_j)_{i,j=1}^r, \quad (46)$$

which is the typical extension of the inner product g to an inner product on $\bigwedge^r(V)$ through linearity.

Given the direct relationship between unit r -blades and r -dimensional subspaces we can also form a compact way of projecting multivectors into subspaces in a manner closely related to the subspace dual.

Definition 1.11. Given an multivector B , the *projection* onto the subspace \mathbf{A}_r is

$$P_B(\mathbf{A}_r) := B \rfloor \mathbf{A}_r \mathbf{A}_r^{-1} \equiv (B \rfloor \mathbf{A}_r) \rfloor \mathbf{A}_r^{-1} \quad (47)$$

Following this definition, one can see that

$$P_{\mathbf{A}_r}(B) \in \bigoplus_{j=0}^r \mathcal{G}^j = \mathcal{G}^{0+\cdots+r}, \quad (48)$$

since the subspace \mathbf{A}_r is r -dimensional and moreover the operation preserves grades since

$$P_{\mathbf{A}_r}(\langle B \rangle_j) \in \mathcal{G}^j. \quad (49)$$

For example, given vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} we retrieve the familiar statement

$$P_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}) \frac{\mathbf{u}}{\|\mathbf{u}\|^2}. \quad (50)$$

A dual notion exists as well; we can project onto the subspace perpendicular to \mathbf{A}_r .

Definition 1.12. Given a multivector B , the *rejection* from the subspace \mathbf{A}_r is

$$R_{\mathbf{A}_r}(B) := B \wedge \mathbf{A}_r \mathbf{A}_r^{-1} \equiv (B \wedge \mathbf{A}_r) \rfloor \mathbf{A}_r^{-1}. \quad (51)$$

Note that this operation is also grade preserving. In the case we have a blade \mathbf{C}_k with $k < r$ and $k < n - r$, we can note

$$P_{\mathbf{A}_r}(\mathbf{C}_k) + R_{\mathbf{A}_r}(\mathbf{C}_k) = \mathbf{C}_k. \quad (52)$$

This provides us a way to revisit the geometric notions of the interior and exterior products. In particular, we note that

$$B \rfloor \mathbf{A}_r = P_{\mathbf{A}_r}(B) \mathbf{A}_r \quad (53)$$

$$B \wedge \mathbf{A}_r = R_{\mathbf{A}_r}(B) \mathbf{A}_r. \quad (54)$$

Both the notion of projection and rejection prove to be useful and behave nicely with the dual by

$$P_{\mathbf{A}_r^\perp}(B) = R_{\mathbf{A}_r}(B). \quad (55)$$

Finally, the exterior product of orthogonal blades gives us a direct sum of subspaces in the following sense. Let \mathbf{A}_r and \mathbf{B}_s be orthogonal so that $\mathbf{A}_r \wedge \mathbf{B}_s = \mathbf{A}_r \mathbf{B}_s$, then we can note that if $k < r$ and $k < s$ we have

$$P_{\mathbf{A}_r \wedge \mathbf{B}_s}(\mathbf{C}_k) = P_{\mathbf{A}_r}(\mathbf{C}_k) + P_{\mathbf{B}_s}(\mathbf{C}_k). \quad (56)$$

Perhaps it is most enlightening for the reader to revisit eqs. (52) and (56) replacing \mathbf{C}_k with a vector \mathbf{v} since a vector will always prove to be a representative for a “small enough” subspace.

1.1.5 Motivating example

Rather than a sequence of multiple examples, it will prove to be far more illuminating to construct one large example for which most of the preliminaries to this point can be used in a meaningful way. As such, we shall not rule out the utility of geometric algebras with pseudo inner products. The classical example is the *spacetime algebra* defined by taking $V = \mathbb{R}^4$ with a vector basis $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ satisfying

$$\gamma_0 \cdot \gamma_0 = -1 \quad (57a)$$

$$\gamma_0 \cdot \gamma_i = 0 \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \quad (57b)$$

$$\gamma_i \cdot \gamma_j = \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3. \quad (57c)$$

We refer to γ_0 as *temporal* and γ_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$ as *spatial*. For this basis, we can denote the matrix for this inner product $\eta = \text{diag}(- + + +)$ (often called the *Minkowski metric*) and define Q from η . Then, we have for a spacetime vector $\mathbf{v} = v_0\gamma_0 + v_1\gamma_1 + v_2\gamma_2 + v_3\gamma_3$,

$$\|\mathbf{v}\| = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} = -a_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i^2. \quad (58)$$

Then we put $\mathcal{G}_{1,3}$ to represent the spacetime algebra and, in broader generality, we put $\mathcal{G}_{p,q}$ for a geometric algebra with p temporal vectors and q spatial vectors. The reader may wish to, for example, revisit section 1.1.2 with $\mathcal{G}_{p,q}$ in mind in order to see a realization of the groups $\text{SO}(p, q)$, $\text{Spin}(p, q)$, and the spacetime spinors.

As the naming above suggests, the geometric algebra of Euclidean space, \mathcal{G}_3 , should naturally inside of the spacetime algebra. Note that we have the spatial pseudoscalar $\gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_3$, which, allowing for an extension of our notion of projection to the whole algebra, allows us to put

$$P_{\gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_3}(\mathcal{G}_{1,3}) \equiv R_{\gamma_0}(\mathcal{G}_{1,3}) = \mathcal{G}_3. \quad (59)$$

Perhaps one could refer to this mapping as the *static map* as we project only onto the spatial subspace and, via duality, reject the temporal subspace. It is also worth noting that this static map is not just producing an isomorphic copy of \mathcal{G}_3 , but a copy of \mathcal{G}_3 directly. Now, in \mathcal{G}_3 , we can specify an arbitrary multivector A by

$$A = a_0 + a_1\gamma_1 + a_2\gamma_2 + a_3\gamma_3 + a_{12}\mathbf{B}_{12} + a_{13}\mathbf{B}_{13} + a_{23}\mathbf{B}_{23} + a_{123}\gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_3, \quad (60)$$

and so the grade projections read

$$\langle A \rangle_0 = a_0 \quad (61a)$$

$$\langle A \rangle_1 = a_1 \gamma_1 + a_2 \gamma_2 + a_3 \gamma_3 \quad (61b)$$

$$\langle A \rangle_2 = a_{12} \mathbf{B}_{12} + a_{13} \mathbf{B}_{13} + a_{23} \mathbf{B}_{23} \quad (61c)$$

$$\langle A \rangle_3 = a_{123} \gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_3. \quad (61d)$$

Then, we can write an even multivector as

$$q = q_0 + q_{23} \mathbf{B}_{23} + q_{31} \mathbf{B}_{31} + q_{12} \mathbf{B}_{12}. \quad (62)$$

Note as well that

$$\mathbf{B}_{23}^2 = \mathbf{B}_{31}^2 = \mathbf{B}_{12}^2 = -1 \quad (63a)$$

$$\mathbf{B}_{23} \mathbf{B}_{31} \mathbf{B}_{12} = +1, \quad (63b)$$

which is typical for spatial bivectors. In this case, one may notice that this even subalgebra is extremely close to being a copy of the quaternion algebra \mathbb{H} . One can arrive at a representation of the quaternions by taking

$$\mathbf{i} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{B}_{23}, \quad \mathbf{j} \leftrightarrow -\mathbf{B}_{31} = \mathbf{B}_{13}, \quad \mathbf{k} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{B}_{12}, \quad (64)$$

and noting that we then have $\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}\mathbf{k} = -1$ as well as $\mathbf{i}^2 = \mathbf{j}^2 = \mathbf{k}^2 = -1$. A more in depth explanation is provided in [3]. Thus, a purely imaginary quaternion is simply the grade-2 portion of the paravector q and a purely imaginary quaternion is simply the grade-2 portion of the paravector q . We also realize \mathbb{H} as scalar copies of elements of $\text{Spin}(3) \cong \text{Sp}(1)$. That is to say that $\mathbb{H} \cong \mathbb{R} \times \text{Spin}(3)$. Indeed, since elements of \mathcal{G}_3^+ are simply paravectors, the paravectors admit a natural spin representation.

But we are not done here, and we can project down one dimension further by

$$P_{\gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2}(\mathcal{G}_3) = \mathcal{G}_2. \quad (65)$$

To see this in action, we let $\mathbf{v} = v_1 \gamma_1 + v_2 \gamma_2 + v_3 \gamma_3$

$$P_{\gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2} = P_{\mathbf{B}_{12}}(\mathbf{v}) = (v_1 \gamma_1 + v_2 \gamma_2 + v_3 \gamma_3) \rfloor \mathbf{B}_{12} \mathbf{B}_{12}^{-1} \quad (66a)$$

$$= (v_1 \gamma_2 - v_2 \gamma_1) \mathbf{B}_{12}^{-1} \quad (66b)$$

$$= v_1 \gamma_1 + v_2 \gamma_2. \quad (66c)$$

Then, arbitrary multivectors A and B can be specified by

$$A = a_0 + a_1 \gamma_1 + a_2 \gamma_2 + a_{12} \mathbf{B}_{12}, \quad B = b_0 + b_1 \gamma_1 + b_2 \gamma_2 + b_{12} \mathbf{B}_{12}.$$

We can then take the product AB to yield

$$\langle AB \rangle_0 = a_0 b_0 + a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2 - a_{12} b_{12} \quad (67a)$$

$$\langle AB \rangle_1 = (a_0 b_1 + a_1 b_0 - a_2 b_{12} + a_{12} b_2) \gamma_1 + (a_0 b_2 + a_2 b_0 + a_1 b_{12} - a_{12} b_1) \gamma_2 \quad (67b)$$

$$\langle AB \rangle_2 = (a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1) \mathbf{B}_{12}. \quad (67c)$$

Most notably, we see that $\mathbf{B}_{12}^2 = -1$ and this allows us to consider a parabivector

$$z = x + y\mathbf{B}_{12} \quad (68)$$

which is exactly a representation of the complex number $\zeta = x + iy$ in $\mathcal{G}_2^{0+2} = \mathcal{G}_2^+$. Thus, the even subalgebra of this geometric algebra is indeed isomorphic to the complex numbers \mathbb{C} . Indeed, there is one unit 2-blade \mathbf{B}_{12} in \mathcal{G}_2 to form the spin algebra $\mathfrak{spin}(2) \cong \mathbb{R}$ and as a consequence all unit norm elements in \mathcal{G}_2^+ can be written as

$$e^{\theta\mathbf{B}_{12}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta^n \mathbf{B}_{12}^n}{n!} = \cos(\theta) + \mathbf{B}_{12} \sin(\theta), \quad (69)$$

where $\theta\mathbf{B}_{12}$ is a general bivector in \mathcal{G}_2 when $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ is arbitrary. Hence, we arrive at $\text{Spin}(2) \cong \text{U}(1)$. Any element in \mathbb{C} is also a scaled version of an element of the spin group $\text{Spin}(2)$. Hence, we can use a spin representation for an element in \mathbb{C} via $z = re^{\theta\mathbf{B}_{12}} \in \mathbb{R} \times \text{Spin}(2)$. This special case shows that parabivectors in \mathcal{G}_2 have a unique spin representation and they are spinors as well since the whole of the even subalgebra consists of parabivectors.

But, the above work is not necessary special to the starting point of $\mathcal{G}_{1,3}$ or \mathcal{G}_3 . In fact, if we take \mathcal{G}_n for $n \geq 2$, then there are natural copies of \mathbb{C} contained inside of \mathcal{G}_n . In particular, we have the isomorphism

$$\mathbb{C} \cong \{\lambda + \beta\mathbf{B} \mid \lambda, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{B} \in \text{Gr}(2, n)\}, \quad (70)$$

which shows that complex numbers arise as parabivectors via the representation

$$\zeta = x + y\mathbf{B}, \quad (71)$$

since $\mathbf{B}^2 = -1$. Given the standard basis $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$ we have copies of \mathbb{C} for each of the $\binom{n}{2}$ unit bivectors \mathbf{B}_{jk} with $k = 2, \dots, n$ and $j < k$.

1.2 Geometric manifolds

We want to generalize the setting of geometric algebra to include a smooth structure. For instance, we can consider a manifold M (likely with boundary ∂M) with a metric structure and develop a geometric algebra at each tangent space to this manifold (e.g., following [6]). We refer to this as the *geometric tangent space* and put $Cl(T_p M, g_p)$.

Definition 1.13. A manifold M with a pseudo-Riemannian metric g is a *geometric manifold* if each tangent space is a geometric tangent space.

On geometric manifolds we will be able to attach multivector fields and compute their derivatives as well as integrate. This leads us to the realm of geometric calculus and Clifford analysis. Geometric calculus is intimately related to both the vector calculus in \mathbb{R}^3 and differential forms. It has the added advantage of notational convenience and clarity as we have seen with geometric algebra and its subspace operations. In the beginning of section 1.1 we realize as well that the exterior algebra is contained inside any Clifford algebra and, to this end, geometric calculus will contain the calculus of differential forms.

Talk about bivectors, spinors, and rotors. Rotations and what not. Euler angles. Would all be good to put in here. Rotations in the complex plane.

Forms are a useful language for proving general theorems about boundary value problems [7], and so we will retrieve all of these theorems for our own utility. Given that we have increased geometrical intuition on different graded elements of a geometric algebra, we can realize that we can work with multivector equivalents of forms instead of concentrating on forms of a specific grade. For example, in we see that one can think of the electromagnetic field as a multivector consisting of elements of various degree as opposed to the usual field strength 2-form . In fact, under certain other restrictions such as those present in Ohmic materials, we find there are paravectors that fall into the kernel of a Dirac-type operator.

reference
electromag-
netic stuff
later on

This Dirac-type operator, ∇ , is the grade-1 derivative operator studied in Clifford analysis. Fundamentally, this operator generalizes the Wirtinger derivative for complex functions to multivectors and, as such, generalizes the notion of a \mathbb{C} -holomorphic function to that of a monogenic function (see). Happily, we even retain a Taylor series representation (see) for functions in the kernel of ∇ due to a generalized form of the Cauchy integral formula. This Cauchy integral formula has been applied elsewhere (see [1]). The Cauchy integral also acts as an isomorphism between smooth functions defined on the boundary ∂M of a manifold M .

cite a typi-
cal electro-
magnetic
paper

reference later

reference
later

1.2.1 Multivector fields

In order to develop fields on a geometric manifold we must first create the relevant bundle structure. There is a natural bundle associated to a geometric manifold given by by gluing together each of the tangent geometric algebras. The *geometric algebra bundle* of a geometric manifold (M, g) is the space

$$\bigsqcup_{p \in M} C\ell(T_p M, g_p). \quad (72)$$

Given this bundle, the fields follow.

Definition 1.14. A (*smooth*) *multivector field* is a (C^∞ -smooth) section of the geometric algebra bundle. We put $\mathcal{G}(M)$ as the *space of multivector fields on M* .

Note that the we will assume that all multivector fields are C^∞ -smooth and drop this additional modifier when speaking of any type of multivector field. The above definition above is very general and we may not find ourselves working over arbitrary geometric manifolds. For example, we highlight a specific use case by letting M be a connected region of \mathbb{R}^n . For brevity, we will put $\mathcal{G}_n(M)$ to denote we are working over a region $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. In this case, the multivectors themselves are realized as constant multivector fields which allows us to say $\mathcal{G}_n \subset \mathcal{G}_n(M)$. This smooth setting simply makes the coefficients of the global basis blades given by C^∞ functions as opposed to \mathbb{R} scalars. Hence, $\mathcal{G}_n(M)$ is simply the C^∞ -module equivalent of \mathcal{G}_n .

Perhaps the C^∞ -module structure obfuscates the point slightly, but the notion of a smooth section does not. One should think of the fields in $\mathcal{G}_n(M)$ as multivector valued functions on $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Taking this identification allows for an extended toolbox at our disposal. In particular, points in M are uniquely identified with constant vector fields in \mathcal{G}_n^1 and one can consider endomorphisms living in \mathcal{G}_n (acting on \mathcal{G}_n^1) as acting on the input of fields in $\mathcal{G}_n(M)$ as well (see remark 1.2). Thus, there is not only an algebraic structure on the fields themselves, but on the point in which the field is evaluated. This is perhaps the

key insight on why authors developed the so-called vector manifolds widely used in the geometric algebra landscape. Fundamentally, this is true in all local coordinates for an arbitrary manifold M , but it is not a global phenomenon since not all manifolds admit everywhere nonzero constant vector fields.

Remark 1.2. If we consider a multivector field $f \in \mathcal{G}_n(\mathbb{R}^n)$. With $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ being identified with the vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{G}_n^1$, we can safely put $f(\mathbf{x})$. One may be interested in the restriction of the input of f to a subspace \mathbf{U}_r which yields $f(P_{\mathbf{U}_r}(\mathbf{x}))$.

As noted throughout section 1.1, there are spaces of multivectors inside \mathcal{G} of interest and each of these extends to their field counterpart. Construction of each is done pointwise and made global through the relevant bundle. Let us list the relevant spaces of fields.

- The *r-vector fields*,

$$\mathcal{G}^r(M) := \left\{ \text{smooth sections of } \bigsqcup_{p \in M} C\ell(T_p M, g_p)^r \right\}; \quad (73)$$

- The *spinor fields*,

$$\mathcal{G}^+(M) := \left\{ \text{smooth sections of } \bigsqcup_{p \in M} C\ell(T_p M, g_p)^+ \right\}; \quad (74)$$

- The *paravector fields*,

$$\mathcal{G}^{0+2}(M) := \left\{ \text{smooth sections of } \bigsqcup_{p \in M} C\ell(T_p M, g_p)^{0+2} \right\}; \quad (75)$$

Our operations from section 1.1 must carry over. To that end, we simply define all the products seen in eqs. (12) to (15) to act pointwise in each geometric tangent space. Previously we referred to r -blades as special r -vectors. Thus, we realize an r -blade field $\mathbf{A}_r \in \mathcal{G}^r(M)$ assumes the same form of eq. (4) where the vectors \mathbf{v}_j are to be understood as vector fields for which all $\mathbf{v}_j(p)$ are linearly independent in $T_p M$ at the point p .

Given local coordinates x^i on M containing the point p , the tangent vectors in a neighborhood about p are induced from the coordinates by $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$. However, this choice of basis may be canonical, but it is not arbitrary. Instead, at each point we can simply choose an arbitrary local vector basis \mathbf{v}_i and let the components of the metric be given in this basis by $g_{ij}(p) = \mathbf{v}_i(p) \cdot \mathbf{v}_j(p)$. From here, we can suppress the pointwise notion and instead just put $g_{ij} = \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_j$ locally. This allows us to work notationally with bases in a global manner without any reference to coordinates, so long as we assume the understanding is clear – these vector bases do only exist locally. If explicit computations are to be carried out, one can just take the canonical basis so that $\mathbf{v}_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$. Thus, locally we have the reciprocal basis $\mathbf{v}^i = g^{ij} \mathbf{v}_j$, the reverse \dagger , dual \perp , projection P , and rejection R that act on multivector fields pointwise in $C\ell(T_p M, g_p)$.

1.2.2 Geometric calculus

On M we have the unique torsion free Levi-Civita connection ∇ for which we can define the covariant derivative $\nabla_{\mathbf{u}}$ for a vector field \mathbf{u} . The covariant derivative is extended to act on multivector fields following [6]. We can note that $\nabla_{\mathbf{u}}$ is a grade preserving differential operator so that

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{u}}\langle A_r \rangle_r = \langle \nabla_{\mathbf{u}}\langle A_r \rangle_r \rangle_r, \quad (76)$$

and it is a dot-compatible and wedge-compatible operator since

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{u}}(A \cdot B) = (\nabla_{\mathbf{u}}A) \cdot B + A \cdot (\nabla_{\mathbf{u}}B) \quad (77)$$

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{u}}(A \wedge B) = (\nabla_{\mathbf{u}}A) \wedge B + A \wedge (\nabla_{\mathbf{u}}B) \quad (78)$$

Definition 1.15. Let \mathbf{v}_i be an arbitrary basis, then the *gradient* (or *Dirac operator*) ∇ is defined by

$$\nabla = \sum_i \mathbf{v}^i \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_i}. \quad (79)$$

The space of multivector fields $\mathcal{G}(M)$ along with ∇ is usually referred to as geometric calculus. One should note that ∇ acts as a grade-1 element. Thus, the gradient splits into two operators,

$$\nabla \rfloor : \mathcal{G}_n^r(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_n^{r-1}(M), \quad (80)$$

$$\nabla \wedge : \mathcal{G}_n^r(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_n^{r+1}(M), \quad (81)$$

which satisfy the properties

$$(\nabla \wedge)^2 = 0, \quad (82)$$

$$(\nabla \rfloor)^2 = 0, \quad (83)$$

when acting on a homogeneous r -vector. Since 82 holds, the gradient operator gives rise to the grade preserving *Laplace-Beltrami operator*

$$\Delta = \nabla^2 = \nabla \rfloor \circ \nabla \wedge + \nabla \wedge \circ \nabla \rfloor,$$

which is manifestly coordinate invariant by definition. It also motivates the use of the physicist notation $\nabla^2 = \Delta$, but we do not adopt this here. We refer to multivector fields f in the kernel of the Laplace-Beltrami operator *harmonic multivector fields* or simply as *harmonic*.

Note that since Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n has global orthonormal coordinates \mathbf{e}_i we can choose a global constant vector field basis since we identified \mathcal{G}_n^1 with $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^n)^1$. With respect to these fields, we have that $\nabla_{\mathbf{u}}$ reduces to the directional derivative. Note then that $\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla = \nabla_{\mathbf{u}}$ defines the directional derivative via the gradient. In fact, given a subspace \mathbf{U}_r , one could even describe a derivative in \mathbf{U}_r by $P_{\mathbf{U}_r}(\nabla)$.

1.2.3 Monogenic fields

Multivectors in the kernel of ∇ are of fundamental importance in geometric calculus and these multivectors are the motivation for Clifford analysis much like elements in the kernel of Δ give rise to harmonic analysis.

Definition 1.16. Let $A \in \mathcal{G}(M)$. Then we say that A is *monogenic* if $A \in \ker(\nabla)$.

Monogenic fields are of utmost importance as they have many beautiful properties. One should find them as a suitable generalization of the notion of complex holomorphicity. For example, in regions of Euclidean spaces, a monogenic field f can be completely determined by its Dirichlet boundary values through a generalized Cauchy integral formula and for a spinor field each of the graded components of f are harmonic. We put

$$\mathcal{M}(M) := \{A \in \mathcal{G}(M) \mid \nabla A = 0\}$$

to refer to elements of this set as *monogenic fields* on Ω . As a subset, we also have the *monogenic spinors* $\mathcal{M}^+(\Omega)$, which are simply the even monogenic fields and the *monogenic paravectors* $\mathcal{M}^{0+2}(\Omega)$.

It will be pertinent in to speak of function algebras. Hence, one could consider if the space $\mathcal{M}(M)$ is, in general, an algebra. While it is clear that the sum of two monogenic fields is also a monogenic field, it is not necessarily true that the product of two monogenic fields is monogenic. Hence, these spaces do not form algebras in their own right, they do indeed form a vector space as sums of monogenic functions are monogenic due to the linearity of the gradient.

reference
later section

To the contrary, let M be 2-dimensional, then the space of monogenic spinors $\mathcal{M}^+(M)$ is indeed an algebra. In fact, taking $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ we can note that monogenic spinors are exactly the complex holomorphic functions via the identification in section 1.1.5. Take the coordinates x, y and the standard basis e_i , then if $f = u + v\mathbf{B}_{12} \in \mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ we can note that $\nabla f = 0$ yields the Cauchy-Riemann equations

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \tag{84}$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}. \tag{85}$$

Though the two dimensional case is special, there will be nontrivial algebras living inside each $\mathcal{M}(M)$ for manifolds of dimension > 3 . Also, in all dimensions, the gradient is invariant under actions from the spin group.

Lemma 1.1. Let $s \in \text{Spin}(n)$ then $\nabla \circ s = s \circ \nabla$.

This lemma is classical in the theory of the Dirac operator, Clifford analysis, and harmonic analysis so we omit a proof. One can see [5], for example. The following corollary is immediate.

Corollary 1.1. The space of monogenic spinors $\mathcal{M}^+(M)$ is $\text{Spin}(n)$ invariant.

revisit these
lemma and
corollary for
 $\text{spin}(V)$ not
just $\text{spin}(n)$

1.2.4 Differential forms

The language of differential forms rests neatly inside geometric calculus. We will develop the relationship between multivectors and forms which will serve as a link between the two notions so that researchers with interest in Clifford analysis can communicate with those who study forms. In order to do so, we appeal to the language of differential forms and build a relationship between multivector fields and forms through measures. Forms have their appeal in global understanding via their properties through integration (e.g., Stokes' and Green's theorems) and the exterior calculus along with de Rham cohomology will provide us a larger toolbox.

Given coordinates x^i on M we have the local basis tangent vector fields $\mathbf{v}_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ with the corresponding 1-forms dx^i that are each local sections of T^*M and are the exterior derivatives (or gradients) of the coordinate functions. Typically, 1-forms are viewed as linear functionals on tangent vectors and in these coordinates we have $dx^i(\partial_j) = \delta_j^i$ and one can thus take a pairing of 1-form fields and vector fields and integrate over 1-dimensional submanifolds. The benefit of this definition is that the 1-forms dx^i carry a natural measure and we can form product measures via the exterior product \wedge .

Let M be an n -dimensional pseudo Riemannian manifold with metric g , then we have the Riemannian volume measure in local coordinates by

$$\omega = \sqrt{|g|} dx^1 \dots dx^n. \quad (86)$$

Definition 1.17. The r -dimensional directed measure dX_r is given in local coordinates by

$$dX_r := \mathbf{v}_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{v}_{i_r} dx^{i_1} \dots dx^{i_r}. \quad (87)$$

For example, along a 2-dimensional submanifold we have the 2-dimensional directed measure

$$dX_2 = \mathbf{v}_i \wedge \mathbf{v}_j dx^i dx^j \quad (88)$$

and we can note that

$$(\mathbf{v}^i \wedge \mathbf{v}^j) \cdot dX_2^\dagger = dx^i dx^j - dx^j dx^i \quad (89)$$

is completely antisymmetric and provides us a surface measure we can integrate; this is a differential 2-form. We then find that

$$\omega = \mathbf{I}^{-1} \cdot dX_n = \mathbf{I}^{-1\dagger} \cdot dX_n^\dagger, \quad (90)$$

where \mathbf{I} is the unit pseudoscalar field defined on M with respect to g .

We can now write a r -form $\alpha_r = \alpha_{i_1 \dots i_r} dx^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{i_r}$ as

$$\alpha_r = A_r \cdot dX_r^\dagger, \quad (91)$$

where

$$A_r = \frac{1}{r!} \alpha_{i_1 \dots i_r} \mathbf{v}^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{v}^{i_r}. \quad (92)$$

We refer to A_r as the *multivector equivalent* of α_r and note that by eq. (90) that the multivector equivalent to ω is $\mathbf{I}^{-1\dagger}$. This provides an isomorphism between r -forms and r -vectors

via a contraction with the r -dimensional volume directed measure. In this sense, a differential form is made up of two essential components namely the multivector field and the r -dimensional directed measure. Hence, we can see now how a differential form simply appends the measure attached to the underlying space. We can also see how this generalizes the musical isomorphism \flat by taking a vector field \mathbf{v} and noting

$$\mathbf{v} \cdot dX_1 = v_i \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}^j dx^j = v_i dx^i. \quad (93)$$

The exterior algebra of differential forms comes with an addition $+$ and exterior multiplication \wedge . We note that the sum of two r -forms α_r and β_r is also a r -form which we can see reduces to addition on the multivector equivalents A_r and B_r by

$$\alpha_r + \beta_r = (A_r \cdot dX_r^\dagger) + (B_r \cdot dX_r^\dagger) = (A_r + B_r) \cdot dX_r^\dagger, \quad (94)$$

due to the linearity of \cdot . If instead had an s form β_s then we have the exterior product

$$\alpha_r \wedge \beta_s = (A_r \wedge B_s) \cdot dX_{r+s}^\dagger, \quad (95)$$

where $dX_{r+s} = 0$ if $r + s > n$.

With differential forms one also has the exterior derivative d giving rise to the exterior calculus. On the multivector equivalents we have

$$d\alpha_r = (\nabla \wedge A_r) \cdot dX_{r+1}^\dagger, \quad (96)$$

which realizes the exterior derivative as the grade raising component of the gradient ∇ . Of course, for scalar fields, this returns the gradient as desired. We will find $\nabla \rfloor$ can be identified with the codifferential δ up to a sign.

1.2.5 Integration and currents

Given a k -dimensional submanifold of $K \subset \Omega$ with a k -form α_k defined on K , we can integrate the k -form. Using the multivector equivalents leads us to the k -dimensional directed measure dK for the submanifold K . Given K is a submanifold of Ω , for any $x \in K$ we have tangent space $T_x K$ which corresponds to a tangent k -blade $I_K(x)$. We put I_K as the smooth k -blade field everywhere tangent to K . Then we have the directed volume measure on K given by

$$dK = I_K^\dagger \cdot dX_k.$$

For a tangent k -vector field A_k on K , we must have for any $x \in K$ that $f = P_{I_K} \circ f$ so that these fields lie purely tangent to K . In particular, we can always put $A_k = AI_k^\dagger$ for a scalar field A . These fields can contract with the directed measure dX_k to create a k -form on K by $\alpha_k = A_k \cdot dX_k = AdK$ which can be integrated as

$$\int_K \alpha = \int_K AdK.$$

Hence, on Ω itself, we can decompose top grade forms by taking

$$\alpha_n = A_n \cdot dX_n = AI_n^\dagger \cdot dX_n$$

carry on
from here

rewrite this
in terms of
chains and
currents?

for a scalar field A satisfying $A_n = AI^\dagger$. Then this form can be integrated by

$$\int_{\Omega} \alpha_n = \int_{\Omega} A d\Omega.$$

There is also the normal space $N_x K$ that is everywhere orthogonal (with respect to g on Ω) to $T_x K$. In particular, we have the normal $(n - k)$ -blade field $\nu = I_K^\dagger I$. Note that for a unit k -blade I_K we have $I_K^{-1} = I_K^\dagger$ and we see $I_K \nu = I$. Since K is a submanifold of Ω we have the inclusion $\iota: K \rightarrow \Omega$ and the induced pullback on forms ι^* which is equivalent to the tangent projection operator \mathbf{t}_K seen in [7]. Given a p -form α_p defined on Ω , we have that $\mathbf{t}_K \alpha_p = \alpha_p \circ P_{I_K}$. Specifically, $\alpha_p = A_p \cdot dX_p$ we have

I should probably work through this to show it's true

$$\mathbf{t}_K \alpha_p = A_p \cdot (dX_p \circ P_{I_K}) = P_{I_K}(A_p) \cdot dX_p = R_\nu(A_p) \cdot dX_p.$$

The normal projection \mathbf{n}_K is then $\mathbf{n}_K \alpha_p = \alpha_p - \mathbf{t}_K \alpha_p$ and moreover

$$\mathbf{n}_K \alpha_p = R_{I_K}(A_p) \cdot dX_p = P_\nu(A_p) \cdot dX_p.$$

Not sure this is true. but https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Geometric_algebra talks about projection and rejection.

This is pertinent when we take the submanifold $\Sigma = \partial\Omega$. There, I_Σ yields the directed measure

$$d\Sigma := I_\Sigma^\dagger \cdot dX_{n-1}.$$

The normal space is 1-dimensional and ν is the unit normal vector to the boundary. The pullback coincides with projection into the tangent space given by I_Σ . Then, for 1-forms $\alpha = \cdot dX_1$ it is apparent that $\mathbf{t}_\Sigma \alpha = P I_\Sigma a \cdot dX_1$ and $\mathbf{n}_\Sigma \alpha = R_{I_\Sigma}(a) \cdot dX_1$ by Equations ?? and ??. One can then find the flux of a vector field through Σ arises as an $(n - 1)$ -form $P \nu a I^{-1} \cdot dX_{n-1}$. Once again we see that the flux is determined both by the vector field a and the local geometry of Σ captured by $d\Sigma$ in the following way. Note that $\nu^{-1} = \nu$ since $\|\nu\| = 1$ everywhere on Σ and so $P \nu a I^{-1} = a \cdot \nu \nu I^{-1} = a \cdot \nu I_\Sigma^\dagger$ which gives us the corresponding form $a \cdot \nu d\Sigma$ and the total flux of a through Σ is then

$$\int_{\Sigma} (P \nu a I^{-1}) \cdot dX_{n-1} = \int_{\Sigma} a \cdot \nu d\Sigma.$$

1.2.6 k -form inner product

Show that this relates back to the Clifford norm.

For smooth k -forms $\alpha_k = A_k \cdot dX_k$ and $\beta_k = B_k \cdot dX_k$, we have an inner product

$$\langle \alpha_k, \beta_k \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \alpha_k \wedge \star \beta_k$$

where \star is the Hodge star. The Hodge star on k -forms inputs a k -form and outputs a specific dual $(n - k)$ -form so that we always have $\alpha_k \wedge \star \beta_k = (A_k \cdot B_k^\dagger) d\Omega$ as we note Equation 46.

Thus, we can realize how \star acts on multivector representative. We let $\star\beta_k = B_k^\star \cdot dX_{n-k}$ by $B_k^\star = (I^{-1}B_k)^\dagger$. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_k \wedge \star\beta_k &= (A_k \wedge B_k^\star) \cdot dX_n \\ &= A_k \cdot B_k^\dagger d\Omega.\end{aligned}$$

1.2.7 Stokes' and Green's theorem

For regions Ω with boundary Σ , we have a compact form of Stokes' theorem

$$\int_{\Omega} d\alpha_{n-1} = \int_{\Sigma} \mathbf{t}_{\Sigma} \alpha_{n-1},$$

for sufficiently smooth $(n-1)$ -forms α_{n-1} . Taking the multivector equivalent $\alpha_{n-1} = A_{n-1} \cdot dX_{n-1}$ we retrieve *Stokes' theorem* as

$$\int_{\Omega} (\nabla \wedge A_{n-1}) \cdot dX_n = \int_{\Sigma} \mathbf{P} I_{\Sigma} A_{n-1} \cdot dX_{n-1} = \int_{\Sigma} \mathbf{R}_{\nu}(A_{n-1}) \cdot dX_{n-1}$$

Let $v = A_{n-1}I$ denote the vector field dual to the pseudovector A_{n-1} , then we have the more recognizable ([Helmholtzian?](#)) form of Stokes' theorem

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot v d\Omega = \int_{\Sigma} v \cdot \nu d\Sigma.$$

Show this work?

This provides a compact relationship for those who choose to work with vector fields and those who choose to work with forms. [Pause and reflect here about what Green's theorem and Stokes' theorem are really saying. Use DUAL notation!](#)

Finally,

More on integration and do Ohms law as an example of some of this stuff. Do all of hodge decomposition and stuff?

References

- [1] F. BRACKX AND H. D. SCHEPPER, *The Hilbert Transform on a Smooth Closed Hypersurface*, (2008), p. 24.
- [2] E. CHISOLM, *Geometric Algebra*, arXiv:1205.5935 [math-ph], (2012). arXiv: 1205.5935.
- [3] C. DORAN AND A. LASENBY, *Geometric Algebra for Physicists*, Cambridge University Press, 1 ed., May 2003.
- [4] D. HESTENES, *Clifford Algebra and the Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics*, in Clifford Algebras and Their Applications in Mathematical Physics, J. S. R. Chisholm and A. K. Common, eds., NATO ASI Series, Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 1986, pp. 321–346.
- [5] T. JANSSENS, *Special functions in higher spin settings*, p. 192.

-
- [6] J. C. SCHINDLER, *Geometric Manifolds Part I: The Directional Derivative of Scalar, Vector, Multivector, and Tensor Fields*, arXiv:1911.07145 [math], (2020). arXiv: 1911.07145.
 - [7] G. SCHWARZ, *Hodge Decomposition - A Method for Solving Boundary Value Problems*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1995.