

Topological Electromagnetism, Relativistic Kinematics, and Fluid Plasmas

Colin P. Roberts*

Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, 80523

Alan G. Hylton†

NASA Glenn Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio, 44135, USA

The kinematics and self interaction of charges and neutral particles is described by combining Maxwell's equations of electromagnetism with the Boltzmann equation of gas/fluid kinematics. In tandem, we refer to the set of these equations as the Vlasov equations. The theory of electromagnetism given to us by Maxwell's equations is purely topological and this initially leads us to examine the de Rham (co)homology of manifolds. However, there is not an obvious ascension to a topological version of Vlasov's equation due to the additional constraints from Boltzmann's equation, yet there are at least coordinate free versions of Vlasov's equation. Our goals are to understand them and seek to extract topology from it, if possible. So far, our investigation led us to consider both relativistic phase space and its geometrical structure as well as writing a spinor description of the relativistic motion of charged particles. We conclude by noting that motion in the configuration space of a relativistic particle points towards the idea of capturing rigid body motion as geodesics in the special Euclidean group described by Vladimir Arnol'd, who also showed a similar result for ideal fluids.

I. Introduction

Plasma dynamics is a complicated problem with many facets of interest in many communities. Since plasmas consist of freely moving charged particles, the evolution of a plasma is tightly coupled to its self generated electromagnetic (EM) field. A consistent theory should provide a coupling of kinematic (or fluid) equations for the plasma to the self induced field via the Lorentz force under the constraints of Maxwell's equations. Examples of these equations are given by, but not limited to, magnetohydrodynamics and the Vlasov equation. In essence, the Vlasov equation combines the Maxwell's equations describing the field produced by charges along with the Boltzmann equation which describes the kinematics of these charges. Fundamentally, Maxwell's equations are topological [1, 2] whereas the Boltzmann equations are not and it is a worthy question to see if there is topology to extract from the Vlasov equations. Hence, we really seek to find a topological version of the collisionless Boltzmann equation.

Working with relativistic particles for access to the topological Maxwell's equations leads to difficulty in describing the kinematics of moving particles on spacetime. In Maxwell's theory, a current is usually a fixed entity that does not interact with itself whereas in a plasma we must not make this constraint since we expect our currents to interact strongly. Sadly, there is an inability to describe the worldlines of more than one particle due to the need for a proper time parameterization. However, the papers [3–5] do provide a relativistic version of a collisionless Einstein-Maxwell-Boltzmann equation for a single particle which they claim is useful for describing the average properties of a gravitating charged gas. Prior to these, the paper [6] outlines a similar approach in the first few sections and gives a description of relativistic collisions.

Like the Maxwell equations, the equations of ideal fluid dynamics are also topological in their descriptions of invariants. One such description of fluids comes from Vladimir Arnol'd, who found incompressible flow corresponds to geodesics on the space of voluming preserving diffeomorphisms which forms an analogy to rigid body motion on the special Euclidean group via a description of dynamics in their corresponding Lie algebras. However, these equations are not those that describe relativistic fluids and we need a new description in order to couple a charged relativistic fluid with the Maxwell equations.

To begin, I will introduce the concepts of Clifford algebras and analysis and use this formalism to build up a version of the de Rham homology and cohomology theory in terms of the components of the gradient operator of

*Ph.D. Candidate, Colorado State University, 1874 Campus Delivery Fort Collins, CO 80523-1874

†Engineer, LCN

Clifford analysis. Using the (co)homology, I will briefly cover the physical postulates of electromagnetism in their most topological form. To investigate a relativistic Boltzmann equation, I will touch on relativistic phase space. Finally, I describe the transport group and its relativistic version in order to deduce the equations of motion of a particle with this group as its configuration space and provide a perspective for motion of a collection of particles as a fluid with advecting mass and charge.

II. Preliminaries

A. Clifford Algebras and Analysis

1. Clifford Algebras, Multivectors, and Rotors

Clifford (or geometric) algebras are \mathbb{Z} - and $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ - graded algebras with elements we refer to as multivectors. Let us take the quadratic space (V, q) and build the tensor algebra $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V^{\otimes n}$ to construct the Clifford algebra $Cl(V, Q)$ via the quotient

$$Cl(V, Q) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V^{\otimes n} / \langle v \otimes v - Q(v) \rangle \quad (1)$$

with the induced addition and multiplication from the tensor algebra. There are many wonderful sources on Clifford algebras but I will primarily use [7] as a source for geometric and physical insight and the source [8] for the vast amount of identities and clear notation.

These algebras extend the exterior algebra $\wedge(V)$ by including the quadratic form Q in the quotient which implies that $\wedge(V) \subset Cl(V, Q)$ and, moreover, the product of vectors splits into a grade lowering term and grade raising term

$$vw = \underbrace{v \cdot w}_{\text{grade lowering}} + \underbrace{v \wedge w}_{\text{grade raising}}, \quad (2)$$

where \wedge is indeed the exterior product in $\wedge(V)$. Hence, we see that $Cl(V, Q)$ gains an additional term \cdot between vectors and, as with the exterior algebra, the higher graded elements are generated from taking exterior products of vectors

$$A_k = v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k, \quad (3)$$

If v_1, \dots, v_k are linearly independent, we refer to A_k as a k -blade and, more generally, sums of k -blades are called k -vectors. We refer to these elements as grade- k and they form a vector space $Cl^k(V, Q)$. Given any multivector, we have the reverse operation \dagger which is extended linearly from the action on a k -blade by

$$A_k^\dagger = v_k \wedge \cdots \wedge v_1 = (-1)^{k(k-1)/2} A_k \quad (4)$$

The Clifford algebras become geometric algebras when the quadratic form is inherited from an inner product $Q(-) = g(-, -)$ and we note that since g will be clear from context, we just put $\mathcal{G} := Cl(V, g)$. When V has pseudo-euclidean inner product with p vectors that square to -1 (temporal) and q that square to $+1$ (spatial), we will put $\mathcal{G}_{p,q}$. In the case we are given \mathcal{G} , there is the abelian subgroup V and the non-abelian $\text{Spin}(V)$ whose elements $R \in \text{Spin}(V)$ are called spinors. Spinors are even grade and have unit (semi)norm

$$|R|^2 := (R, R) := \langle R^\dagger R \rangle_0 = R^\dagger R \pm 1. \quad (5)$$

Here the notation $\langle A \rangle_k$ tells us to select only the grade k -components of a multivector A and it is important to note that \dagger acts as the adjoint in the inner product $(-, -)$ of \mathcal{G} . When $R^\dagger R = +1$, we refer to this element as a *rotor*. $\text{Spin}(V)$ acts on V with automorphisms of the form RvR^\dagger and we realize $\text{Spin}(V)$ covers $O(V)$ since this action generates isometries of $(-, -)$

$$(RvR^\dagger, RvR^\dagger) = (v, R^\dagger RvR^\dagger) = (v, v). \quad (6)$$

The n -vectors are scaled copies of the unit pseudoscalar I and, for example, the volume element in some basis e_i is given by

$$e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n = \mu I = \sqrt{\pm \det g} I \quad (7)$$

which is invariant under change of basis. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{G}$ then define the *dual* of A

$$A^\perp := AI \quad (8)$$

and note that

$$(A \rfloor B)^\perp = A \rfloor B^\perp \quad \text{and} \quad (A \wedge B)^\perp = A \rfloor B^\perp. \quad (9)$$

This identity is immensely useful.

2. Clifford Analysis

Given some semi-Riemannian manifold M , build the geometric algebra bundle $\mathcal{G}(M)$ whose sections are multivector fields. This construction is analogous to that of the exterior algebra of forms and is done by gluing together the algebras $\mathcal{C}\ell(T_x M, g_x)$. The Levi-Civita connection ∇ along with a vector field \mathbf{v} yields the covariant derivative $\nabla_{\mathbf{v}}$ which can be extended to multivector fields [9]. In local coordinates on M x^i we have the induced basis in the tangent space \mathbf{e}_i so that $\mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_j = g_{ij}$ and the reciprocal basis \mathbf{e}^i defined by $\mathbf{e}^i \cdot \mathbf{e}_j = \delta_{ij}$. The gradient (or Dirac operator) ∇ in these coordinates is

$$\nabla := \mathbf{e}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \quad (10)$$

where Einstein summation is implied. This derivative acts algebraically as a vector in the algebra and so we have

$$\nabla A = \nabla \cdot A + \nabla \wedge A \quad (11)$$

on any multivector field. Likewise, we have the measures dx^i which we combine with a reciprocal vector to get *basic directed measures* $d\mathbf{x}^i = \mathbf{e}^i dx^i$ and the *k-dimensional directed measure*

$$dX_k := \frac{1}{k!} d\mathbf{x}^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\mathbf{x}^{i_k}. \quad (12)$$

This lets us recover a k -form α_k from a k -vector A_k by taking

$$\alpha_k = A_k \cdot dX_k^\dagger, \quad (13)$$

where $A_r = \alpha_{i_1 \dots i_r} \mathbf{v}^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathbf{v}^{i_r}$ is called the *multivector equivalent of α_r* . Contraction with the k -dimensional volume directed measure is an isomorphism (extending the musical isomorphisms) between k -forms and k -vectors. For example, the multivector equivalent of the Riemannian volume form μ is \mathbf{I} and $\mathbf{I}(x)$ represents the tangent space at a point.

The algebraic operations of addition $+$, exterior multiplication \wedge , and contractions \rfloor carry over to the familiar products on $\Omega(M)$ to $\mathcal{G}(M)$. Likewise, the differential operations of the exterior derivative d take the form of the grade raising action of ∇ on multivector equivalents

$$d\alpha_k = (\nabla \wedge A_k) \cdot dX_{k+1}^\dagger, \quad (14)$$

and the codifferential δ (which is adjoint to d) by the grade lowering

$$\delta\alpha_k = (\nabla \cdot A_k) \cdot dX_{k-1}^\dagger, \quad (15)$$

which gives us that $\nabla \cdot$ is adjoint to $\nabla \wedge$. Thus, the Hodge-Dirac operator $d + \delta$ on forms coincides with ∇ on multivectors and moreover $\nabla \wedge^2 = \nabla \cdot^2 = 0$ allows us to build (co)chain complexes. The Laplace-Beltrami operator is given by $\Delta = \nabla^2$ and points to the Clifford analysis of ∇ as a refinement of the harmonic analysis of Δ . Lastly, there is a mapping $\star: \mathcal{G}^k(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{n-k}(M)$ defined by

$$\alpha_k \wedge \star \beta_k = (A_k \wedge B_k^\star) \cdot dX_n^\dagger = (A_k, B_k) \mu. \quad (16)$$

so that it captures the action of Hodge star of forms on their multivector equivalents.

3. de Rham (Co)homology

Clifford analysis on manifolds can be used to extract the *kth de Rham cohomology ring*

$$H_{dR}^\bullet(M) := \bigwedge_{k \in \mathbb{N}} H_{dR}^k := \bigwedge_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \ker \nabla \wedge_k / \text{im} \nabla \wedge_{k-1} \quad (17)$$

where $\text{im } \nabla \wedge_k$ are the *exact* and $\ker \nabla \wedge_k$ are the *closed* k -vectors and we have identified the wedge product as the cup product $\wedge : H_{dR}^k(M) \times H_{dR}^\ell(M) \rightarrow H_{dR}^{k+\ell}(M)$. To see this is a cup product, let $A_k \in H_{dR}^k(M)$ and $B_\ell \in H_{dR}^\ell(M)$ and note $A_k \wedge B_\ell$ is a closed $k + \ell$ -form

$$\nabla \wedge (A_k \wedge B_\ell) = (\nabla \wedge A_k) \wedge B_\ell + (-1)^k A_k \wedge (\nabla \wedge B_\ell) = 0, \quad (18)$$

since both A_k and B_ℓ are closed. For the sake of this work moving forward, let us assume that we are speaking solely about forms with compact support when we mention homology or cohomology.

Dual to the de Rham cohomology is the homology of de Rham currents. A (compact) current is an element $T \in \Omega^*(M)$ where $\Omega^*(M)$ is the space of linear functionals on smooth (compact) forms $\Omega(M)$, so that $T : \Omega(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has compact support. Examples of currents include multivectors since we can take a k -vector B_k on M and note with A_k as the multivector equivalent to α_k

$$B_k[\alpha_k] = \int_M (B_k, A_k) \mu. \quad (19)$$

This allows for a weaker notion of a k -vector since this current B^k is defined as an integrable distribution $(B_k, -)$. Also, we can take the k -chain C^k and note

$$C^k[\alpha_k] = \int_{C^k} \alpha_k \quad (20)$$

is also a current and if the k -chain C^k is a smooth submanifold $C^k = K \subset M$, then we can define the k -vector δ_K so that it coincides with the tangent pseudoscalar I_K by

$$\delta_K[\alpha_k] = \int_K \alpha_k = \int_M (I_K, A_k) \mu \quad (21)$$

which has the interpretation of extracting only the components of A_k tangent to K . In the case we let $K = x \in M$ be a point (a 0-chain) then for a 0-form α_0 we realize these forms as Dirac masses

$$\delta_x[\alpha_0] = \int_M (A_0, \delta_x) \mu = A_0(x). \quad (22)$$

With currents, we can build a homology theory [10] by defining the boundary operator ∂ on $k + 1$ currents T^{k+1} by utilizing the exterior derivative on forms

$$\partial T^{k+1}[\alpha_k] := T^{k+1}[d\alpha_k]. \quad (23)$$

When the currents are currents given by k -chains C^k (or manifolds K) we use Stokes' theorem to see

$$\partial C^k[\alpha_{k-1}] = C^k[d\alpha_{k-1}] = \int_{C^k} d\alpha_{k-1} = \int_{\partial C^k} \alpha_{k-1} \quad (24)$$

which is the usual notion of a boundary and necessitated our choice of compact support from earlier. If, instead, we take a current given by a k -vector B^k , we realize the following.

Proposition II.1. *Let B_k be a k -current. Then ∂B_k corresponds to the grade lowering part of the gradient on the distributional multivector $(\nabla \cdot B_k, -)$. Hence, we are able to represent a weak form of the interior derivative $(\nabla \cdot B_k, -)$ by passing to the exterior derivative on smooth multivectors $\nabla \wedge A_{k-1}$.*

Proof. Fix a $k - 1$ -form α_{k-1} and note that $\nabla \wedge$ is adjoint to $\nabla \cdot$ hence

$$\partial B_k[\alpha_{k-1}] = \int_M (B_k, \nabla \wedge A_{k-1}) \mu = \int_M (\nabla \cdot B_k, A_{k-1}) \mu. \quad (25)$$

□

The above statements motivate studying the boundary map further. We realize that $\partial^2 = 0$ and so we have the de Rham homology

$$H_\bullet^{dR} = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} H_k^{dR} := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \ker \partial_k / \text{im } \partial_{k+1} \cong \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \ker \nabla \cdot_k / \text{im } \nabla \cdot_{k+1}. \quad (26)$$

But, what is most important, is that the homologies generated by this boundary operator are not so different. Taking the specific form of the boundary operator in eq. (24), we get the singular homology $H_\bullet(M)$ over \mathbb{R} and in proposition II.1 we get the smooth homology $H_\bullet^\infty(M)$ where the boundary operator is $\nabla \cdot$. Each of these coincide.

Theorem II.2 (de Rham Theorem for Homology and Cohomology). *Given the singular \mathbb{R} -(co)homology, smooth homology, and de Rham (co)homology, we have*

$$H_\bullet(M) \cong H_\bullet^{dR}(M) \cong H_\bullet^\infty(M) \quad \text{and} \quad H^\bullet(M) \cong H_{dR}^\bullet(M) \quad (27)$$

so that the homologies are isomorphic as groups, and the cohomologies are isomorphic as rings.

Given de Rham's theorem, we need only put $H_\bullet(M)$ and $H^\bullet(M)$ and henceforth we will refer to k -currents in the kernel of ∂ as co-closed and those in the image as co-exact since their corresponding k -vector field must be co-closed and co-exact respectively.

We have a cap product $\frown: H_k(M) \times H^\ell(M) \rightarrow H_{k-\ell}(M)$, which can be realized in terms of integration when we take a k -current δ_K and a ℓ -form α_ℓ to get $T^{k-\ell} = \delta_K \frown \alpha_\ell$ defined by

$$T^{k-\ell}[\beta_{\ell-k}] = \int_K \alpha_\ell \wedge \beta_{\ell-k}. \quad (28)$$

Another way to realize the cap product is by noting that we can instead take a smooth k -dimensional submanifold K

$$T^{k-\ell}[-] = \int_K \alpha_\ell \wedge - = \int_M (-, A_\ell \rfloor I_K) \mu, \quad (29)$$

as an element of $\Omega^{k-\ell*}(M)$ and, therefore, a map $H^{k-\ell}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then, for posterity, let $\ell = k$ we see $T^0 = \delta_K \frown \alpha_k = \delta_X \in H_0(M)$ so that X is a 0-current, i.e., a collection of points $\partial X = 0$ so that each $x \in X$ is from one connected component of K . Then

$$T^0[\beta_0] = \delta_X[\beta_0] = \int_K (B_0, \delta_X) \mu_K = \sum_{x \in X} B_0(x). \quad (30)$$

Since B_0 is closed, it is constant on each connected component. The cap product above leads us to an important duality theorem of the \mathbb{R} homology and cohomology of smooth manifolds.

Theorem II.3 (Poincaré Duality). *The k th homology is isomorphic to the $n - k$ th cohomology, that is*

$$H_k(M) \cong H^{n-k}(M). \quad (31)$$

In fact, for closed manifolds, it is even true that $H_k(M) \cong H_{n-k}(M)$ and $H^k(M) \cong H^{n-k}(M)$ since the Hodge star maps harmonic k -forms (not defined here) to harmonic $n - k$ -forms (see [11] for more). At any rate, Poincaré duality yields the isomorphism through the cap product by taking the fundamental class δ_M and a k -form $\alpha_k \in H^k(M)$ to get the Poincaré dual $\overline{\alpha}_k \in H_{n-k}(M)$

$$\overline{\alpha}_k = (\delta_M \frown \alpha_k)[-] = \int_M (-, A_k \rfloor I) \mu \quad (32)$$

which we see is well defined since

$$\partial \overline{\alpha}_k[\beta_{k-1}] = \int_M (B_{k-1}, \nabla \cdot (A_k \rfloor I)) \mu = \int_M (B_{k-1}, (\nabla \wedge A_k) I) \mu = 0, \quad (33)$$

since A_k is a closed k -vector field. It is a worthy remark to mention that the $n - k$ -vector associated to $\overline{\alpha}_k$ is given by $A_k \rfloor I$ which is often referred to as the dual and we can put $A_k^\perp = A_k \rfloor I$. If we allow ourselves to work with distributional multivectors, then we can realize the cap product and Poincaré duality via typical multivector operations.

Proposition II.4. *On multivectors, we can realize the following.*

- 1) *The cap product is given by the map $\rfloor: H^\ell(M) \times H_k(M) \rightarrow H_{k-\ell}$ extended from the left contractive product \rfloor .*
- 2) *Poincaré duality is given by the dual map $\perp: H^\ell(M) \rightarrow H_{n-\ell}(M)$.*

Proof. To see the first item, we take $A_\ell \in H^\ell(M)$ and $B_k \in H_k(M)$ then

$$\nabla \cdot (A_\ell \rfloor B_k) = \nabla \cdot (A_\ell \wedge B_k^\perp)^\perp \quad (34)$$

$$= [\nabla \wedge (A_\ell \wedge B_k^\perp)]^\perp \quad (35)$$

$$= [(\nabla \wedge A_\ell) \wedge B_k^\perp + (-1)^\ell A_\ell \wedge (\nabla \wedge B_k^\perp)]^\perp \quad (36)$$

$$= 0. \quad (37)$$

For the second, if $A_\ell \in H^\ell(M)$ then

$$\nabla \cdot A_\ell^\perp = (\nabla \wedge A_\ell)^\perp = 0. \quad (38)$$

□

The pairing between co-closed currents and closed forms captured through integration is a (co)homological invariant that has ramifications in analysis that follows.

Proposition II.5. *For any closed k -form α_k and each co-closed k -current K , the period of the form α_k is the real number*

$$\delta_K(\alpha_k) = \int_K \alpha_k. \quad (39)$$

The period is invariant over both the homology class of K and cohomology class of α_k .

Proof. Let $K \in H_k(M)$ with current δ_K and $\alpha_k \in H^k(M)$. Then we show $A_\ell \rfloor B_k \in H_{k-\ell}$ directly

$$(\delta_K + \partial\delta_L)[\alpha_k + d\beta_{k-1}] = \int_{K+\partial L} \alpha_k + d\beta_{k-1} \quad (40)$$

$$= \int_K \alpha_k + \int_{\partial K} \beta_{k-1} + \int_L d\alpha_k + \int_L d(d\beta_{k-1}) \quad (41)$$

$$= \int_K \alpha_k. \quad (42)$$

□

Proposition II.6. *If all periods of a form α_k vanish, then α_k has a potential β_{k-1} such that $d\beta_{k-1} = \alpha_k$.*

Thus, the topology of the domain is intimately connected with solutions to certain partial differential equations. We will use this in the subsequent section. If we worked with non-compactly supported multivectors we would have to take into account boundary conditions which adds many more complications [12].

B. Electromagnetism

The work done in section II.A.3 can be compared with the preliminaries of [1]. We will use this source as well as [13] as motivation for the topological theory of electromagnetism and the source [14] is also wonderful. Classically, electromagnetism is taught through the guise of analysis yet this is quite superfluous as the theory requires far less rigidity. There are four important physical postulates (each backed by experimentation) for electromagnetism:

- 1) Conservation of charge;
- 2) Conservation of flux;
- 3) Constitutive law;
- 4) Lorentz force.

We take M^4 to be the foliated manifold of global spacetime with the Lorentz metric g of signature $(-1, +1, +1, +1)$ so that we ignore any curvature or gravitation. When necessary, we take the local coordinates x^μ with $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and the induced orthonormal tangent vector fields satisfy $e_0^2 = -1$ and $e_i^2 = +1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. We will typically use Greek indices when running over the full spacetime and Latin when running over only the spatial indices.

1. Charge Conservation

First, let j_3 be a 3-form field on spacetime M^4 with multivector equivalent J_3 . In order for charge to be conserved, we require that any charge entering or exiting a region $N^4 \subset M^4$ must happen due to the charge passing through the boundary ∂N^4 . Hence, we can state the physical postulate *charge conservation* by

$$\int_{\partial N^4} j_3 = \int_{N^4} dj_3 = \int_{N^4} (\nabla \wedge J_3) \cdot dX_4 = \int_{N^4} \nabla \cdot J_3^\perp \mu = 0 \iff \nabla \wedge J_3 = \nabla \cdot J_3^\perp = 0. \quad (43)$$

The 4-vector current is then the dual $J = J_3^\perp = J_3 \rfloor I$ and we remark that $J_3 \in H^3(M^4)$ gives us $J \in H_1(M^4)$ via Poincaré duality. Then, since we have determined that j_3 is closed, we can note that for any co-closed 3-current δ_{N^3}

$$\delta_{N^3}[j_3] = 0 \quad (44)$$

and by proposition II.6 we realize that j_3 has a potential 2-form h which we refer to as the *electromagnetic excitation*. That is,

$$j_3 = dh \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{J}_3 = \nabla \wedge H \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{J} = \nabla \cdot H^\perp. \quad (45)$$

This axiom can be understood in two ways and it owes to the belief of John Wheeler that “charge is topology”. First, we have seen the physical/analytical requirement of a conservation law of the field(s) J correspond to a (co)homological statement on the field(s) as well. Second, we realize this is a statement about the topological nature of spacetime itself in that $H_1(M) \cong H^3(M)$ must vanish. It turns out that conservation laws and topology are deeply related [15].

2. Flux conservation

For a co-closed N^2 , we have another conservation law assuming as a constraint on the electromagnetic field F

$$\int_{N^2} f = 0 \iff \nabla \wedge F = 0. \quad (46)$$

is a physical postulate which we regard as *flux conservation*. However, our starting point differs slightly from that of charge conservation. We do not require N^2 to be co-exact.

Thus, depending on the (co)homology of M^4 , we may be able to say something about N^2 . In particular, if $H^2(M^4) = H_2(M^4)$ is trivial (i.e., all periods of 2-forms vanish), then $N^2 = \partial N^3$ shows a potential for f exists. Specifically, we can put

$$da = f \quad \text{or} \quad \nabla \wedge \mathbf{A} = F, \quad (47)$$

and we refer to \mathbf{A} as the *electromagnetic potential*. We do not postulate the existence of a global potential \mathbf{A} , but if we work locally, this is always true since small enough local patches have trivial second (co)homology. In the case F does have a potential we realize that $F = \mathbf{F}$ is a 2-blade.

3. Constitutive Law and Maxwell's Equations

At this point, we nearly have a set of equations that can be worked with. However, we need to determine a relationship between the electromagnetic field F and the electromagnetic excitation H . This relationship is referred to as the *constitutive law* and the simplest possible choice is linear so that $F = H^\perp$. Thus, we note eqs. (45) and (46) yield the relativistic Maxwell equations as $\nabla F = \mathbf{J}$ or, as is typical

$$\nabla \wedge F = 0 \quad (\text{homogeneous}) \quad (48)$$

$$\nabla \cdot F = \mathbf{J} \quad (\text{inhomogeneous}). \quad (49)$$

Supposing as well that F has a potential \mathbf{A} , we can choose the Lorenz gauge so that $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0$ to get

$$\Delta \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{J}. \quad (50)$$

Working locally, F can be split into constituents E and B using superscripts to denote components

$$F = \underbrace{E^1 \mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{e}_1 + E^2 \mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{e}_2 + E^3 \mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{e}_3}_{\text{electric field } E} + \underbrace{B^3 \mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_2 + B^2 \mathbf{e}_3 \mathbf{e}_1 + B^1 \mathbf{e}_2 \mathbf{e}_3}_{\text{magnetic field } B} \quad (51)$$

Using this decomposition and noting that $\vec{\partial}_t = \mathbf{e}^0 \nabla_{\mathbf{e}_0}$ is the (vector) time derivative and $\vec{\nabla} = \mathbf{e}^i \nabla_{\mathbf{e}_i}$ is the spatial gradient, we write the Heaviside's version of Maxwell's equations

$$\nabla \wedge F = 0 \implies \underbrace{\vec{\nabla} \wedge B = 0}_{\text{spatial}} \quad \text{and} \quad \underbrace{\vec{\nabla} \wedge E + \vec{\partial}_t \wedge B = 0}_{\text{spatio-temporal}} \quad (52)$$

are Gauss's law for magnetism and Faraday's law from the homogeneous Maxwell equations and

$$\nabla \cdot F_2 = J_1 \implies \underbrace{\mathbf{e}^0 \cdot \vec{\nabla} \cdot E = \mathbf{e}^0 \cdot \mathbf{J}}_{\text{spatial}} \quad \text{and} \quad \underbrace{\mathbf{e}^0 \wedge (\vec{\partial}_t \cdot E + \vec{\nabla} \cdot E) = \mathbf{e}^0 \wedge \mathbf{J}}_{\text{spatio-temporal}} \quad (53)$$

are Gauss's law for electricity and Ampere's law respectively. Multiplication by \mathbf{e}^0 seen in eq. (53) is often called the spacetime split and since eq. (52) is homogeneous, we do not see this as a necessary step. The equations for the electric and magnetic potential can be found this way as well.

4. Lorentz force

Recall that a major motivator of this project is the plasma dynamics and, as such, we must also postulate the coupling between charges and fields. For a particle with charge q , mass m , and velocity 4-vector \mathbf{v} , we know via experimentation that this particle undergoes acceleration due to the *Lorentz force*

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{v} = \frac{q}{m} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{F}. \quad (54)$$

This equation, by virtue of ∇ , is coordinate independent and Lorentz invariant, but it is inherently geometrical but not immediately topological since it does not obviously factor into components of ∇ . This equation will be revisited later in section IV.

III. Kinematics

The kinematic description of physics is useful for modeling disparate gases and fluids. Fundamentally, the equations of kinematics take the sum of all forces and move the particles based on these forces taking into account collisions as well. In classical non-relativistic physics, this is done by defining a distribution function $f: TM^3 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that takes in the position, momentum, and time and whose fiberwise moments yield physical observables. The evolution of f over time allows us to reconstruct the dynamics of the particles.

A. Vlasov's Equations

For example, the evolution of f is given by Boltzmann's equation in general. This can then be specialized to Vlasov's equations which handle the case where the particles are charged. Given the charge of the particle family is e , the static charge q and the current \mathbf{J} are observables given by

$$q(x, t) = \int_{T_x M} e f(x, \mathbf{p}, t) d\mathbf{p} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{J}(x, t) = \int_{T_x M} e f(x, \mathbf{p}, t) \mathbf{p} d\mathbf{p}, \quad (55)$$

where x and \mathbf{p} are coordinates of phase space. Boltzmann's equation can then be written as

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\mathbf{p}}{m} \cdot \nabla f + \mathbf{F} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{p}} = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right)_{\text{collisions}}, \quad (56)$$

where \mathbf{F} is the external force and the right hand side represents the collision term. Then the Vlasov equations are given by taking the Lorentz force in the standard Heaviside form

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{e}{m} (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) \quad (57)$$

along with Maxwell's equations themselves [16]. In order to combine the kinematical equations with the relativistic Maxwell equations, we must adjust our perspective.

B. Relativistic Kinematics

For this section, the papers [3–5] are instrumental and provide a derivation of the following. Take spacetime M^4 with relativistic phase space TM^4 with local basis vectors $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial p^\mu}$. Working in these coordinates, a tangent vector to a massive charged particle's path is

$$X_{(x,p)} = p^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + (q F_\nu^\mu(x) p^\nu - \Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^\mu p^\nu p^\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial p^\mu} \in T_{(x,p)}(TM) \quad (58)$$

where F_ν^μ is the Faraday tensor (i.e., the electromagnetic field components). The volume measures of the tangent bundle are given by

$$\mu_{TM^4} := \mu_{M^4} \wedge \mu_{T_x M^4} \quad (59)$$

where $\mu_{M^4} := \sqrt{-\det(g)} dx^0 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^3$ and along the fibers we have $\mu_{T_x M^4} := \sqrt{-\det(g(x))} dp^0 \wedge \cdots \wedge dp^3$.

A Hamiltonian is given on TM^4 by $H(x, \mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2} g_x(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p})$ which, for a particle of fixed mass m it must be that its momentum \mathbf{p} satisfies $H(x, \mathbf{p}) = \frac{m^2}{2}$. Hence, in the tangent spaces we realize the *mass shell*

$$(\Gamma_m)_x := \{p \in T_x M \mid g_x(p, p) = -m^2\} \quad (60)$$

and dragging this shell through the whole tangent bundle yields the *mass hyperboloid*

$$\Gamma_m := \{(x, p) \in TM \mid 2H(x, p) = g_x(p, p) = -m^2\}. \quad (61)$$

It is also proven that Γ_m contains a future oriented hyperboloid Γ_m^+ constructed by taking $(\Gamma_m^+)_x$ to be the future directed mass shell.

The Hamiltonian allows us to define the associated Liouville 1-form $\theta_{(x, \mathbf{p})}(X) = g_x(\mathbf{p}, d\pi_{(x, \mathbf{p})}(X))$ for some vector field X and where π is the canonical fiberwise projection. The exterior derivative $d\theta$ is a symplectic form on TM^4 and we put X_H to denote the Hamiltonian vector field. The mass hyperboloid is a level set of the Hamiltonian and, moreover, it is akin to the unit tangent bundle and we find that the pullback of θ onto Γ_m yields a contact form. Likewise, pulling the volume form μ_{TM^4} back onto Γ_m yields μ_{Γ_m} . As with the classical phase space, observables can be found from fiberwise integrals of the distribution function $f: TM^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by taking note of [3, Lemma 4, Lemma 5].

Theorem III.1. *Let \mathcal{L} be the Lie derivative, then the Liouville equation*

$$\mathcal{L}_{X_H} f = 0 \quad (62)$$

is satisfied.

From this, the authors deduce the Einstein-Maxwell-Vlasov system of a gravitating charged gas

$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G_N \left(T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{em}} + T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{gas}} \right) \quad (\text{Einstein equation}) \quad (63)$$

$$\nabla_\nu F^{\mu\nu} = qJ^\mu, \quad \nabla_{[\mu} F_{\alpha\beta]} = 0 \quad (\text{Maxwell's equations}) \quad (64)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{X_H} f = 0 \quad (\text{Liouville/collisionless Boltzmann equation}). \quad (65)$$

These kinematical equations certainly warrant further work and they can likely be related to some relativistic fluid equations if proper limits are taken.

IV. Fluid Plasmas

To develop a deeper understanding of the motion of a fluid plasma, let us explore the motion of a single relativistic particle immersed. To make an analogy, motion of a particle in spacetime can be thought of as an analog of the motion of a rigid body in Euclidean space. The configuration of rigid body lies on the the semi-product Lie group $A(3) = \mathbb{R}^3 \rtimes \text{Spin}(3)$ called the *Euclidean group* (actually, this is the universal cover of that group) and we can show that configuration of a massive relativistic particle lies on the cover of the *Poincaré group* $A(1, 3) = \mathbb{R}^{1,3} \rtimes \text{Spin}^+(1, 3)$ which we refer to as the *Fermi transport group*.

A. The Transport Group $A(V)$

The groups mentioned before can be discussed in broad generality so we instead take $V \rtimes \text{Spin}^+(V) \subset \mathcal{Cl}(V, Q)$ as well. Moreover, this group inherits its structure from the Clifford algebra and we find the Lie algebra does as well.

Definition IV.1. *Fix a quadratic space (V, Q) where Q is a non-degenerate quadratic form, then we define the transport group as the set*

$$A(V) := V \rtimes \text{Spin}^+(V). \quad (66)$$

To realize this as a group, we note that $\text{Spin}^+(V)$ acts on V via conjugation so that

$$(v, R)(v', R') := (v + Rv'R^\dagger, RR') \quad (67)$$

defines multiplication in $A(V)$ with inverse

$$(v, R)^{-1} = (R^\dagger vR, R^\dagger). \quad (68)$$

Example IV.2. *Take for example the motion of a rigid body in 3-dimensional space which consists of translations of the center of mass captured by the factor \mathbb{R}^3 and rotation about the center of mass captured by $\text{Spin}^+(\mathbb{R}^3)$. More explicitly, let $\mathbf{v}(t) \in V$ be the position of the center of mass of the body at time t , let $\mathcal{F}(t) = (\mathbf{e}_1(t), \mathbf{e}_2(t), \mathbf{e}_3(t))$ be the body frame at time t define $R \in \text{Spin}^+(V)$ be such that $\mathcal{F}(t) = R(t)\mathcal{F}(0)R^\dagger(t)$. Hence, the configuration of a rigid body lies in the group $A(3) = \mathbb{R}^3 \rtimes \text{Spin}^+(3)$ and motion of a rigid body is a curve on the group $A(3)$.*

We keep the notions of this example moving forward and posit that the group $A(V)$ represents the configuration of a generalized notion of a rigid body. Given we wish to study curves on $A(V)$, we must ask what the infinitesimal motions on $A(V)$ correspond to, or, in other words, what is the Lie algebra to $A(V)$. Note that the Lie algebra to V is itself a trivial Lie algebra since V is a commutative group. The Lie algebra of $\text{Spin}^+(V)$ is the algebra of bivectors $\mathfrak{spin}(V) = C\ell^2(V, Q)$ along with the commutator $[-, -]$ which we inherit from $C\ell(V, Q)$ as well. We denote the Lie algebra of $A(V)$ by $\mathfrak{a}(V)$ and note that we have the Lie algebra extension

$$\mathfrak{a}(V) = V \rtimes \mathfrak{spin}(V), \quad (69)$$

which allows us to write any element in $\mathfrak{a}(V)$ as a sum of a vector \mathbf{v} and bivector b .

Proposition IV.3. *The commutator bracket of $\mathfrak{a}(V)$, $[-, -]_{\mathfrak{a}(V)}$ can be written in terms of the commutator for the Clifford algebra $[-, -]$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in V$ and $b_1, b_2 \in \mathfrak{spin}(V)$, we have that

$$[\mathbf{v}_1 + b_1, \mathbf{v}_2 + b_2]_{\mathfrak{a}(V)} = [\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2]_V + \text{ad}_{b_1} \mathbf{v}_2 - \text{ad}_{b_2} \mathbf{v}_1 + [b_1, b_2]_{\mathfrak{spin}(V)}. \quad (70)$$

Then, by [17, Lemma 5.7],

$$\text{ad}_{b_i} \mathbf{v}_j = [b_i, \mathbf{v}_j]. \quad (71)$$

Likewise, the commutator $[-, -]_{\mathfrak{spin}(V)} = [-, -]$ and $[\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2]_V = 0$ hence

$$[\mathbf{v}_1 + b_1, \mathbf{v}_2 + b_2]_{\mathfrak{a}(V)} = [b_1, \mathbf{v}_2] + [\mathbf{v}_1, b_2] + [b_1, b_2] \quad (72)$$

$$= [\mathbf{v}_1 + b_1, \mathbf{v}_2 + b_2] - [\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2]. \quad (73)$$

□

B. Relativistic motion of a massive charged particle

Given global spacetime M^4 we take a small local region $N^4 \subset M^4$. Given the global foliation, there exists a function $t: N^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\nabla t = \mathbf{e}_0$ is nonvanishing and $\mathbf{e}_0^2 = -1$ everywhere. We define $N^3(\tau) = t^{-1}(\tau)$ to be the 3-dimensional submanifold of space at time τ . Let \mathbf{e}_i constitute the orthonormal vector field basis so that $\mathbf{e}_\mu \cdot \mathbf{e}_\nu = \eta_{\mu\nu}$ is the minkowski metric.

1. The 4-Momentum, 4-Current, and 4-Velocity Field Decomposition

Consider $\gamma: T \rightarrow N^4$ be the time parameterization of the worldline of a massive particle and let $\mathbf{p} := \dot{\gamma} \in \mathcal{G}_{1,3}^1(N^4)$ be the 4-momentum field of the particle. Since γ is massive, it must be that $\mathbf{p}^2 < 0$. Hence, we assume that this can be decomposed as

$$\mathbf{p} = m\mathbf{v}, \quad (74)$$

where $m: \gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{v}^2 = -1$ everywhere along the worldline. We refer to m as the *mass energy field* and \mathbf{v} as the *massive 4-velocity field*, and with $q: \gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we have the *charge field* so that $\mathbf{j} = q\mathbf{v}$ defines the 4-current vector field associated to this particle. It will be nice to assume that the mass and charge are both unchanging so that $\mathbf{p}^2 = -m^2$ for some $m > 0$. Since $\mathbf{v}^2 = -1$ we have

$$\nabla(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}) = 0 \implies \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} \cdot (\nabla \wedge \mathbf{v}). \quad (75)$$

In this sense, transport of the velocity field through depends solely on the projection of the velocity \mathbf{v} onto the *relativistic vorticity* $\nabla \wedge \mathbf{v}$.

A charged particle must obey the Lorentz force law eq. (54) and so we see that the relativistic vorticity aligns with the electromagnetic field $\nabla \wedge \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{F}$ by eq. (75).

Remark IV.4. *Given that $\nabla \wedge \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{F}$, there is likely some relationship of the potential \mathbf{A} to \mathbf{v} . In analogy with non-relativistic fluids, the relativistic helicity could be defined as the 3-vector $\mathbf{v} \wedge (\nabla \wedge \mathbf{v})$ which could be some invariant of the relativistic fluid.*

2. Configuration space of a massive charged particle

Since \mathbf{F} can change in space, the position γ and the 4-velocity \mathbf{v} both couple to \mathbf{F} . Briefly, let us work in units so that $\frac{q}{m} = 1$ and let τ be the proper time parameter of the particle, then note that $\nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{v} = \frac{d}{d\tau} \mathbf{v}(\tau)$ and hence

$$\frac{d\mathbf{v}}{d\tau}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{F}(\gamma(t)). \quad (76)$$

Treating position as a vector, we take the initial position $\gamma(0) = \gamma_0$ and the initial velocity is $\mathbf{v}(0) = \mathbf{v}_0$. At an infinitesimal increment of proper time ϵ later,

$$\gamma(\epsilon) \approx \gamma_0 + \epsilon \mathbf{v}_0 \quad (77)$$

$$\mathbf{v}(\epsilon) = R(\epsilon) \mathbf{v}_0 R^\dagger(\epsilon), \quad (78)$$

noting that this satisfies $\mathbf{v}(\tau)^2 = -1$ when $R \in \text{Spin}^+(1, 3)$ and that these equations are valid at any proper time τ . Hence, the configuration of the particle lies in the group $A(1, 3)$. We will now need to investigate the infinitesimal dynamics of $R(\epsilon)$.

3. Lie Algebras of Bivectors and Spacetime Rotors

We would like to determine infinitesimal generators, or Lie algebra, of the group $A(1, 3)$ which we denote by $\mathfrak{a}(1, 3)$ in order to understand the linearization of the rotor

$$R(\epsilon) \approx R(0) + \epsilon \frac{d}{d\tau} R(0). \quad (79)$$

Specifically, let us concentrate on the factor $\mathfrak{spin}(1, 3)$ of the Lie algebra extension eq. (69) which has orthogonal decomposition of

$$\mathfrak{spin}(1, 3) = \mathcal{T} \oplus \mathcal{S}, \quad (80)$$

where we take \mathcal{T} and $\mathcal{S} = \mathfrak{spin}(3)$ to be bivectors with temporal components and no temporal components

$$\mathcal{T} := \text{span}(\{\mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{e}_i \mid i = 1, 2, 3\}) \quad (81)$$

$$\mathcal{S} := \text{span}(\{\mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j \mid i, j = 1, 2, 3, i \neq j\}). \quad (82)$$

Orthogonality is realized by the fact

$$(\mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j \mathbf{e}_k) = \langle (\mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{e}_i)^\dagger \mathbf{e}_j \mathbf{e}_k \rangle = 0 \quad (83)$$

and elements in \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{S} commute since $[\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S}] = 0$.

From the splitting in eq. (80) and commutivity of \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{S} that a spacetime rotor R can be decomposed $R = LU$ where

$$R = \exp(B) = \exp(B_{\mathcal{T}} + B_{\mathcal{S}}) = \exp(B_{\mathcal{T}}) \exp(B_{\mathcal{S}}) = LU. \quad (84)$$

This has physical ramifications since for any orthonormal frame $\mathcal{F} = (\mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \mathbf{y}_3)$ is transformed by $R \mathcal{F} R^\dagger$ and the rotations of the frame vectors \mathbf{y}_i from $\exp(B_{\mathcal{S}})$ are not meaningful. We refer to elements $U \in \exp(B_{\mathcal{T}})$ as *pure boosts*.

4. Rotor equations and trajectory for a single particle in a constant field

Given the linearizations eqs. (77) and (79) we have one for L

$$L(\tau + \epsilon) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{d\tau}(\tau) \mathbf{v}(\tau), \quad (85)$$

which can be seen in [7]. Finally, since we only want pure boosts we equate $R(\tau + \epsilon) = L(\tau + \epsilon)$ yields

$$\frac{dR}{d\tau} R^\dagger = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{d\tau} \mathbf{v}. \quad (86)$$

and we refer to eq. (86) as the *Fermi transport equation*. Noting that $\frac{d\mathbf{v}}{d\tau} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$ since τ is the arclength parameter we have the *Fermi-Faraday transport equation*

$$\frac{d\mathbf{v}}{d\tau} = -2 \frac{dR}{d\tau} R^\dagger \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{F} \lrcorner \mathbf{v} \quad (87)$$

which yields a pure rotor in terms of the electromagnetic field with a reintroduction of the charge-to-mass

$$\frac{dR}{d\tau} = \frac{q}{2m} \mathbf{F} R. \quad (88)$$

Example IV.5. Let \mathbf{F} be constant and non-null (i.e., that $\mathbf{F}^2 \neq 0$), then we can put

$$\mathbf{F}^2 = \langle \mathbf{F}^2 \rangle_0 + \langle \mathbf{F}^2 \rangle_4 = \rho \exp(\mathbf{I}\theta) \quad (89)$$

which allows us to write

$$\mathbf{F} = \rho^{1/2} \exp(\mathbf{I}\theta/2) \hat{\mathbf{F}} = \alpha \hat{\mathbf{F}} + \beta \mathbf{I} \hat{\mathbf{F}}. \quad (90)$$

Given an initial rotor $R(0) = R_0$, we then have

$$R(\tau) = \exp\left(\frac{q}{2m} \alpha \hat{\mathbf{F}} \tau\right) \exp\left(\frac{q}{2m} \beta \mathbf{I} \hat{\mathbf{F}} \tau\right) R_0. \quad (91)$$

Likewise, the position of the particle can be recovered as well by noting $\mathbf{v}_0 = R_0 \mathbf{e}_0 R_0^\dagger$ and using the Faraday transport equation eq. (76) to get

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\tau) = \boldsymbol{\gamma}(0) + \frac{\exp\left(\frac{q}{m} \alpha \hat{\mathbf{F}}\right) - 1}{q\alpha/m} \hat{\mathbf{F}} \cdot \mathbf{v}_0 - \frac{\exp\left(\frac{q}{m} \beta \mathbf{I} \hat{\mathbf{F}}\right) - 1}{q\beta/m} (\mathbf{I} \hat{\mathbf{F}}) \cdot \mathbf{v}_0. \quad (92)$$

C. Charged Fluid Continuity Equations

Let us begin with a collection of particles at $\tau = 0$ (no longer proper time) to define our spatial manifold $N^3(0)$. As the collection of particles evolves in time, we will produce a new manifold $N^3(\tau)$. This is a Lagrangian description of the particles and, as such, we specify $X(\tau, \mathbf{x}_0)$ to be the location of the particle we refer to as \mathbf{x}_0 such that $X(0, \mathbf{x}_0) = (0, \mathbf{x}_0) \in N^4$. For example, given $N^3(0) = \mathbf{x}_0$ represents a single point particle, given $\mathbf{v}_0 = \frac{\partial X}{\partial \tau}(0, \mathbf{x}_0)$, and that we have a constant electromagnetic field, then we recover the equations in example IV.5.

We could also imagine \mathbf{v} as a velocity field on N^4 that describes a fluid of a single family of charged particles. In that case, we would not be able to impose that m is static and instead we must advect the mass

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{p} = m \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{v} + (\nabla_{\mathbf{v}} m) \mathbf{v}. \quad (93)$$

Likewise, we have the constraint of constant charge to mass q/m , the co-closedness of the current $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0$ and momentum $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{p} = 0$ which leads us to \mathbf{v} being a homology class in N^4 . Lastly, since $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{J}$, we get the continuity equations are

$$m \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{v} + (\nabla_{\mathbf{v}} m) \mathbf{v} = q \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{F} \quad (94)$$

$$\nabla \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{J} \quad (95)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0. \quad (96)$$

From these equations, we should be able to investigate the infinitesimal diffeomorphisms $N^3(\tau) \mapsto N^3(\tau + \epsilon)$.

V. Conclusion

To summarize, the work here puts together introduced the Clifford algebra and analysis structures on manifolds to provide a nice perspective on the (co)homological theory of manifolds from which an axiomatic theory of electromagnetism followed. We investigate the structure of relativistic phase space as well as a relativistic configuration space for particles. When these particles couple to the electromagnetic field, their evolution is governed by Fermi-Faraday transport and, if we take into account a fluid of a single family of charged particles, we arrive at some continuity equations for relativistic charged fluids.

There is plenty remaining to do. The exposition of the (co)homology only included compact support and it would be great to extend this. There are other sources on the the relativistic Vlasov equation [18, 19] that should be united with our knowledge on the relativistic phase space. Fundamentally, neither of these include collisions of particles which would be necessary in dense plasmas (especially those dense with heavy neutral particles). Finally, the relativistic charged fluid equations in section IV.C should be corroborated with [20] and further investigated.

Acknowledgments

None of work could be done without the support and funding of the NASA Internship Program who made this summer session an absolute dream. I am indebted to my mentors Alan Hylton and Bob Short who supported all of us interns throughout the process. Most of my collaboration on this project was with another intern, Cameron Krulewski. Her and I spent many hours chatting together and her willingness to listen and patience with my (sometimes kooky) thoughts was basically unrivaled. It goes without saying I learned a ton from her as well. I would also like to thank Michael Robinson for his continual insight on the physics of plasmas. To Clayton Shonkwiler, my advisor, I owe you plenty for all of the help you have given me over the years. Finally, to the rest of the interns of our quasi-coherent strike force, I appreciate all of our discussions and I wish I could have gotten to know all of you a bit better.

References

- [1] Delphenich, D. H., “On the Axioms of Topological Electromagnetism,” *Annalen der Physik*, Vol. 14, No. 6, 2005, pp. 347–377. <https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.200510141>, URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0311256>, arXiv: hep-th/0311256.
- [2] Hehl, F. W., and Obukhov, Y. N., *Foundations of Classical Electrodynamics: Charge, Flux, and Metric*, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2003. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0051-2>, URL <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-4612-0051-2>.
- [3] Sarbach, O., and Zannias, T., “Relativistic Kinetic Theory: An Introduction,” *arXiv:1303.2899 [cond-mat, physics:gr-qc, physics:hep-th]*, 2013, pp. 134–155. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4817035>, URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.2899>, arXiv: 1303.2899.
- [4] Sarbach, O., and Zannias, T., “Tangent bundle formulation of a charged gas,” *arXiv:1311.3532 [cond-mat, physics:gr-qc, physics:hep-th]*, 2014, pp. 192–207. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4861955>, URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1311.3532>, arXiv: 1311.3532.
- [5] Sarbach, O., and Zannias, T., “The geometry of the tangent bundle and the relativistic kinetic theory of gases,” *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, Vol. 31, No. 8, 2014, p. 085013. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/31/8/085013>, URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.2036>, arXiv: 1309.2036.
- [6] Bichteler, K., “On the Cauchy problem of the relativistic Boltzmann equation,” *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, Vol. 4, No. 5, 1967, pp. 352–364. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01653649>, URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01653649>.
- [7] Doran, C., and Lasenby, A., *Geometric Algebra for Physicists*, 1st ed., Cambridge University Press, 2003. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511807497>, URL <https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/9780511807497/type/book>.
- [8] Chisolm, E., “Geometric Algebra,” *arXiv:1205.5935 [math-ph]*, 2012. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1205.5935>, arXiv: 1205.5935.
- [9] Schindler, J. C., “Geometric Manifolds Part I: The Directional Derivative of Scalar, Vector, Multivector, and Tensor Fields,” *arXiv:1911.07145 [math]*, 2020. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1911.07145>, arXiv: 1911.07145.
- [10] Iversen, B., “Cauchy Residues and de Rham Homology,” *L’Enseignement Mathématique*, Vol. 35, 1989, pp. 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.5169/SEALS-57358>, URL <https://www.e-periodica.ch/digbib/view?pid=ens-001:1989:35::9>, medium: text/html,application/pdf Publisher: Fondation L’Enseignement Mathématique.
- [11] Cappell, S., DeTurck, D., Gluck, H., and Miller, E. Y., “Cohomology of harmonic forms on Riemannian manifolds with boundary,” *Forum Mathematicum*, Vol. 18, No. 6, 2006. <https://doi.org/10.1515/FORUM.2006.046>, URL <https://www.degruyter.com/document/doi/10.1515/FORUM.2006.046/html>.
- [12] Schwarz, G., “Hodge Decomposition - A Method for Solving Boundary Value Problems,” 1995. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bfb0095978>.
- [13] Hehl, F. W., and Obukhov, Y. N., “Introduction,” *Foundations of Classical Electrodynamics: Charge, Flux, and Metric*, edited by F. W. Hehl and Y. N. Obukhov, Progress in Mathematical Physics, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2003, pp. 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0051-2_1, URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0051-2_1.
- [14] Gross, P. W., Gross, P. W., Kotiuga, P. R., and Kotiuga, R. P., *Electromagnetic Theory and Computation: A Topological Approach*, Cambridge University Press, 2004. Google-Books-ID: UTjwZdtkECIC.
- [15] Westenholz, C. V., “Topological and Noether-conservation laws,” *Annales de L’I.H.P., Section A*, Vol. 30, 1979, pp. 353–367.
- [16] Manning, R. M., “Analysis of Electromagnetic Wave Propagation in a Magnetized Re-Entry Plasma Sheath Via the Kinetic Equation,” *NASA Technical Manual*, , No. 2009-216096, 2009, pp. 1–40.

- [17] Gracia-Bondía, J. M., Varilly, J. C., and Figueroa, H., *Elements of Noncommutative Geometry*, Birkhäuser Advanced Texts Basler Lehrbücher, Birkhäuser Basel, 2001. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0005-5>, URL <https://www.springer.com/gp/book/9780817641245>.
- [18] Brizard, A. J., “New Variational Principle for the Vlasov-Maxwell Equations,” *Physical Review Letters*, Vol. 84, No. 25, 2000, pp. 5768–5771. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.5768>, URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.5768>.
- [19] Marsden, J. E., and Weinstein, A., “The Hamiltonian structure of the Maxwell-Vlasov equations,” *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, Vol. 4, No. 3, 1982, pp. 394–406. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789\(82\)90043-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(82)90043-4), URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0167278982900434>.
- [20] Pausader, B., “RELATIVISTIC FLUID EQUATIONS,” , 2013. URL <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/RELATIVISTIC-FLUID-EQUATIONS-Pausader/4e9e2b6d1dac0834d58ed0ff909cbc1a11da3196>.