

MATH 272, WORKSHEET 8

POTENTIALS AND SURFACES.

Problem 1. Note that the identity $\vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{\nabla} f) = \vec{0}$ always holds for any smooth scalar field f .

- Pick a few functions $f(x, y)$ of your own and plot the graphs $z = f(x, y)$ and plot the vector field $\vec{\nabla} f$ as well. Can you reason why the identity must be true from these plots?
- If you plot the vector field $\vec{V} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ x \end{pmatrix}$ (which has nonzero curl), could this have come from the gradient of some function? What would the surface have to look like in order to have this as a gradient? Could it even be a valid function/surface?

Problem 2. Decide whether the following fields have potentials. If so, determine what they are. Plot the fields as well.

(a) $\vec{U}(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 2x + 2y + 2z \\ 2x + 2y + 2z \\ 2x + 2y + 2z \end{pmatrix}.$

(b) $\vec{V}(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} yz \\ xz \\ xy \end{pmatrix}.$

(c) $\vec{W}(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} e^y \\ e^x \\ \sin(x) \sin(y) \end{pmatrix}.$

Problem 3. For the fields in Problem 2, take the curves $\vec{\gamma}_1(t) = \begin{pmatrix} t \\ t \\ t \end{pmatrix}$ and $\vec{\gamma}_2(t) = \begin{pmatrix} t \\ t^2 \\ t^3 \end{pmatrix}$ from time $t = 0$ to time $t = 1$ and integrate

$$\int_{\vec{\gamma}_i} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{\gamma}_i.$$

For which fields should this integral not depend on the choice of curve? Recall we refer to these fields whose that are independent of the choice of path from point a to point b as conservative.

Problem 4. *** Given a conservative vector field \vec{V} and a curve $\vec{\gamma}: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, we know that

$$\int_{\vec{\gamma}} \vec{V} \cdot d\vec{\gamma},$$

only depends on the start and end points of the curve $\vec{\gamma}$. That is, if we fix $\vec{\gamma}(a)$ and $\vec{\gamma}(b)$, the path between those two points does not change the integral.

If \vec{V} is conservative, then $\vec{V} = \vec{\nabla}f$ for some scalar field f . This yields the identity,

$$\int_{\vec{\gamma}} (\vec{\nabla}f) \cdot d\vec{\gamma} = f(\vec{\gamma}(b)) - f(\vec{\gamma}(a)). \quad (1)$$

This is, once again, some type of generalization of the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus (FTC) via the very general Stokes' theorem.

- Show that the above identity in Equation (1) is nothing but FTC. *Hint: take your (1-dimensional) curve $\vec{\gamma}(x) = x$ so that $\vec{\gamma}(a) = a$ and $\vec{\gamma}(b) = b$. Finally, note $\vec{\nabla} = \frac{d}{dx}$.*
- Consider now a different curve $\vec{\eta}: [\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. So long as $\vec{\eta}(\tilde{a}) = a$ and $\vec{\eta}(\tilde{b}) = b$, our identity states that the integral should output the same value. Realize this as the u -substitution (or change of variables) that you learned in Calc. 1.
- Now, in 3-dimensions, we can discretize any curve to n small movements in the x -, y -, or z -direction, and in each direction FTC will hold. Thus, summing up the n integrals (one from each movement) will cancel off many contributions and leave you only with the beginning and end point of the whole curve as a contribution. Taking the limit that these movements are dx , dy , and dz , one can see that a choice of path for a smooth curve will not matter. Draw a picture of this argument and clarify the approach to a proof.

Problem 5. For the following scalar fields f , decide whether the level set is a surface or not. If the level set is a surface, what portions (if any) can be described as a graph $z = g(x, y)$? What about as graphs $y = h(x, z)$ or $x = p(y, z)$? Plot after your analysis to see if your predictions are correct.

- $f(x, y, z) = xyz$ with $C = 1$.
- $f(x, y, z) = xyz$ with $C = 0$.
- $f(x, y, z) = z(x - y) + z(e^x - e^y)$ with $C = 1$.
- $f(x, y, z) = z + \cos(xy)$ with $C = 0$.
- $f(x, y, z) = z \sin(x) \sin(y) \sin(z)$ with $C = 1$.

Problem 6. For the sets above that do indeed describe surfaces, find the surface normal \hat{n} and the area form $d\Sigma$.

Problem 7. Consider the surface of the unit cube in \mathbb{R}^3 which we'll call Σ . Does the normal \hat{n} and the area form $d\Sigma$ change in smooth way as we move along the cube? What is the (right handed) area form on each portion of the cube? Is there a well defined \hat{n} along edges or corners? Why does this not matter when we consider the total flux of some vector field \vec{V} ,

$$\iint_{\Sigma} \vec{V} \cdot \hat{n} d\Sigma?$$

Problem 8. Consider the surface defined by the graph of $z = \sqrt{1 - x^2 - y^2}$ for $x^2 + y^2 \leq 1$. Become familiar with this example!

- (a) Plot this surface.
- (b) Plot the image of following curves on the surface Σ .

- $\vec{\gamma}_1(t) = \begin{pmatrix} t \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$.
- $\vec{\gamma}_2(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ t \end{pmatrix}$.
- $\vec{\gamma}_3(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \cos(t) \\ \frac{1}{2} \sin(t) \end{pmatrix}$.

Which (if any) correspond to a line of longitude or a line of latitude?

- (c) Find an equation for the tangent plane at the point $(0, 0, 1)$.
- (d) Note that the point $(0.1, 0.1, 1)$ is on this tangent plane. How close is this point to corresponding point on the sphere? That is, how close is $(0.1, 0.1, 1)$ to the point $(0.1, 0.1, \sqrt{1 - (0.1)^2 - (0.1)^2})$? Is the tangent plane a reasonable approximation? What if instead we take $(0.01, 0.01, 1)$ instead?
- (e) Find the surface normal \hat{n} .
- (f) What is the area form $d\Sigma$?
- (g) Set up an integral that computes the surface area of Σ .
- (h) Set up an integral that computes the total flux of the vector field $\vec{V}(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} yz \\ xz \\ xy \end{pmatrix}$ through the surface.

Problem 9. Consider the surface defined by the graph of $z = \sin(x) \sin(y)$ for $0 \leq x \leq \pi$ and $0 \leq y \leq \pi$.

- (a) Consider as well the curve $\vec{\gamma}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} t \\ t \end{pmatrix}$. What is the area under the curve if we take its image on the surface?
- (b) Find the area form $d\Sigma$.
- (c) If we have as well a scalar function $f(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$, compute the integral

$$\iint_{\Sigma} f d\Sigma.$$

Problem 10. Our surfaces have been frozen in time. However, essentially every physical phenomenon evolves over time. There are a few ways surfaces arise when time is involved. Let us consider two examples.

- (a) Consider the two variable scalar field $T(x, t) = \sin(x)e^{-t}$ with $0 \leq x \leq L$ and $t \geq 0$.
- Show that this function satisfies $\left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) T(x, t) = 0$. This is known as the 1-dimensional heat equation. Here $T(x, t)$ models the temperature of point x at time t on a rod of length L .
 - Plot the graph $z = T(x, t)$ for $0 \leq x \leq L$ and $t \geq 0$. What can we say about the temperature of the rod as $t \rightarrow \infty$?
- (b) Consider the three variable scalar field $u(x, y, t) = \sin(mx) \sin(ny) \sin(t)$ with $0 \leq x \leq \pi$, $0 \leq y \leq \pi$, $t \geq 0$, and m and n are positive integers.
- Show that this function satisfies $\left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\right) u(x, y, t) = 0$. This is known as the 2-dimensional wave equation. Here, $u(x, y, t)$ models the height of a membrane at the point (x, y) and time t .
 - Plot the graph of the surface $u(x, y, t_0)$ for various values of t_0 , m and n . Or, visit <https://www.geogebra.org/3d/y55rd83m> to have full freedom with this surface (and watch it move over time).