Understanding World Population Dynamics

```
Assignment 1 - PSYC593
```

library(tidyverse)

— Attaching core tidyverse packages —

qmd_path <- file.path(file_path, 'src')</pre>

doc_path <- file.path(file_path, "doc")</pre>

data_path <- file.path(file_path, "data")</pre>

```
AUTHOR
                                                     PUBLISHED
Victoria Yao
                                                     September 19, 2023
```

Understanding population dynamics is important for many areas of social science. We will calculate some basic demographic quantities of births and deaths for the world's population from two time periods: 1950 to 1955 and 2005 to 2010. We will analyze the following CSV data files - Kenyalcsv, Swedenlcsv, and World.csv. Each file contains population data for Kenya, Sweden, and the world, respectively. The table below presents the names and descriptions of the variables in each data set. **Description Name**

country	Abbreviated country name
period	Period during which data are collected
age	Age group
births	Number of births in thousands (i.e., number of children born to women of the age group)
deaths	Number of deaths in thousands
py.men	Person-years for men in thousands
py.women	Person-years for women in thousands
	ons, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division (2013). World ts: The 2012 Revision, DVD Edition.
# Load packages	

✓ dplyr 1.1.1 ✓ readr 2.1.4 ✓ forcats 1.0.0 ✓ stringr 1.5.0 ✓ ggplot2 3.4.2 ✓ tibble 3.2.1 ✓ lubridate 1.9.2 ✓ tidyr 1.3.0

- tidyverse 2.0.0 —

```
1.0.1
✓ purrr
                                                                — tidyverse_conflicts() —
— Conflicts —
* dplyr::filter() masks stats::filter()
* dplyr::lag()
                     masks stats::lag()
i Use the conflicted package (<a href="http://conflicted.r-lib.org/">http://conflicted.r-lib.org/</a>) to force all conflicts to
```

```
library(stats)
library(rprojroot)
library(here)
here() starts at /Users/yaoyuji/Courses/Data_Manage_and_Visual/01_-_Assignment_1_-_Yao
# Create file paths ----
file_path <- here::here()</pre>
```

```
# Read data ----
world_data <- read.csv(file = file.path(data_path, "raw_data", "World.csv"))</pre>
kenya_data <- read.csv(file = file.path(data_path, "raw_data", "Kenya.csv"))</pre>
sweden_data <- read.csv(file = file.path(data_path, "raw_data", "Sweden.csv"))</pre>
```

```
The data are collected for a period of 5 years where person-year is a measure of the time contribution of
each person during the period. For example, a person that lives through the entire 5 year period
```

contributes 2.5 person-years. Before you begin this exercise, it would be a good idea to directly inspect

each data set. In R, this can be done with the View function, which takes as its argument the name of a

contributes 5 person-years whereas someone who only lives through the first half of the period

```
data frame to be examined. Alternatively, in RStudio, double-clicking a data frame in the
Environment tab will enable you to view the data in a spreadsheet-like view.
Question 1
We begin by computing crude birth rate (CBR) for a given period. The CBR is defined as:
                            CBR = \frac{number}{} of births
                                      number of person-years lived
```

total person-years, recorded as a new variable within each existing data frame via the \$ operator, by summing the person-years for men and women. Then, store the results as a vector of length 2 (CBRs for two periods) for each region with appropriate labels. You may wish to create your own function for the purpose of efficient programming. Briefly describe patterns you observe in the resulting CBRs. **Answer 1** # Create new variable for total person years

Compute the CBR for each period, separately for Kenya, Sweden, and the world. Start by computing the

summarise(cbr = sum(births) / sum(py)) %>% pull()

}

Add additional line in data set

compute_cbr <- function(population_data) {</pre>

Compute the CBR for each data set

Create the CBR function

population_data %>%

group_by(period) %>%

[1] 0.01539614 0.01192554

Question 2

has the smallest CBR both before and after.

world_data\$py <- world_data\$py.men + world_data\$py.women</pre>

kenya_data\$py <- kenya_data\$py.men + kenya_data\$py.women</pre>

sweden_data\$py <- sweden_data\$py.men + sweden_data\$py.women</pre>

```
(world_cbr <- compute_cbr(world_data))</pre>
[1] 0.03732863 0.02021593
(kenya_cbr <- compute_cbr(kenya_data))</pre>
[1] 0.05209490 0.03851507
(sweden_cbr <- compute_cbr(sweden_data))</pre>
```

The CBR for the World will be: 0.0373286 in 1950 - 1955 and 0.0202159 in 2005 - 2010

The CBR for the Kenya will be: 0.0520949 in 1950 - 1955 and 0.0385151 in 2005 - 2010

The CBR for the Sweden will be: 0.0153961 in 1950 - 1955 and 0.0119255 in 2005 - 2010

```
The CBR is easy to understand but contains both men and women of all ages in the denominator. We
next calculate the total fertility rate (TFR). Unlike the CBR, the TFR adjusts for age compositions in the
female population. To do this, we need to first calculate the age specific fertility rate (ASFR), which
represents the fertility rate for women of the reproductive age range [15, 50). The ASFR for age range
(x, x + \delta), where x is the starting age and \delta is the width of the age range (measured in years), is defined
as:
```

 $\mathrm{ASFR}_{[x,\;x+\delta)} \;=\; \frac{\mathrm{number\;of\;births\;to\;women\;of\;age}\;[x,\;x+\delta)}{\mathrm{Number\;of\;person-years\;lived\;by\;women\;of\;age}\;[x,\;x+\delta)}$

example, (20, 25) represents the age range that is greater than or equal to 20 years old and less than 25

years old. In typical demographic data, the age range δ is set to 5 years. Compute the ASFR for Sweden

for each region. What does the pattern of these ASFRs say about reproduction among women in Sweden

and Kenya as well as the entire world for each of the two periods. Store the resulting ASFRs separately

Note that square brackets, [and], include the limit whereas parentheses, (and), exclude it. For

mutate(start_age = as.numeric(str_extract(age, "^\\d+"))) %>%

[1] 0.16884585 0.35596942 0.34657814 0.28946367 0.20644016 0.11193267

[7] 0.03905205 0.10057087 0.23583536 0.23294721 0.18087964 0.13126805

[1] 0.0389089519 0.1277108826 0.1252436647 0.0873641591 0.0486037714

[6] 0.0162101857 0.0013418290 0.0059709097 0.0507320271 0.1162085625

[11] 0.1322744621 0.0625923991 0.0121600765 0.0006143942

filter(start_age >= 15, start_age < 50) %>%

mutate(asfr = births / py.women)

It looks like the CBRs in three conditions are all becoming smaller in 2005-2010 than 1950-1955. Sweden

Create function to compute Age specific fertility rate (ASFR) compute_asfr <- function(population_data) {</pre>

population_data %>%

[13] 0.05626214 0.03815044

sweden_data\$asfr

and Kenya?

Answer 2

} # Compute ASFR for each data set world_data <- compute_asfr(world_data)</pre> kenya_data <- compute_asfr(kenya_data)</pre> sweden_data <- compute_asfr(sweden_data)</pre> # Compare ASFRs for Kenya and Sweden kenya_data\$asfr

It looks like both are having a smaller ASFR in 2005-2010, but Kenya has a generally larger ASFR than Sweden.

Question 3

population_data %>%

group_by(period) %>%

[1] 5.007248 2.543623

[1] 7.591410 4.879568

pull()

}

summarise(tfr = 5 * sum(asfr)) %>%

Compute the TFR for each data set

(world_tfr <- compute_tfr(world_data))</pre>

(kenya_tfr <- compute_tfr(kenya_data))</pre>

(sweden_tfr <- compute_tfr(sweden_data))</pre>

group_by(period) %>%

summarise(total_women = sum(py.women),

(changes_totals <- totals_world[2, -1] / totals_world[1, -1])</pre>

total_births = sum(births))

 $(changes_totals_percent <-((totals_world[2, -1] - totals_world[1, -1]) / totals_world[1, -1])$

In general, totals of women in 2005-2010 has increased to around 2.6940167 times of what it was in

Next, we will examine another important demographic process: death. Compute the crude death rate

the resulting CDRs for each country and the world as a vector of length two. The CDR is defined as:

(CDR), which is a concept analogous to the CBR, for each period and separately for each region. Store

 $CDR = \frac{number\ of\ deaths}{number\ of\ person-years\ lived}$

totals_world <- world_data %>%

total_women total_births

total_women total_births

2.694017

169.4017

Answer 4

population_data %>%

group_by(period) %>%

[1] 0.007560667 0.002669479

[1] 0.009272978 0.007324122

[1] 0.001812375 0.000751132

2005-2010.

Question 5

1

Compare how much totals have changed

1.379818

Compare what percentage do totals change

37.98179

1950-1955, which is about 169.4016723% increase in data.

Briefly describe patterns you observe in the resulting CDRs.

Function to compute the Crude death rate (CDR)

compute_cdr <- function(population_data) {</pre>

(world_cdr <- compute_cdr(world_data))</pre>

(kenya_cdr <- compute_cdr(kenya_data))</pre>

(sweden_cdr <- compute_cdr(sweden_data))</pre>

2010. Briefly describe the pattern you observe.

mutate(asdr = deaths / py)

Compute ASDR for each data set

#Show the ASDR data

world_data\$asdr

[7] 0.005085583

kenya_data\$asdr

[7] 0.011288057

Answer 6

one.

sweden_data\$asdr

[6] 0.0010392562 0.0017696213

world_data <- compute_asdr(world_data)</pre>

kenya_data <- compute_asdr(kenya_data)</pre>

sweden_data <- compute_asdr(sweden_data)</pre>

}

```
Using the ASFR, we can define the TFR as the average number of children women give birth to if they live
through their entire reproductive age.
              TFR = ASFR_{[15, 20)} \times 5 + ASFR_{[20, 25)} \times 5 + \cdots + ASFR_{[45, 50)} \times 5
We multiply each age-specific fertility rate rate by 5 because the age range is 5 years. Compute the TFR
for Sweden and Kenya as well as the entire world for each of the two periods. As in the previous question,
continue to assume that women's reproductive age range is [15, 50). Store the resulting two TFRs for
each country or the world as a vector of length two. In general, how has the number of women changed
in the world from 1950 to 2000? What about the total number of births in the world?
Answer 3
 # Function to compute the total fertility rate (TFR)
 compute_tfr <- function(population_data) {</pre>
```

[1] 2.226917 1.902764 Below is the solution for computing the total change of women and birth: # Compute totals of women and births in the world by period

```
Totals of birth in 2005-2010 has increased to around 1.3798179 times of what it was in 1950-1955, which
is about 37.9817873% increase in data.
Question 4
```

```
summarise(cbr = sum(deaths) / sum(py)) %>%
  pull()
}
# Compute the CDR
```

All three regions are having a 2005-2010 death rate smaller than the one in 1950-1955. However,

Sweden seems to have a least decrease in the death rate with only 0.0034706 difference between the

data. Among three regions, Kenya seems to have the largest death rate no matter in 1950-1955 or in

One puzzling finding from the previous question is that the CDR for Kenya during the period of 2005-

2010 is about the same level as that for Sweden. We would expect people in developed countries like

Sweden to have a lower death rate than those in developing countries like Kenya. While it is simple and

therefore compute the age specific death rate (ASDR). The ASDR for age range $[x, x + \delta]$ is defined as:

 $\mathrm{ASDR}_{[x,\ x+\delta)} = rac{\mathrm{number\ of\ deaths\ for\ people\ of\ age\ } [x,\ x+\delta)}{\mathrm{number\ of\ person-years\ of\ people\ of\ age\ } [x,\ x+\delta)}$

Calculate the ASDR for each age group, separately for Kenya and Sweden, during the period of 2005-

easy to understand, the CDR does not take into account the age composition of a population. We

```
Answer 5
# Function to compute Age specific death rate (ASDR)
 compute_asdr <- function(population_data) {</pre>
   population_data %>%
   mutate(period_time = as.numeric(str_extract(period, "^\\d+"))) %>%
   filter(period_time >= 2005) %>%
```

[1] 0.001302818 0.001832602 0.002278500 0.002623982 0.003031563 0.003753402

[1] 0.002942986 0.003885368 0.006558131 0.010603913 0.013881062 0.013474598

[1] 0.0002687775 0.0004697344 0.0004941440 0.0005057066 0.0006689578

compute_pop_prop <- function(pop_data) {</pre> pop_data %>% group_by(period) %>% mutate(pop_period = py / sum(py)) %>%

Function to compute population proportion by period

ungroup() # Compute population proportion for each data set world_data <- compute_pop_prop(world_data)</pre> kenya_data <- compute_pop_prop(kenya_data)</pre> sweden data <- compute pop prop(sweden data)</pre> # Compute Kenya CDR Kenya had Swede population distribution (kenya_cdrresweden <- mutate(kenya_data,</pre> temp_cdr = asdr * sweden_data\$pop_period) %>%

```
all three regions, and Kenya seems to have highest death rate in almost every period compared with
World and Sweden.
Question 6
One way to understand the difference in the CDR between Kenya and Sweden is to compute the
counterfactual CDR for Kenya using Sweden's population distribution (or vice versa). This can be done by
applying the following alternative formula for the CDR.
                      	ext{CDR} \ = \ 	ext{ASDR}_{[0,5)} 	imes P_{[0,5)} + 	ext{ASDR}_{[5,10)} 	imes P_{[5,10)} + \cdots
where P_{[x,x+\delta)} is the proportion of the population in the age range [x,x+\delta). We compute this as the
ratio of person-years in that age range relative to the total person-years across all age ranges. To
conduct this counterfactual analysis, we use 	ext{ASDR}_{[x,x+\delta)} from Kenya and P_{[x,x+\delta)} from Sweden during
the period of 2005–2010. That is, first calculate the age-specific population proportions for Sweden and
then use them to compute the counterfactual CDR for Kenya. How does this counterfactual CDR
compare with the original CDR of Kenya? Briefly interpret the result.
```

An interesting pattern is that in World and Kenya, the newborns (aged 0-4) seem to have higher death

rates than the rest of at least 30 years; Swede newborn dearth rates is also much higher but then drops

when it comes to 5-9 years old. Except newborn death rate, a gradual increasing pattern is observed in

group_by(period) %>% summarise(cdrresweden = sum(temp_cdr))) # A tibble: 1×2 period cdrresweden <chr> <dbl> 1 2005-2010 0.00909

Although the original CDR is lower than the conterfactual one, it is still higher than the Sweden original

```
The counterfactual CDR is actually higher than the original CDR in Kenya in 2005-2010, meaning that
given the same age distribution as Sweden, Kenya should have a higher CDR than the original one.
```