MDF: Magnetic Particle Imaging Data Format

T. Knopp^{1,2}, T. Viereck³, G. Bringout⁴, M. Ahlborg⁵, A. von Gladiß⁵, C. Kaethner⁵, J. Rahmer⁶, M. Hofmann^{1,2}

¹Section for Biomedical Imaging, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Germany

²Institute for Biomedical Imaging, Hamburg University of Technology, Germany

³Institute of Electrical Measurement and Fundamental Electrical Engineering, TU Braunschweig, Germany

⁴Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt, Berlin, Germany

⁵Institute of Medical Engineering, University of Lübeck, Germany

⁶Philips GmbH Innovative Technologies, Research Laboratories, Röntgenstraße 24-26, 22315 Hamburg, Germany

May 19, 2017

Version 2.0.0-pre

Abstract

Magnetic particle imaging (MPI) is a tomographic method to determine the spatial distribution of magnetic nanoparticles. In this document a file format for the standardized storage of MPI data is introduced. The aim of the Magnetic Particle Imaging Data Format (MDF) is to provide a coherent way of exchanging MPI data acquired with different MPI scanners worldwide. The focus of the file format is on sequence parameters, raw measurement data, calibration data, and reconstruction data. The format is based on the hierarchical document format (HDF) in version 5 (HDF5).

1 Introduction

The purpose of this document is to introduce a file format for exchanging Magnetic Particle Imaging (MPI) data. The Magnetic Particle Imaging Data Format (MDF) is based on the hierarchical document format (HDF) in version 5 (HDF5) [1]. HDF5 allows to store

multiple datasets within a single file and is thus very flexible to use. To allow the exchange of MPI data, one has to specify a naming scheme within HDF5 files which is the purpose of this document. In order to create and access HDF5 data, an Open Source C library

is available. For most programming languages bindings to this library exist. Matlab supports HDF5 by the functions h5read and h5write. For Python the h5py package exists. The Julia programming language provides access to HDF5 files via the HDF5 package. For languages based on the .NET framework the HDF5DotNet library is available.

In this initial version of the file format the focus is on sequence parameters, raw measurement data, calibration data, and reconstruction data. The format can store three different dataset types

- 1. Measurement data
- 2. System calibration data
- 3. Reconstruction data

It is possible to combine measurement data and reconstruction data into a single file. However, calibration data has to be stored in an independent HDF5 file.

1.1 Datatypes

For most parameters a fixed datatype is used, i.e. the drive-field amplitudes are stored as H5T_NATIVE_DOUBLE values. For our convinience we refer to the HDF5 datatypes H5T_STRING, H5T_NATIVE_DOUBLE and H5T_NATIVE_INT64 as String, Float64 and Int64. The datatype of the measurement data and the calibration data is not restricted such that maximum flexibility is given. In case of no restrictions Any HDF5 data type can be choosen.

MPI parameters are stored as regular *HDF5 datasets*. *HDF5 attributes* are not used in the current specification of the MDF.

Since storing complex data in HDF5 is not standardized, we extend the dimensionality of an existing array and store the real and imaginary part in the last dimension with size 2 (index 0 = real part, index 1 = imaginary part). In this way the real and imaginary part of a complex datum is stored sequentially on disk. When loading the

data it is possible to cast it to a complex array in most programming languages.

1.2 Units

Physical quantities are given in SI units with one exception. The field strength is reported in $T\mu_0^{-1} = 4 \pi \text{ Am}^{-1}\mu_0^{-1}$. This convention has been proposed in the first MPI publication and since that time consistently used in most MPI related publications. The aim of this convention is to report the numbers on a Tesla scale, which most readers with a background in MRI are familiar with, but, on the other hand still use the correct unit for the magnetic field strength.

1.3 Sanity Check

In order to check if a generated MDF file is valid, we provide a sanity check script that can be found in the gitub repository:

https://github.com/MagneticParticleImaging/MDF The code is written in the Julia programming language [2, 3, 4], which has to be downloaded from:

http://julialang.org.

More detailed instructions can be found in the README of the repository.

1.4 Contact

If you find mistakes in this document or the specified file format or if you want to discuss extensions to this specification, please open an issue on GitHub:

https://github.com/MagneticParticleImaging/MDF As the file format is versionized it will be possible to extend it for future needs of MPI. The current version discussed in this document is version 2.0.0-pre.

1.5 arXiv

As of version 1.0.1 the most recent release of these specifications can also be also found on the arXiv:

http://arxiv.org/abs/1602.06072

If you use MDF please cite us using the arXiv reference, which is also available for download as MDF.bib from GitHub.

2 Data (group: /)

Remarks: Within the root group metadata about the file itself is stored. Within several subgroups, metadata about the experimental setting, the MPI tracer, and the MPI scanner are stored. The actual

data is stored in dedicated groups on measurement data, calibration data, and/or reconstruction data.

| Parameter | Type | Dim | Unit/Format | Optional | Description |
|-----------|--------|-----|--------------------------------------|----------|--|
| version | String | 1 | 0.1 | no | Version of the file format |
| uuid | String | 1 | f81d4fae-7dec-11d0-a765-00a0c91e6bf6 | no | Universally Unique Identifier (RFC 4122) |
| date | String | 1 | yyyy-mm-ddThh:mm:ss.ms | no | UTC creation time of MDF data set |

2.1 Study Description (group: /study/)

Remarks: The study description group describes the experimental setting under which the MPI data was recorded. The study field may be used as a name tag for several experiments, which are related. The dataset at hand may then be described by a number and a short description. Additionally, the name of the imaged subject

can be provided and the starting time of the MPI measurement can be provided.

The reference field may be used to indicate, if the background signal of the scanner was recorded.

| Parameter | Type | Dim | ${f Unit/Format}$ | Optional | Description |
|-------------|--------|-----|-------------------|----------|--|
| name | String | 1 | | yes | Name of the study |
| experiment | String | 1 | | yes | Experiment number within study |
| description | String | 1 | | yes | Short description of the experiment |
| subject | String | 1 | | yes | Name of the subject that was imaged |
| reference | Int64 | 1 | | yes | Flag indicating if field of view was empty during the measurement |
| simulation | Int64 | 1 | | yes | Flag indicating if the data in this file is simulated rather than measured |

2.2 Tracer Parameters (group: /tracer/)

Remarks: The tracer parameter group contains information about the MPI tracer used during the experiment such as the tracer name, its vendor, the tracer concentration, and the total volume applied.

Note that the injection clock recording the injection time should be synchronized with the clock, which provides the starting time of the measurement.

| Parameter | Type | Dim | Unit/Format | Optional | Description |
|---------------|---------|-----|------------------------|----------|--|
| name | String | 1 | | yes | Name of tracer used in experiment |
| batch | String | 1 | | yes | Batch of tracer |
| vendor | String | 1 | | yes | Name of tracer supplier |
| volume | Float64 | 1 | L | yes | Total volume of applied tracer |
| concentration | Float64 | 1 | mol(solute)/L | yes | Molar concentration of solute per litre |
| solute | String | 1 | | yes | Solute, e.g. Fe |
| time | String | 1 | yyyy-mm-ddThh:mm:ss.ms | yes | UTC time at which tracer injection started |

2.3 Scanner Parameters (group: /scanner/)

Remarks: The scanner parameter group contains information about the MPI scanner used such as the manufacturer, the model, and the facility where the scanner is installed.

| Parameter | \mathbf{Type} | \mathbf{Dim} | ${ m Unit/Format}$ | Optional | Description |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|----------|---|
| facility | String | 1 | | no | Facility where the MPI scanner is installed |
| operator | String | 1 | | no | User who operates the MPI scanner |
| manufacturer | String | 1 | | no | Scanner manufacturer |
| model | String | 1 | | no | Scanner model |
| topology | String | 1 | | no | Scanner topology (e.g. FFP or FFL) |

2.4 Acquisition Parameters (group: /acquisition/)

Remarks: The acquisition parameter group can describe different imaging protocols and trajectory settings. The corresponding data is organized into general information, a subgroup containing data on the particle excitation and a subgroup containing data on the receive channels.

In general each MPI dataset consists of the measurement data of L frames. A frame groups all data together that will be used to

reconstruct an image/volume. On certain MPI scanners the drive-field field-of-view (FOV) can be shifted either by magnetic fields or by mechanical movement. Therefore, a frame may consist of J sub-measurements. For instance a Cartesian 2D trajectory with 100 lines would be realized by setting numPatches = 100.

| Parameter | Type | Dim | ${ m Unit/Format}$ | Optional | Description |
|-------------|---------|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------|--|
| numFrames | Int64 | 1 | 1 | no | Number of available frames, denoted by L |
| framePeriod | Float64 | 1 | S | no | Complete time to acquire a full frame |
| numPatches | Int64 | 1 | 1 | no | Number of patches within a frame denoted by J |
| gradient | Float64 | $3 \text{ or } J \times 3$ | ${\rm Tm}^{-1}\mu_0^{-1}$ | no | Gradient strength of the selection field in x , y , and z directions |
| time | String | 1 | yyyy-mm-ddThh:mm:ss.ms | no | UTC start time of MPI measurement |

2.4.1 Drive Field (group: /acquisition/drivefield/)

Remarks: The drive field subgroup describes the details on the imaging protocol and trajectory settings. On the lowest level each MPI scanner contains D channels for particle excitation.

These excitation signals are usually sinusoidal and can be described by D amplitudes (drive field strengths), a base frequency, and D dividers. Depending on the base frequency and the divider a

periodic excitation signal is generated defining the sampling trajectory of the field-free point or field-free line. The trajectory may cover a 1D, 2D, or 3D area.

Certain parameters such as strength, fieldOfViewCenter, and fieldOfView can either be defined globally for the entire multi-patch sequence or individually for each patch of the sequence.

| Parameter | Type | \mathbf{Dim} | Unit/Format | Optional | Description |
|-------------------|---------|----------------------------|---------------|----------|--|
| numChannels | Int64 | 1 | 1 | no | Number of drive field channels, denoted by D |
| strength | Float64 | D or $J \times D$ | $T\mu_0^{-1}$ | no | Applied drive field strength |
| baseFrequency | Float64 | 1 | ${ m Hz}$ | no | Base frequency to derive drive field frequencies |
| divider | Int64 | D | 1 | no | Divider for drive fields frequencies (baseFrequency / divider) |
| period | Float64 | 1 | S | no | Drive field trajectory period |
| averages | Int64 | 1 | | no | Number of internal averages (applied in hardware/software) |
| repetitionTime | Float64 | 1 | s | no | Time to complete averaged DF trajectory (averages * period) |
| fieldOfView | Float64 | $3 \text{ or } J \times 3$ | m | no | Approximate size of the area/volume captured by the trajectory |
| fieldOfViewCenter | Float64 | $3 \text{ or } J \times 3$ | m | no | Center of the drive field trajectory (relative to origin/center) |

2.4.2 Receiver (group: /acquisition/receiver/)

Remarks: The receiver subgroup describes details on the MPI receiver. For a multi-patch sequence it is assumed, that signal acquisition only takes place during particle excitation. During each drive-field cycle, C receive channels record the superposition of the channels can be characterized individually.

change of the particle magnetization at Z equidistant time points. If the receive characteristics are the same for all receive channels then a single value is sufficient to describe all channels at once, else the C

| Parameter | Type | \mathbf{Dim} | ${f Unit/Format}$ | Optional | Description |
|-------------------|---------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------|--|
| numChannels | Int64 | 1 | | no | Number of receive channels C |
| bandwidth | Float64 | 1 or C | Hz | no | Bandwidth of the receiver unit |
| numSamplingPoints | Int64 | 1 or C | | no | Number of sampling point within one drive-field period denoted by ${\cal Z}$ |
| frequencies | Float64 | K or $C \times K$ | Hz | yes | Vector containing recorded frequencies |
| transferFunction | Float64 | $C \times K \times 2$ | | yes | Transfer function of the receive channel |

2.5 Measurements (group: /measurement/)

Remarks: Measured data can be stored in Fourier domain (FD) representation as well as time domain (TD) representation. Usually only one of the representation is stored and one has to calculate the missing representation if it is needed but not available.

One measurement consists of the voltages recorded in all receive channels for all patches. The number of measurements in time domain is thus given by $\widetilde{M} = JCZ$. In frequency domain one measure-

ment has M=KCZ data points. On disc the temporal/frequency index is the fastest, while the index over the patches is the slowest. If several measurements are acquired (indicated by numFrames), the measurements are concatenated. The number of measurements is denoted by L.

| Parameter | \mathbf{Type} | Dim | $\mathbf{Unit}/\mathbf{Format}$ | Optional | Description |
|-----------|-----------------|---|---------------------------------|----------|--|
| dataFD | Any | $\begin{array}{c} L\times C\times K\times 2 \text{ or } \\ L\times J\times C\times K\times 2 \end{array}$ | | yes | Measurement data stored in Fourier domain representation. The last dimension is used for storing the complex data. |
| dataTD | Any | $L \times C \times Z$ or $L \times J \times C \times Z$ | | yes | Measurement data stored in time domain representation |

2.6 Calibration (group: /calibration/)

Remarks: Calibration data is usually acquired by shifting a delta sample through the FOV of the scanner. The resulting system matrix can be stored in time or frequency domain. The number of spatial points is indicated by N. For practical reasons the system matrix is stored in a transposed way compared to the measurement data.

Hence the index over the positions is the fastest while the index over all data points of a particular measurement is the slowest. Depending on the programming language used (column-major or row-major order) the system matrix may appear in a transposed representation when loaded into main memory.

If a regular grid is used for sampling, by default the fastest index be documented using the optional parameter order. For non-regular is in x direction, the second fastest index is in y direction, while the slowest index is in z direction. If a different ordering is used this can

sampling points there is the possibility to explicitly store all positions.

| Parameter | Type | Dim | Unit/Format | Optional | Description |
|-------------------|---------|---|-------------|----------|--|
| dataFD | Any | $C \times K \times N \times 2$ or $J \times C \times K \times N \times 2$ | | yes | System matrix stored in its Fourier space representation. The last dimension is used for storing the complex data. |
| snrFD | Float64 | $C \times K$ | | yes | Signal-to-noise estimate for recorded frequency components |
| dataTD | Float64 | $C \times Z \times N$ or $J \times C \times Z \times N$ | | yes | System matrix stored in its time domain representation |
| fieldOfView | Float64 | 3 | m | yes | Field of view of system matrix |
| fieldOfViewCenter | Float64 | 3 | m | yes | Center of the system matrix (relative to origin/center) |
| size | Int64 | 3 | | yes | Number of voxels in each dimension |
| order | String | 1 | | yes | Ordering of the dimensions, default is xyz |
| positions | Float64 | $N \times 3$ | m | yes | Position of each of the grid points, stored as (x, y, z) tripels |
| deltaSampleSize | Float64 | 3 | m | yes | Size of delta Sample used for calibration scan |
| method | String | 1 | | yes | Method used to obtain calibration data. Can for instance be robot, hybrid, or simulation |

Reconstruction Results (group: /reconstruction/)

Reconstruction results are stored in the parameter data. Dependent on the number of individual channels S obtained by the reconstruction the results can be stored in a $L \times N$ array for S = 1 or in a $L \times N \times S$ array for S > 1. Since the grid of the reconstruction

data can be different than the system matrix grid, the grid parameter are mirrored in the reconstruction parameter group.

Usually the data is stored in a real data format but it is also possible to store complex data if the reconstruction output is complex.

| Parameter | \mathbf{Type} | Dim | Unit/Format | Optional | Description |
|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|----------|--|
| data | Any | $L \times N$ or $L \times N \times S$ | | yes | Reconstructed data |
| fieldOfView | Float64 | 3 | m | yes | Field of view of reconstructed data |
| fieldOfViewCenter | Float64 | 3 | m | yes | Center of the reconstructed data (relative to origin/center) |
| size | Int64 | 3 | | yes | Number of voxels in each dimension |
| order | String | 1 | | yes | Ordering of the dimensions, default is xyz |
| positions | Float64 | N 	imes 3 | m | yes | Position of each of the grid points, stored as (x, y, z) tripels |

References

- [1] The HDF Group. Hierarchical Data Format, version 5, 1997-2016. http://www.hdfgroup.org/HDF5/.
- [2] Jeff Bezanson, Stefan Karpinski, Viral B. Shah, and Alan Edelman. Julia: A fast dynamic language for technical computing. *CoRR*, abs/1209.5145, 2012.
- [3] Jeff Bezanson, Jiahao Chen, Stefan Karpinski, Viral B. Shah, and Alan Edelman. Array operators using multiple dispatch: a design methodology for array implementations in dynamic languages. *CoRR*, abs/1407.3845, 2014.
- [4] Jeff Bezanson, Alan Edelman, Stefan Karpinski, and Viral B. Shah. Julia: A fresh approach to numerical computing. CoRR abs/1411.1607, 2014.