Writeup for second project of CMSC 420: "Data Structures" Section 0101, Spring 2019

Theme: AVL-G trees

Handout date: TODO
On-time deadline: TODO

Late deadline (30% penalty): TODO

1 Overview

Jason is tired of the man telling him that AVL trees should rotate whenever we detect an imbalance of **2** (two) or -2 (minus two) in any given subtree! As we have seen in class, AVL trees are excellent for search given their height of $\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor$ or $\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1$. Unfortunately, to achieve this efficient search, they have to use rotations during insertions and deletions, and those ake time!

In this project, you will implement \mathbf{AVL} - \mathbf{G} trees, a simple modification of AVL Trees that allows for tuning the balance of an AVL Tree based on a constructor parameter. Simply put, an AVL-G tree, where $G=1,2,3,\ldots$, allows for any given subtree to have a balance of **at most G**. This means that our classic AVL trees can be referred to as "AVL-1" trees. Refer to section 2 for examples.

2 AVL-G trees

Remind yourselves of our basic definitions:

- **Height** of a binary tree: The **height** of a binary tree is defined as follows:
 - A null (empty) binary tree has a height of -1.
 - A non-empty binary tree has a height equal to the maximum of the height of its subtrees + 1 (plus one). A corollary of this is that a "stub" binary tree (one that consists of a single "leaf" node without any children) has a height of 0 (zero).
- Balance of a node: The balance of a node is defined by convention as the height of its left subtree minus the height of its right subtree.

Figure 1 shows an imbalance detected after an insertion into an AVL-1 tree ("classic" AVL tree). The imbalance is detected at the node that contains the key 40 and is equal to -2. If instead we had an AVL-2 tree, this insertion would **not** have triggered a rotation, since -2 is an **admissible** balance metric for AVL-2 trees!




Figure 1: A rotation triggered by an insertion in an AVL-1 ("classic" AVL) tree.

An example of an imbalance and corresponding rotation in an AVL-2 tree is shown in Figure 2. Of course, this insertion would **not** have triggered a rotation in an AVL-3 tree!




Figure 2: A rotation triggered by an insertion in an AVL-2 tree.

Note that we detect the imbalance at the node that contains 40. In order to rectify this imbalance, we need to perform a R-L rotation on the right subtree of this node! Notice something interesting here: in order to perform this R-L rotation, the first thing that we do is, well, a Right rotation about 45. However, this leaves us with a binary tree that has 42 as its root, 45 as its right child and 43 as 45's left child. At first glance, this doesn't look "balanced". But, if we remember that we are in an AVL-2 tree, then we can realize that the form of this subtree after the first rotation is completely fine, and the fact that this operation would not be ok for an AVL-1 tree doesn't mean anything for our current AVL-2 tree!

An example of an imbalance detected in an AVL-3 tree is shown in Figure 3. Note that this example is based on a **deletion**, just to provide you with a variety of examples. Remember than in deletions, when

a certain node detects an imbalance, it is the *opposite* subtree that will have to be mutated so that the entire tree is re-balanced!




Figure 3: A rotation triggered by a deletion in an AVL-3 tree.

3 Starter Code

Everything you need is available in our Github repository. The code for this project is specifically under the sub-package projects.avlg. You will only need to implement the class AVLGTree. You should read the docs *very carefully*, and make sure you do not erase anything from the sub-package projects.avlg.exceptions. Those exceptions are used by the AVLGTree class and our tests.

Doing a git pull will bring the new version of the remote repository to your local git repository. It might be the case that you would need to resolve **merge conflicts**. You would then want to pull everything from the server **except for the skeleton code we provide for the first project**, since this could override your implementation. However, please do realize that your implementation has already been submitted on the submit server, so there is no way that code you have written will be lost.

The easiest way to resolve the merge conflicts would be: do a git add -A to put everything that the remote is giving you in your staging area, and then do a small number of git resets, providing your files as arguments. The command git reset path_to_file> will remove the file pointed to by path_to_file> from the staging area, so that a new commit will not contain changes to these files. Then do a commit, and you're done. If you don't feel like doing a git reset, then you can grab your files from the submit server and just replace the "skeleton" priority queue Java source files that the remote gives you under projects.pqueue with your own files, adjusting import and package statements accordingly.

Note that the submit server does **not care at all** about your implementation of the first project; it only cares about the class <code>projects.avlg.AVLGTree</code>. So whether you actually pull the skeleton code for the first project from our GitHub, or even the classes that we provided you with, doesn't really matter, but you might want to do it so that you have the full course code base when we're done. As with the first project, if you would like to add classes, enums, interfaces, custom exceptions, extra packages, etc., you are <code>absolutely free</code> to do so, yet you should **not** move the class <code>AVLGTree</code> from its location in the code tree.

4 Advice / Hints

- The first thing you should do after you pull the code and read this writeup is to read the Javadocs for AVLGTree to understand its public interface. By "interface" here we do not refer to a Java interface, but to the way the class "interfaces" with its environment; it's API. Once you are certain you understand what the different methods receive as an argument, return to the caller, and what kinds of Exceptions they throw, start writing unit tests before you implement your code. As with the first project, we think it would be a terrific idea to write the tests for a classmate and have them write the tests for you. Try your best to make your classmate's code break!
- The core of this project is understanding rotations. When should we do a single left or a single right rotation? When is a combination of two rotations appropriate? How can I detect those separate cases in my code? How do I update heights during the rotations in the case of an **insertion** and how in the case of a **deletion**?
- The methods isBST and isAVLGBalanced that you have to implement are awesome for testing. Use them to your advantage! Just make sure that they are implemented correctly by unit-testing them as well!
- When you write unit tests for your class, you might find it frustrating that you have to wrap lots of pieces of code within try catch blocks which sometimes seem redundant. For example, the constructor for AVLGTree throws an InvalidBalanceException if the user provides a balance parameter smaller than 1.¹ Even if you were to write the line of code AVLGTree<String> mytree = new AVLGTree<>(2); the Java compiler will complain that you are not catching an instance of that particular exception, despite the fact that, clearly, 2 ≥ 1. Or, equivalently, if you are searching for a key in a tree that you know (or, at least, you think you know) for certain is non- empty, you will still have to wrap this piece of code with a try catch block that attempts to deal with an instance of EmptyTreeException. In order to help yourselves out with writing cleaner unit-test code, you can use a Java feature introduced in Java 7, called multi-catchblocks. For example, in one of the unit tests that we have implemented for you on the submit server, there are a lot of calls to your constructor, as well as to the search and delete routines. All of these calls provide arguments that, based on the API, should not throw the custom exceptions we provide. So we have implemented the unit test as follows:

¹In OOP, it is an **excellent** idea to throw exceptions from a constructor, since there is no other way to tell the caller that something went bad during object construction!

5 Submission / Grading

Credit in this project is defined by the number of submit server unit tests that you pass.

Projects in this class are different from your typical 131/2 projects in that we do not maintain an Eclipse - accessed CVS repository for you or us. This means that you can no longer use the Eclipse Course Management Plugin to submit your project on the submit server. This turns out to be a good thing, since it frees you up from the need to use Eclipse if you don't want to.

To submit your project, run the script src/Archiver.java as a Java application from your IDE (tested with Eclipse and IntelliJ in Mac and Windows). This will create a .zip file of your entire project directory at the same filesystem level of that directory, without including the hidden git directory .git. This directory can sometimes be very large and cause problems with uploads on submit.cs.

For example, if your project directory is under /home/users/me/mycode/project1/, this script will create the .zip archive /home/users/me/ mycode/project1.zip, which will contain src, doc, and any other directories that you may have, but will not contain the directory .git. After you have done this, upload the archive on the submit server as seen on figure 4.




Figure 4: Uploading your project on the submit server.

All tests are release tests, and you can submit up to 5 times every 24 hours. We urge you to unit-test your code thoroughly before submitting: treat every token like a bar of gold that is not to be wasted! We will not share the source code of the unit tests with you, not even after the deadline for the project!

We maintain your **highest-scoring submission** for grading purposes. Finally, for the late deadline, we take 30% off your maximum possible score. This means that, if you submit late, passing all the unit tests will give you 70% of the total grade.

Finally, we should remind you that for the past few years the Software Similarity Detection System MoSS has been incorporated into the CS department's submit server. For n student submissions, it is ridiculously easy (literally a single click) for us to run MoSS against all $\binom{n}{2}$ pairs of submissions. MoSS is tuned towards higher than 50% Recall, which means that plagiarized submissions will be caught. We would much rather be spending time teaching you data structures and assisting you with your queries than going back and forth with the Honor Council; help us help you!