5/5/24, 11:20 AM Expanded View

COMP3130 D1 Ava Gardiner (46410961)

Criteria	Scales				
	better	Nearly passable	Good attempt	Excellent	Perfect
Task 1		4.00	6.00	8.00	10.00
Storyboarding - Flow of Screens	mber of normal dded screens that	Missing quite some normal flow of screens. Added screens that are confusing.	Most of the flow has been captured. There are some screens missing/being added that is confusing.	Nothing out of the ordinary. An excellent attempt that could have had a bit more professional navigation.	Looks amazing with modals and screen navigations. Normal flow has been captured with clarity. Nothing has been overlooked.
Task 1		4.00	6.00	8.00	10.00
Clarity of the storyboard	arely clear, the ar, a very minimal	The screens and the arrows are not clear, a very minimal attempt of putting things together last minute	Wireframes used for the storyboard, decent attempt however incorrect concept of storyboarding	Looks excellent. Some of the flows lacked clarity and some double-linking that isn't making sense, but an excellent attempt	Looks perfect. Extremely clear and neat representation of the flow
Task 2		6.00	9.00	12.00	15.00
Wireframing - Format Includes (Quality of work Consistency, Formating, usage of elements, and likeliness to the workshop)	etter. Looks like Wireframes do t. Screens look ng to the same similar to the	Basic attempt with wireframes. Could have done so much better. Minimal effort	Good amount of time invested to create the wireframe, Wireframes do look consistent but could have been better. There are places that could have had a bit more consistent look	Excellent work. A lot of time spent in creating a good quality work. Wireframes use consistent element and all screens look like they belong to the package. Placeholders are	Every screen has elements that has been well put and the screen is clear, understandable. No mistakes. Placeholders are used instead of images. Proper naming conventions
Task 2		6.00	9.00	12.00	15.00
Wireframing clarity of the designs with both normal and alternate flows captured	cramped and and the items. mber of normal, creens. Added onfusing	Could have been better. There are some screens that lack clarity. Some normal and alternate flows missing. Unlabelled alternate flows leading to confusion. Ineffective	normal flow of screens and alternate flows. Unlabelled alternate flows leading to confusion. Could have assembled the wireframes better	Most of the flow - both normal and alternate has been captured. There are some screens missing/being added that is confusing. Clarity isn't great but student has attempted to	Very Clear in what is being displayed. Normal flow has been captured with ease with clarity. Nothing has been overlooked.  Alternate flow has been captured

**Total Score: 90**/100

Close