

## Question 3

Avadhoot Jadhav - 210050027  
Hrishikesh Jedhe Deshmukh - 210050073

October 2022

(20 points) Suppose random variable  $X$  has a uniform distribution over  $[0, \theta]$ , where the parameter  $\theta$  is unknown. Consider a Pareto distribution prior on  $\theta$ , with a scale parameter  $\theta_m > 0$  and a shape parameter  $\alpha > 1$ , as  $P(\theta) \propto (\theta_m/\theta)^\alpha$  for  $\theta \geq \theta_m$  and  $P(\theta) = 0$  otherwise.

- Find the maximum-likelihood estimate  $\hat{\theta}^{ML}$  and the maximum-a-posteriori estimate  $\hat{\theta}^{MAP}$

Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N$  be the observed values of random variable  $X$ .

$$\text{Likelihood} = \mathbb{P}(X|\theta) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)^N & \text{if } 0 \leq x_i \leq \theta \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

To maximize likelihood, we have to minimize  $\theta$  but with an added constraint that  $\theta \geq \max(x_i)$

$$\boxed{\hat{\theta}^{ML} = \max(x_i)}$$

$$\text{Prior} = \mathbb{P}(\theta) = \begin{cases} c \left(\frac{\theta_m}{\theta}\right)^\alpha & \forall \theta \geq \theta_m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Evidence} = \mathbb{P}(X) = \text{Independent of } \theta$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Posterior} = \mathbb{P}(\theta|X) &= \frac{\text{Likelihood} \times \text{Prior}}{\text{Evidence}} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)^N \times c \left(\frac{\theta_m}{\theta}\right)^\alpha}{\text{Constant}} & \text{if } \theta \geq \theta_m \text{ and } \theta \geq \max(x_i) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} c' \left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)^{N+\alpha} & \text{if } \theta \geq \max(\theta_m, \max(x_i)) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus to maximize the probability of posterior, we need to minimize  $\theta$  but by keeping in mind that we have an added constraint of  $\theta \geq \max(\theta_m, \max(x_i))$ .

$$\boxed{\hat{\theta}^{MAP} = \max(\theta_m, \max(x_i)) = \max(\theta_m, \hat{\theta}^{ML})}$$

- Does  $\hat{\theta}^{MAP}$  tend to  $\hat{\theta}^{ML}$  as the sample size tends to infinity ? Is this desirable or not ?

To answer this question, let's first check the consistency of maximum-likelihood estimator of  $\theta$ , i.e.  $\hat{\theta}^{ML}$ .

$\forall \epsilon > 0$  and  $\epsilon < \theta^{TRUE}$ , let's calculate the probability that the maximum-likelihood estimator gives an answer that is more than  $\epsilon$  off of the  $\theta^{TRUE}$ . That is we need to calculate  $\mathbb{P}(\theta^{TRUE} - \hat{\theta}^{ML} \geq \epsilon)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\theta^{TRUE} - \hat{\theta}^{ML} \geq \epsilon) &= \mathbb{P}(b - x_1 \geq \epsilon) \mathbb{P}(b - x_2 \geq \epsilon) \dots \mathbb{P}(b - x_N \geq \epsilon) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(x_1 \leq b - \epsilon) \mathbb{P}(x_2 \leq b - \epsilon) \dots \mathbb{P}(x_N \leq b - \epsilon) \\ &= \left( \frac{b - \epsilon}{b} \right)^N\end{aligned}$$

Thus we can see that,  $\mathbb{P}(\theta^{TRUE} - \hat{\theta}^{ML} \geq \epsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . So  $\hat{\theta}^{ML}$  is a consistent estimator.

Now,  $\hat{\theta}^{MAP} = \max(\theta_m, \hat{\theta}^{ML})$  so,

$$\hat{\theta}^{MAP} = \begin{cases} \hat{\theta}^{ML} & \text{if } \hat{\theta}^{ML} > \theta_m \\ \theta_m & \text{if } \hat{\theta}^{ML} < \theta_m \end{cases}$$

Thus,  $\hat{\theta}^{MAP}$  tends to  $\hat{\theta}^{ML}$  if and only if  $\hat{\theta}^{ML} \geq \theta_m$ . So, the reliability of estimate we get from maximum-a-posteriori estimate depends strongly on the prior that we have chosen.

So, if we have chosen a prior such that  $\theta_m < \theta^{TRUE}$ , then we are almost sure that  $\hat{\theta}^{MAP}$  will tend to  $\theta^{TRUE}$  for large values of  $N$ . But, if we choose a prior such that  $\theta_m > \theta^{TRUE}$ , then  $\hat{\theta}^{MAP}$  will almost never tend to  $\theta^{TRUE}$ . This is not desirable as there is a large margin of error depending on the prior we choose. To mitigate this, we can possibly choose a prior with fairly low  $\theta_m$ .

- Find an estimator of the mean of the posterior distribution  $\hat{\theta}^{PosteriorMean}$ .

$$\text{Posterior} = \mathbb{P}(\theta|X) = \begin{cases} c' \left( \frac{1}{\theta} \right)^{N+\alpha} & \text{if } \theta \geq \max(\theta_m, \max(x_i)) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\therefore \hat{\theta}^{PosteriorMean} = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \theta \cdot \mathbb{P}(\theta|X) d\theta}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}(\theta|X) d\theta}$$

Let,  $\max(\theta_m, \max(x_i)) = \theta_o$

$$\begin{aligned}\therefore \hat{\theta}^{PosteriorMean} &= \frac{\int_{\theta_o}^{+\infty} \theta \left( \frac{1}{\theta} \right)^{N+\alpha} d\theta}{\int_{\theta_o}^{+\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\theta} \right)^{N+\alpha} d\theta} \\ &= \frac{\int_{\theta_o}^{+\infty} \theta^{-n-\alpha+1} d\theta}{\int_{\theta_o}^{+\infty} \theta^{-n-\alpha} d\theta} \\ &= \frac{\left[ \frac{\theta^{-n-\alpha+2}}{-n-\alpha+2} \right]_{\theta_o}^{\infty}}{\left[ \frac{\theta^{-n-\alpha+1}}{-n-\alpha+1} \right]_{\theta_o}^{\infty}} \\ &= \left( \frac{n+\alpha-1}{n+\alpha-2} \right) \theta_o \\ \hat{\theta}^{PosteriorMean} &= \left( \frac{n+\alpha-1}{n+\alpha-2} \right) \max(\theta_m, \max(x_i))\end{aligned}$$

- Does  $\hat{\theta}^{PosteriorMean}$  tend to  $\hat{\theta}^{ML}$  as the sample size tends to infinity ? Is this desirable or not ?

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\theta}^{PosteriorMean} = \max(\theta_m, \max(x_i)) = \max(\theta_m, \hat{\theta}^{ML})$$

Thus,  $\hat{\theta}^{PosteriorMean}$  tends to  $\hat{\theta}^{ML}$ , if we have chosen prior in such a way that  $\theta^{TRUE} > \theta_m$ . But if we have chosen prior such that  $\theta_m > \theta^{TRUE}$ , then  $\hat{\theta}^{PosteriorMean}$  will almost never tend to  $\hat{\theta}^{ML}$ . This is not desirable, as the estimate we get strongly depends on our choice of prior and so there is a large margin for error.