

User Churn analysis @ Codeflix

Avedi Musungu 11 August 2022

Table of Contents

- 1. Background
- 2. Churn rate analysis
- 3. Recommendations & Actionable Insight

1. Getting to know Codeflix

1.1 Getting familiar with Codeflix

- Codeflix has been operating for 4 months: from 1 December 2016 to 31 March 2017
- Churn rate analysis can be carried out for the period January March 2017
- This is because of month-long subscription periods which imply that cancellations only occur from 1 January 2017
- There are 2 user segments, segment 30 & segment 87, which identify users acquired through different marketing channels

2. Churn rate analysis

2.1 Big picture: overall trend in churn rate

- Since the company started, the overall churn rate has trended upwards from 16% to 27%
- In March 2017, the churn rate peaked at 27%, representing a ~47% increase from February 2017
- The query used to extract the table below is provided

Month	Churn rate
January	16%
February	19%
March	27%

```
WITH months AS
                                    CASE WHEN (subscription end
                                    BETWEEN first day AND last day)
( SELECT
    '2017-01-01' as first day,
                                    THEN 1 ELSE 0
    '2017-01-31' as last day
                                    END AS 'is canceled'
  UNION
                                    FROM cross join),
  SELECT
    '2017-02-01' as first day,
                                    status aggregate AS
    '2017-02-28' as last day
                                    (SELECT
                                     month,
  UNTON
                                     SUM(is active) AS 'sum active',
  SELECT
                                     SUM(is canceled) AS'sum canceled'
    '2017-03-01' as first day,
    '2017-03-31' as last day),
                                     FROM status
                                     GROUP BY month)
cross join AS
(SELECT *
                                    SELECT
  FROM subscriptions
                                    month,
                                    1.0* sum canceled/sum active
  CROSS JOIN months),
                                    AS 'churn rate'
status AS
                                    FROM status aggregate;
(SELECT
id.
first day AS 'month',
CASE WHEN (subscription start <
first day)
AND (subscription end > first day
OR subscription end IS NULL)
 THEN 1 ELSE 0
END AS 'is active',
```

2.2 Granular view: Analysis by user segment

- The churn rate trends upwards for both user segments throughout the 3 month period
- The churn rate for user segment 87 is, on average, four times (4x) that of user segment 30
- In March, the churn rate was \sim 50-70% greater for both user segments than in February of 2017

Month	Segment 30	Segment 87
January	8%	25%
February	7%	32%
March	12%	49%
Average	9%	35%

3. Recommendations & Actionable Insight

3.2 Conclusions and Recommendations

Lower churn rates: (1) reflect greater user loyalty (more 'stickiness') and (2) translate to higher retention rates and higher revenues for Codeflix.

In this regard, Codeflix should:

- increase investments in the marketing channel used for **user segment 30** to other user segments since it seems to be translating to greater retention rates on average
- discontinue or re-evaluate the marketing channels used for user segment 87
- investigate any exogenous factors that might have accelerated churn rates for both user segments in March 2017 (unpopular content? increased competition? macro-economic factors?)

Many thanks!