# CSC263 - Assignment 2

### Cristyn Howard

## Monday, January 29, 2018

#### Question 1

#### Part a)

- Let  $\alpha(n)$  denote the number of 1's in the binary representation of n.
- Lemma: based on the structural definition of binary forests, a binary forest with n nodes contains  $\alpha(n)$  trees.
- Lemma: a tree with n nodes contains n-1 edges.
- Let the trees in a binary heap with n nodes be labelled  $G_i$ , where  $1 \le i \le \alpha(n)$ , and let  $k_i$  denote the number of nodes in tree  $G_i$ .
- We know that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha(n)} k_i = n$ , so then  $\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha(n)} (ki-1) = n \alpha(n)$ .
- Thus we can conclude that a binary heap with n nodes contains  $n \alpha(n)$  edges.

#### Part b)

- Binomial heap H has n nodes and  $n \alpha(n)$  edges before any insertions.
- After k insertions, H has n+k nodes and  $(n+k)-\alpha(n+k)$  edges.
- The number of edges created by k insertions is thus  $[(n+k)-\alpha(n+k)]-[n-\alpha(n)]=n+k-\alpha(n+k)-n+\alpha(n)=k+\alpha(n)-\alpha(n+k)$ .
  - Note that  $\alpha(n+k) > 0$ , and so  $k + \alpha(n) \alpha(n+k) < k + \alpha(n)$ .
  - Further, note that  $\alpha(n) \leq |\log_2 n| + 1$  (by the definition of  $\alpha(n)$ ).
  - So all together we have  $k + \alpha(n) \alpha(n+k) \le k + \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1$ .
- Pairwise comparisons between node values (which occur in constant time) take place whenever an insertion of an
  element into H creates a new edge, as the newly connected nodes must be compared and potentially swapped to
  preserve the heap order property.
- The dominant factor affecting the worst case running time of inserting k elements into H is the number of comparisons that must occur, or the number of edges that must be created, which we know is bounded above by  $k + \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1$ .
- When  $k < \log_2 n$ , the dominant term in  $[k + \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1]$  is  $\log_2 n$ , so the worst case running cost of inserting k elements into H is  $O(\log_2 n)$ .
- However when  $k > \log_2 n$ , the dominant term in  $[k + \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1]$  is k, so the worst case running cost of inserting k elements into H is O(k), and the average cost of inserting 1 element is O(k/k), or O(1).

#### Question 2

```
1
      OUTER(u)
          return check-bal(u, TRUE)
 3
 4
 5
      check-bal(x, isRoot)
 6
          if (x == NIL) return NIL
 8
          dist-long = 0, dist-short = 0
 9
10
          if (!(x.lchild == NIL && x.rchild == NIL))
11
              if (x.lchild != NIL && x.rchild != NIL)
12
13
14
                   ldist = check-bal(x.lchild, FALSE)
                  if (ldist == FALSE) return FALSE
15
16
                   rdist = check-bal(x.rchild, FALSE)
17
                   if (rdist == FALSE) return FALSE
18
19
                  dist-long = max(ldist[0], rdist[0]) + 1
20
21
                  dist-short = min(ldist[1], rdist[1]) + 1
22
23
              else
24
                   child-dist = NIL;
25
                  if (x.lchild != NIL && x.rchild == NIL)
26
                       child-dist = check-bal(x.lchild, FALSE)
27
                  else if (x.rchild != NIL && x.lchild == NIL)
28
                       child-dist = check-bal(x.rchild, FALSE)
29
30
                  if (child-dist == FALSE) return FALSE
31
                  dist-long = child-dist[0] + 1
32
33
                  dist-short = child-dist[1] + 1
34
35
              if (dist-long > 2 * dist-short) return FALSE
36
37
          if isRoot
38
              return TRUE
39
          return [dist-long, dist-short]
```

- The wrapper function contains only constant time operations (besides the call to check-bal).
- Base case inputs to check-bal that trigger no recursive calls (x = leaf, x = NIL) contain only constant time operations.
- In non-base cases (when x has at least one child), all operations that are not recursive calls (evaluating if conditions, doing arithmetic operations, executing return statements) occur in constant time.
- Then the dominant factor in the running time is the number of recursive calls.
- Whenever x has one child, check-bal is called on that child, and whenever x has two children, check-bal is structured to call on both of them. So check-bal is structured to call recursively on all nodes of the subtree rooted at x.
- When x is the root of a 2-balanced BST (no recursive calls to check-bal return FALSE), we know that check-bal is called recursively on all nodes of the BST, thus there exists an input  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  where check-bal is called n times. So  $T \in \Omega(n)$ .
- Check-bal is called <u>at most</u> once per node, therefore the maximum number of check-bal calls for a BST of size  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is n. So  $T \in O(n)$ .
- Thus the worst case running time of this algorithm is  $\Theta(n)$ , where n is the # of nodes in the BST rooted at u.