

Solutions for Homework Assignment #1

**Answer to Question 1.**

**a.**  $T(n)$  is  $O(n^2)$ . This is because for *every*  $n \geq 2$ :

(i) For *every* input array  $A$  of size  $n$ , the outer **for loop** of Line 3 consists of doing *at most*  $(n - 1)$  iterations, and *each* such iteration causes *at most*  $(n - 1)$  inner iterations of the nested **for loop** of Line 4; so a total of at most  $(n - 1)(n - 1) < n^2$  inner loop iterations are executed.

(ii) Each inner loop iteration, and each one of the statements in line 1, 2, 4 and 5, takes constant time (because each consists of a constant number of comparisons and additions).

So it is clear that there is a constant  $c > 0$  such that for all  $n \geq 2$ : for *every* input  $A$  of size  $n$ , executing the procedure **strange**( $A$ ) takes *at most*  $c \cdot n^2$  time.

**b.**  $T(n)$  is  $\Omega(n^2)$ . This is not obvious because the **for loop** of Line 3 may end “early” because of the loop exit condition in Line 5: if the condition of Line 5 is satisfied then the procedure call immediately ends. Thus, to show that  $T(n)$  is  $\Omega(n^2)$ , we must show that there is at least one input array  $A$  such that the procedure takes time proportional to  $n^2$  on this input, *despite the loop exit condition of Line 5*. We do so below.

$T(n)$  is  $\Omega(n^2)$  because for *every*  $n \geq 2$ :

(i) There is an input array  $A$  of size  $n$ , namely array  $A[1..n] = \langle 0, -1, -2, -3, -4, \dots, -n + 1 \rangle$ , i.e., the array  $A$  such that for all  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $A[i] = -i + 1$ , such that the procedure does *not* return in Line 5.

This is because for all  $i$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq n$ : (a) just before the loop of Line 4 is executed  $A[i - 1] = -(i - 1) + 1$ , (b) just after the loop of Line 4 is executed  $A[i - 1] = -i$ , and (c) since  $A[i] = -i + 1$ , in Line 5, we have that  $A[i] = A[i - 1] + 1$  and so the procedure does *not* return in Line 5.

Thus, *with this specific input*, each iteration of the outer **for loop** of Line 3 with  $i \geq n/2$  will in turn cause the execution of at least  $n/2$  inner iterations of the nested **for loop** of Line 4.

So, for input  $A[1..n] = \langle 0, -1, -2, -3, -4, \dots, -n + 1 \rangle$ , there are at least  $n^2/4$  iterations of the inner **for loop** of Line 4.

(ii) Each inner loop iteration takes constant time.

So it is clear that there is a constant  $c > 0$  such that for all  $n > 1$ : there is *some* input  $A$  of size  $n$  (namely,  $A[1..n] = \langle 0, -1, -2, -3, -4, \dots, -n + 1 \rangle$ ) such that executing the procedure **strange**( $A$ ) takes *at least*  $c \cdot n^2$  time.

**Important note:** For many arrays  $A$  of size  $n$ , for example all those where  $A[2] \neq -1$ , those where  $A[2] = -1$  but  $A[3] \neq -2$ , etc..., the execution of procedure **strange**( $A$ ) takes only constant time! This is because the execution stops “early”, in Line 5, on these arrays.

So to prove that the worst-case time complexity of **strange**() is  $\Omega(n^2)$ , a correct argument *must explicitly describe* some input array  $A$  of size  $n$  for which the execution of **strange**( $A$ ) does take time proportional to  $n^2$ .

Note that since  $T(n)$  is both  $O(n^2)$  and  $\Omega(n^2)$ , it is  $\Theta(n^2)$ .

## Answer to Question 2.

a. A ternary (max) heap  $H$  with  $n$  elements can be represented by an array  $A$  with an associated variable  $A.Heapsize = n$ , such that the elements of  $H$  are in  $A[1..n]$ . The root of  $H$  is stored in  $A[1]$ , and it contains an element with largest key. The children of  $A[i]$  (from left to right in  $H$ ) are  $A[3i - 1]$  (if  $3i - 1 \leq n$ ),  $A[3i]$  (if  $3i \leq n$ ) and  $A[3i + 1]$  (if  $3i + 1 \leq n$ ). For  $i > 1$ , the parent of  $A[i]$  is  $A[\lfloor \frac{i+1}{3} \rfloor]$ .

b.

1. Consider a ternary heap  $A$  with  $n$  elements. Element  $A[i]$  is an internal node of the heap if and only if (iff) it has at least one child. So  $A[i]$  is internal iff  $A[3i - 1]$  is an element of the heap, i.e., iff  $3i - 1 \leq n$ . Thus  $A[i]$  is an internal node iff  $i \leq \lfloor \frac{n+1}{3} \rfloor$ .
2. A ternary heap  $A$  with  $n$  elements has height  $\lfloor \log_3(2n - 1) \rfloor$ . To see this, note that a complete ternary tree of height  $h$  has:

- at most  $3^0 + 3^1 + \dots + 3^h = \frac{3^{h+1} - 1}{2}$  nodes, and
- at least  $3^0 + 3^1 + \dots + 3^{h-1} + 1 = \frac{3^{h+1} + 1}{2}$  nodes.

So in a complete ternary tree, the height  $h$  and the number of nodes  $n$  are related as follows:  $\frac{3^{h+1} + 1}{2} \leq n \leq \frac{3^{h+1} - 1}{2}$ . Thus,  $3^h \leq 2n - 1$  and  $3^{h+1} \geq 2n + 1$ . Hence,  $\log_3(2n + 1) - 1 \leq h \leq \log_3(2n - 1)$ . Therefore  $h = \lfloor \log_3(2n - 1) \rfloor = \lceil \log_3(2n + 1) \rceil - 1$ .

c.

- INSERT( $A, key$ ): Insert  $key$  into  $A$ .

*Algorithm sketch:* (This is identical to the INSERT procedure for binary heaps that we saw in class.) First increment  $A.Heapsize$  by one. Then put the (element  $x$  with)  $key$  in  $A[A.Heapsize]$  (for simplicity, in this description we identify the element  $x$  with its key). Finally, “percolate  $x$  up” until it settles to the right place, i.e., until the parent of  $x$  is greater or equal to  $x$ . To do so, keep comparing  $x$  with its parent, and swap the two if  $x$  is greater.

- EXTRACT\_MAX( $A$ ): Remove a key with highest priority from  $A$ .

*Algorithm sketch:* (This is similar to the EXTRACT\_MAX procedure for binary heaps that we saw in class.) First return  $A[1]$ , then store  $A[A.Heapsize]$  in  $A[1]$  (replacing the old content of  $A[1]$ ) and decrement  $A.Heapsize$  by one. Let  $x$  be the element now in  $A[1]$ . To restore the max-heap property, “drip  $x$  down” until it settles to the right place, i.e., until  $x$  is greater or equal to each of its children. To do so, keep comparing  $x$  with its children, and if one of them is greater, then swap  $x$  with the greatest of its children.

- UPDATE( $A, i, key$ ), where  $1 \leq i \leq A.Heapsize$ : Change the priority of element  $A[i]$  to  $key$  and restore the heap ordering property.

*Algorithm sketch:* Let  $x$  be the element in  $A[i]$ .

- If UPDATE( $A, i, key$ ): increases the (key of)  $x$ , then “percolate  $x$  up” until it settles to the right place, i.e., until the parent of  $x$  is greater or equal to  $x$ . To do so, keep comparing  $x$  with its parent, and swap the two if  $x$  is greater. This procedure is similar to INSERT above.
- If UPDATE( $A, i, key$ ) decreases the (key of)  $x$ , then “drip  $x$  down” until it settles to the right place, i.e., until  $x$  is greater or equal to each of its children. To do so, keep comparing  $x$  with its children, and if one of them is greater, then swap  $x$  with the greatest of its children. This procedure is similar to EXTRACT\_MAX above.

- $\text{REMOVE}(A, i)$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq A.\text{Heapsize}$ : Delete the element  $A[i]$  from the heap.

*Algorithm sketch:* Let  $x$  be the element in  $A[i]$ . One way to delete  $x$  is to first use the  $\text{UPDATE}(A, i, \text{key})$  procedure to change the key of  $x$  to “infinity” (a key greater than any other key in  $A$ ). This will make  $x$  percolate up all the way to the root of the max-heap  $A$ . Then execute  $\text{EXTRACT-MAX}(A)$  to remove  $x$ .

Let  $h$  be the height of the max-heap  $A$  (recall that  $h = \lfloor \log_3(2n - 1) \rfloor$ , where  $n = A.\text{Heapsize}$ ). The worst-case time complexity of the above algorithms is both  $O(h)$  and  $\Omega(h)$ , because: (1) they never take more than time proportional to  $h$ , and (2) they each have at least one execution that does take time proportional to  $h$  (e.g., for  $\text{UPDATE}(A, i, \text{key})$ , such an execution occurs when  $i = n$ , and the new  $\text{key}$  is greater than any other key in  $A$ : this execution makes the leaf  $x = A[n]$  percolate up all the way to the root of the heap). So the worst-case time complexity of the above algorithms is  $\Theta(h) = \Theta(\lfloor \log_3(2n - 1) \rfloor) = \Theta(\log_3 n)$ .