- **1.** (1.5 points). Let  $\{0\} \to \mathbb{V} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{U} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbb{W} \to \{0\}$  be an exact sequence. Prove that
- (a)  $\beta \alpha v = 0$  for all  $v \in \mathbb{V}$ ; (b)  $\alpha$  is injective and  $\beta$  is surjective (c) dim  $\mathbb{U} = \dim \mathbb{V} + \dim \mathbb{W}$  (if they all are finite).
- a)  $\beta L V = \beta(L V)$ ; L V sends some vector V = L VB(LV) sends that vector to 0, because Kerp=Imd.
- b) Because 803 -> V -> U is exact => & is injective. UB W->fo} is exact => B is surjective. dim(U) = Ker(U) + Im(U) = dim(V) + dim(W)
- **2°.** (1.5 points). Let  $\alpha: Skew_n \to Mat_n(\mathbb{R}), \ \alpha(X) = X \text{ and } \beta: Mat_n(\mathbb{R}) \to Sym_n, \ \beta(X) = X + X^T$ . Check that  $\{0\} \to Skew_n \xrightarrow{\alpha} Mat_n(\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\beta} Sym_n \to \{0\} \text{ is an exact sequence.}$

The KerB is a set of all skew-sym. (proven in previous hu) The Imd are all 4kew-sym. matrices. Hence, it's an exact sequence.

**3.** (2 points). Let  $\{0\} \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} \mathbb{V}_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \mathbb{V}_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\varphi_{n-1}} \mathbb{V}_n \to \{0\}$  be an exact sequence and dim  $\mathbb{V}_i < \infty$  for all i. Compute  $\dim \mathbb{V}_1 - \dim \mathbb{V}_2 + \dim \mathbb{V}_3 - \dim \mathbb{V}_4 + \ldots + (-1)^{n+1} \dim \mathbb{V}_n$ .

We have:  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i+1} \dim V_i \stackrel{?}{=} 0$ We know:  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i+1} \ker \varphi_{i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i+1} \operatorname{Im} \varphi_i = 0.$ 

Hence then the sum is o

**4.** (2 points). Find an exact sequence  $\{0\} \to \mathbb{V} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{U} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbb{W} \to \{0\}$  such that  $\dim \mathbb{U} = \infty$ ,  $\dim \mathbb{V} = \infty$ ,  $\dim \mathbb{W} < \infty$ . *Hint:* in the easiest example  $\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{R}$ .

**5.** (2 points). Does there exist a matrix  $A \in Mat_n(\mathbb{R})$  such that linear transformation

$$\varphi: Mat_n(\mathbb{R}) \to Mat_n(\mathbb{R}), \ \varphi(X) = [A, X]$$

is surjective?

No,  $\varphi$  is never surjective.

Proof: Suppose such A exists and  $\varphi$  is surjective.

Clearly, A is not  $EoJ_n$ , as  $\varphi(X) = o$  in all cases.

Otherwise for any A we have 2 cases:

1)  $X = A = A^2 - A^2 = o$ 2)  $X = EoJ_n = A \cdot o - A \cdot o = o$ Hence,  $\varphi$  is not surjective.

**6**°. (1 point). Let  $U \in Mat_n(\mathbb{R})$  be invertible. Is it true that

$$\varphi: Mat_n(\mathbb{R}) \to Mat_n(\mathbb{R}), \ \varphi(X) = UXU^{-1}$$

is bijective?

φ is invertible φ = U XU => bijective.

Alternatively, let X & Kerq: UX U=0 1: U=1 X = 0 Let A & Mat, IR:

UAU is transferring to A.

Hence, any matrix has a unique output

and q is bijective.