Simple Type Theory

November 19, 2020

1 Basics

A **term** is a value of a **type**. Some terms are **variables** (as we explain later). Each term has a set/list of **free variables** (as we explain later).

There are six kinds of expressions:

- 1. A **typing declaration** x : A says that x is a term of type A.
- 2. A universal declaration A type says that A is a type. When we have a stack of universes, this is equivalent to saying $A : \mathbb{U}_0$ in HoTT.
- 3. An equality declaration x = y : A says values x and y of type A are equal.
- 4. A **context** Γ is a list, with each of its entries as a typing declaration or a universal declaration. We write Γ :: Δ to denote the concatenation of lists.
- 5. A **context declaration** Γ ctx is a declaration that the context Γ is "well formed" (the meaning will be clear later from the rules).
- 6. A **judgment** is something of the form $\Gamma \vdash d$ where Γ is a context, and d is either a typing declaration or a universal declaration or an equality declaration. Sometimes we call d the **declaration** of the judgment $\Gamma \vdash d$.

A rule is something of the form

$$\frac{J_1 \quad J_2 \quad \dots \quad J_n}{K}$$

where J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_n and K are all judgements. The meaning of the rule is that if each judgement in J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_n can be derived in the type theory then judgement K may also be derived. Judgements can be stacked to make proof trees. An axiom is a rule

 \overline{K}

with no pre-requisits.

In addition to the assumed rules (which we name)

2 Forming base types

We write . to denote the empty context. The fact that the empty context is well formed is formalized by the rule:

$$\frac{}{}$$
 ctx-EMP (1)

The next rule allows a well formed context to be extended by introducing a base type A:

$$\frac{\Gamma - \text{ctx}}{\Gamma :: (A - \text{type}) - \text{ctx}} \text{ ctx-EXT1}$$
 (2)

The base type A must not appear in the context Γ . Here we assume we have some list of base types If we are trying to model a paricular system we may have specific base types ready, but for now let ud just think of base types as variable types (although in this document we reserve the phrase "variable" for terms). So it is fine for A to be any type new to the context.

We can convert from well formed contexts to judgements about universal declarations using the following:

$$\frac{\Gamma :: (A \quad \text{type}) :: \Delta \quad \text{ctx}}{\Gamma :: (A \quad \text{type}) :: \Delta \vdash (A \quad \text{type})} \text{ Vble1} \tag{3}$$

where Γ and Δ are contexts.

2.1 Example

Here is an example of how we derive the judgement A -type $\vdash A$ -type.

$$\frac{\overline{\text{ctx}}}{\overline{(A \text{ type) ctx}}}$$

$$\frac{A \text{ type} \cdot Ctx}{\overline{(A \text{ type)}} \vdash (A \text{ type)}}$$
(4)

Here we use ctx-EMP then ctx-EXT1 then Vble1.

3 Forming Other Types

The