

Zerrad

Anigail

(NASH)

DM3

1) We rewrite the optimization problem as following:

$$\min_{v, w} \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_2^2 + \lambda \|w\|_1$$

$$\text{s.t. } v = Xw - y$$

$$\text{Lagrangian: } L(w, v, \mu) = \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_2^2 + \lambda \|w\|_1 + \mu^T (Xw - y - v)$$

$$\text{Lagrange dual function: } g(\mu) = \inf_{w, v} L(w, v, \mu)$$

$$= \inf_{w, v} \left( \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_2^2 + \lambda \|w\|_1 + \mu^T (Xw - y - v) \right)$$

$$= \inf_w (\lambda \|w\|_1 + \mu^T Xw) + \inf_v \left( \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_2^2 - \mu^T v \right) - \mu^T y$$

By using the definition of the conjugate function to the  $\ell_1$ -norm, we have:

$$\inf_w (\lambda \|w\|_1 + \mu^T Xw) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \|X^T \mu\|_\infty \leq \lambda \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

So  $\inf_v \left( \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_2^2 - \mu^T v \right)$ . By setting the gradient at 0, we have:  $v - \mu = 0 \Rightarrow v = \mu$

$$\Rightarrow \inf_v \left( \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_2^2 - \mu^T v \right) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mu\|_2^2 - \|\mu\|_2^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \|\mu\|_2^2$$

$$\text{Then, } g(\mu) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2} \|\mu\|_2^2 - \mu^T y & \text{if } \|X^T \mu\|_\infty \leq \lambda \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Dual problem: } \max_{\mu} -\frac{1}{2} \|\mu\|_2^2 - y^T \mu$$

$$\text{s.t. } \|X^T \mu\|_\infty \leq \lambda$$

We can rewrite the condition  $\|X^T \mu\|_\infty \leq \lambda$  as  $\begin{pmatrix} X^T \\ -X^T \end{pmatrix} \mu \leq \lambda \mathbf{1}_{2d}$ ,

and then the dual problem of LASSO is:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \mu^T Q \mu + p^T \mu \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A \mu \leq b \end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned} \text{with } Q &= \frac{1}{2} I_n \\ A &= \begin{pmatrix} X^T \\ -X^T \end{pmatrix} \\ b &= \lambda \mathbf{1}_{2d} \end{aligned}$$

2) we want to solve the problem  $\min_v v^T Q v + p^T v$ ,  
s.t.  $A v \leq b$

There is no equality constraints; the associated centering problem is:

$$\min_v \quad t(v^T Q v + p^T v) - \sum_{i=1}^{2d} \log(b_i - A_i v)$$

where  $A_i$  is the  $i$ -th row of  $A$ .

We denote  $\phi(v) := -\sum_{i=1}^{2d} \log(b_i - A_i v)$ , and  $g_t(v) = t(v^T Q v + p^T v) + \phi(v)$

$$\nabla \phi(v) = -\sum_{i=1}^{2d} \frac{-A_i^T}{b_i - A_i v} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nabla g_t(v) = t((Q + Q^T)v + p) + \sum_{i=1}^{2d} \frac{A_i^T}{b_i - A_i v}$$

$$\nabla^2 \phi(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{2d} \frac{A_i^T A_i}{(A_i v - b_i)^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nabla^2 g_t(v) = t(Q + Q^T) + \sum_{i=1}^{2d} \frac{A_i^T A_i}{(A_i v - b_i)^2}$$

We use Newton's method for unconstrained optimization to solve  $\min_v g_t(v)$ .

2) We observe that when  $\mu$  is small ( $\mu=2$ ), we do small centering steps and it takes a lot of Newton iterations to get to a solution with precision  $\varepsilon$ . For higher values of  $\mu$ , we do longer steps on the central path and the total number of Newton iterations is much less than in the case with a small  $\mu$ . We also notice that the number of Newton iterations for  $\mu \geq 10$  stays fairly constant when we increase  $\mu$ . Thus, it's sufficient to choose  $\mu=10$ .



Here is the figure obtained for a precision  $\varepsilon=10^{-6}$ , for different values of  $\mu$ .

