

Sourcepredict: Prediction/source tracking of metagenomic sample sources using machine learning

Maxime Borry¹

1 Department of Archaeogenetics, Max Planck Institute for the Science of Human History, Jena, 07745. Germany

Summary

SourcePredict (github.com/maxibor/sourcepredict) is a Python Conda package to classify and predict the source of metagenomics sample given a reference dataset of known sources.

DNA shotgun sequencing of human, animal, and environmental samples opened up new doors to explore the diversity of life in these different environments, a field known as metagenomics (Hugenholtz & Tyson, 2008).

One of the aspect of metagenomics is to look at the organism composition in a sequencing sample, with tools known as taxonomic classifiers. These taxonomic classifiers, such as Kraken (Wood & Salzberg, 2014) for example, will compute the organism taxonomic composition, from the DNA sequencing data.

When in most cases the origin (source) of a metagenomic sample is known, it is sometimes part of the research question to infer and/or confirm its source. Using samples of known sources, a reference dataset can be established with the samples taxonomic composition (the organisms identified in the sample) as features, and the source of the sample as class labels. With this reference dataset, a machine learning algorithm can be trained to predict the source of unlabeled samples from their taxonomic composition.

Compared to SourceTracker (Knights et al., 2011), which uses gibbs sampling, Sourcepredict uses dimension reduction algorithms, followed by K-Nearest-Neighbors (KNN) classification.

Here, I present Source Predict for the classification/prediction of unlabeled sample sources from their taxonomic compositions.

Method

All samples are first normalized to correct for uneven sequencing depth using GMPR (default) (Chen et al., 2018). After normalization, Sourcepredict performs a two steps prediction: first a prediction of the proportion of unknown sources, i.e. not represented in the reference dataset. Then a prediction of the proportion of each known source of the reference dataset in the test samples.

Organism are represented by their taxonomic identifiers (TAXID).

Prediction of unknown sources proportion

Let $S_i \in \{S_1, ..., S_n\}$ be a sample of size O organisms o_j from the test dataset D_{sink} , with $o_j \in \mathbb{Z}+$, and $j \in [1, O]$.

Let m be the mean number of samples per class in the reference dataset, such as $m = \frac{1}{O} \sum_{i=1}^{O} S_i$.

I define |m| estimated samples U_k to add to the training dataset to account for the unknown source proportion in a test sample, with $k \in \{1, ..., |m|\}$.

DOI:

Software

- Review 🗗
- Repository 🗗
- Archive ♂

Submitted: Published:

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY).



To compute each U_k , a α proportion ($\alpha \in [0,1]$, default = 0.1) of each o_j organism is added to the training dataset for each U_k samples, such that $U_k(o_j) = \alpha \cdot x_{i \ j}$, where $x_{i \ j}$ is sampled from the Gaussian distribution $\mathcal{N}(\mu = S_i(o_j), \sigma = 0.1)$.

The |m| U_k samples are then merged as columns to the reference dataset D_{ref} (samples in columns, organisms as rows) to create a new reference dataset denoted D_{ref} u

To predict this unknown proportion, the dimension of the reference dataset $D_{ref\ u}$ is reduced to the first 20 principal components with the scikit-learn (Pedregosa et al., 2011) implementation of PCA.

This dimensionally reduced reference dataset is further divided into three subsets: $D_{train\ u}$ (64%), $D_{test\ u}$ (20%), and $D_{validation\ u}$ (16%).

The scikit-learn implementation of K-Nearest-Neighbors (KNN) algorithm is then trained on $D_{train\ u}$, and the test accuracy is computed with $D_{test\ u}$.

This trained KNN model is then corrected for probability estimation of unknown proportion using the scikit-learn implementation of the Platt's scaling method (Platt & others, 1999) with $D_{validation\ u}$. This procedure is repeated for each S_i sample of the test dataset D_{sink} .

 p_u is then estimated using this trained and corrected KNN mode, where p_u is the proportion of unknown sources in each S_i sample.

Prediction of known source proportion

First, only organism TAXID corresponding to the *species* taxonomic level are kept using ETE toolkit (Huerta-Cepas, Serra, & Bork, 2016). A distance matrix is then computed on the merged training dataset D_{ref} and test dataset D_{sink} using the scikit-bio implementation of weighted Unifrac distance (default) (Lozupone, Hamady, Kelley, & Knight, 2007).

The distance matrix is embedded in two dimensions using the scikit-learn implementation of t-SNE (Maaten & Hinton, 2008).

The 2-dimensional embedding is then split back to training $D_{ref\ t}$ and testing dataset $D_{sink\ t}$.

The training dataset $D_{ref\ tsne}$ is further divided into three subsets: $D_{train\ t}$ (64%), $D_{test\ t}$ (20%), and $D_{validation\ t}$ (16%).

The KNN algorithm is then trained on the train subset, and the test accuracy is computed with $D_{test\ t}$.

This trained KNN model is then corrected for source proportion estimation using the scikit-learn implementation of the Platt's method with $D_{validation\ t}$.

 p_c is then estimated using this trained and corrected KNN model, where p_c is the proportion of each of source c in each sample S_i .

Combining unknown and source proportion

Finally, for each sample S_i of the test dataset D_{sink} , the predicted unknown proportion p_u is then combined with the predicted proportion p_c for each of the C sources c of the training dataset such that $\sum_{c=1}^{C} s_c + p_u = 1$ where $s_c = p_c \cdot p_u$.

Finally, a summary table is created to gather the estimated sources proportions.

Acknowledgements

Thanks to Dr. Alexander Herbig and Dr. Adam Ben Rohrlach for their valuable comments and for proofreading this manuscript.



References

Chen, L., Reeve, J., Zhang, L., Huang, S., Wang, X., & Chen, J. (2018). GMPR: A robust normalization method for zero-inflated count data with application to microbiome sequencing data. *PeerJ*, 6, e4600. doi:10.7717/peerj.4600

Huerta-Cepas, J., Serra, F., & Bork, P. (2016). ETE 3: Reconstruction, analysis, and visualization of phylogenomic data. *Molecular biology and evolution*, 33(6), 1635–1638. doi:10.1093/molbev/msw046

Hugenholtz, P., & Tyson, G. W. (2008). Microbiology: Metagenomics. Nature, 455(7212), 481. doi:10.1038/455481a

Knights, D., Kuczynski, J., Charlson, E. S., Zaneveld, J., Mozer, M. C., Collman, R. G., Bushman, F. D., et al. (2011). Bayesian community-wide culture-independent microbial source tracking. *Nature methods*, 8(9), 761.

Lozupone, C. A., Hamady, M., Kelley, S. T., & Knight, R. (2007). Quantitative and qualitative beta diversity measures lead to different insights into factors that structure microbial communities. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 73(5), 1576–1585. doi:10.1128/AEM. 01996-06

Maaten, L. van der, & Hinton, G. (2008). Visualizing data using t-sne. *Journal of machine learning research*, 9(Nov), 2579–2605.

Pedregosa, F., Varoquaux, G., Gramfort, A., Michel, V., Thirion, B., Grisel, O., Blondel, M., et al. (2011). Scikit-learn: Machine learning in python. *Journal of machine learning research*, 12(Oct), 2825–2830.

Platt, J., & others. (1999). Probabilistic outputs for support vector machines and comparisons to regularized likelihood methods. Advances in large margin classifiers, 10(3), 61-74.

Wood, D. E., & Salzberg, S. L. (2014). Kraken: Ultrafast metagenomic sequence classification using exact alignments. *Genome biology*, 15(3), R46. doi:10.1186/gb-2014-15-3-r46