TEAM-ID_TEAM-NAME_YOUR-NAME_Assignment-3

September 21, 2020

1 Assignment 3: ICP + Non-linear least squares optimization

TEAM-ID:

TEAM-NAME:

YOUR-ID:

YOUR-NAME:

(Although you work in groups, both the students have to submit to Moodle, hence there's name field above)

1.1 Instructions

- Please check Moodle for "TEAM-ID" and "TEAM-NAME" fields above. Some of your names have been edited because of redundancy/simplicity. Instructions for submitting the assignment through GitHub Classrooms/Moodle has been uploaded on Moodle. Any clarifications will be made there itself.
- Code must be written in Python in Jupyter Notebooks. You can use Assignment-1's environment for this assignment. More instructions for setup provided as you progress through this assignment.
- Both the team members must submit the zip file.
- You are not allowed to use any external libraries (other than ones being imported below).
- Answer the descriptive questions in your own words with context & clarity. Do not just copy-paste from some Wikipedia page. You will be evaluated accordingly.
- You could split the Jupyter Notebook cells where TODO is written, but please try to avoid splitting/changing the structure of other cells.

```
[]: # Only allowed to use these libraries for this assignment.

# Setup: Just activate Assignment-1's environment and install matplotlib

→ `python -m pip install -U matplotlib`

import numpy as np
import math
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import time
```

2 Question 1: Simple Non-Linear least squares for Gaussian function

First, go through the solved example here from the notes page. After understanding this,

(1.1) Code it from scratch using numpy and try it out yourself for say different number of iterations with a certain tolerance for all 50 observations using Gradient Descent. Make the following plots using matplotlib: * Data and fit plot: Ground truth Gaussian, observations (points) & predicted Gaussian on the same plot. * Cost function ($||r||^2$) vs number of iterations

Experiment with the hyperparameters and compile your observations in a table. Clearly mention your hyperparameters with justification.

(1.2) You've used Gradient Descent above. Now implement Gauss-Newton and LM algorithms. To contrast between the three, you must experiment with * Different initial estimate: Can a particular algorithm handle if the initial estimate is too far from GT? * Different number of observations: Can a particular algorithm handle very less observations? * Add noise to your observations: Can a particular algorithm handle large noise? * What else can you think of? (For example, can an algorithm converge in less iterations compared to others?)

Make the plots (mentioned in 1.1) for all 3 algorithms. Report your observations in a table(s) (comparison between the three for different factors). You will be awarded depending on how comprehensive your experimentation is (which you have to explain below under "Answers for Question 1" section).

2.1 Code for Question 1

2.2 Answers for Question 1

Add explanations for the answers along with tables here. ### Answer for 1.1 Explain your experimentations with justification here

This	is	sample	table
sample 1	sample 1	sample 1	sample 1

2.2.1 Answer for 1.2

Explain your experimentations with justification here

This	is	sample	table
sample 2	sample 2	sample 2	sample 2

3 Question 2: ICP Coding

Implement basic ICP algorithm with (given) known correspondences.

Let X be your point cloud observed from the initial position. Your robot moved and observed P1 as your current point cloud. Same with P2 under a different transformation. Now you wish to apply ICP to recover transformation between (X & P1) and (X & P2). Use root mean squared error (rmse) as the error metric.

```
[]: # HELPER FUNCTIONS: DON'T EDIT THIS BLOCK - If you want to test on more cases,
     →you can add code to this block but
     # DON'T delete existing code.
     # Visualizing ICP registration
     def plot_icp(X, P, P0, i, rmse):
      plt.cla()
      plt.scatter(X[0,:], X[1,:], c='k', marker='o', s=50, lw=0)
      plt.scatter(P[0,:], P[1,:], c='r', marker='o', s=50, lw=0)
       plt.scatter(P0[0,:], P0[1,:], c='b', marker='o', s=50, lw=0)
      plt.legend(('X', 'P', 'P0'), loc='lower left')
      plt.plot(np.vstack((X[0,:], P[0,:])), np.vstack((X[1,:], P[1,:])), c='k')
      plt.title("Iteration: " + str(i) + " RMSE: " + str(rmse))
      plt.axis([-10, 15, -10, 15])
       plt.gca().set_aspect('equal', adjustable='box')
      plt.draw()
      plt.pause(2)
       return
```

```
# Generating data for our simple ICP
def generate_data():
  # create reference data
 X = \text{np.array}([[0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 9, 9, 9, 9, 9],
                [1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, -1, -2, -3, -4, -5]])
  # add noise
 P = X + 0.05 * np.random.normal(0, 1, X.shape)
  # translate
 P[0,:] = P[0,:] + 1
 P[1,:] = P[1,:] + 1
  # rotate
  theta1 = (10.0 / 360) * 2 * np.pi
  theta2 = (110.0 / 360) * 2 * np.pi
  rot1 = np.array([[math.cos(theta1), -math.sin(theta1)],
                   [math.sin(theta1), math.cos(theta1)]])
  rot2 = np.array([[math.cos(theta2), -math.sin(theta2)],
                   [math.sin(theta2), math.cos(theta2)]])
  # sets with known correspondences
 P1 = np.dot(rot1, P)
 P2 = np.dot(rot2, P)
  return X, P1, P2
```

```
[]: # TODO: Do tasks described in Q2
    # Replace "pass" statement with your code
    # TODO1: Get data X, P1, P2 from helper function generate data().
    pass
    # TODO2: Apply ICP between X and P_i. (in our case, (X & P1) and (X & P2))
    def ICP(X, P):
        num\_iter = 5 #Experiment & check if your theoretical understanding is_\sqcup
     \rightarrow correct.
        PO = P #Initialization
        for i in range(num_iter):
           # implementing ICP:
           # TODO2.1: what's current error?
           # TODO2.2: call visualization helper function plot icp.
           # TODO2.3: Implement ICP to get R, t
           pass
```

```
[]: # Call ICP on P1 & P2

#ICP(X,P1) #Uncomment this
#ICP(X,P2) #Uncomment this
```