## Estimating Doctoral Degree Holders Across U.S. States\*

Using California Doctoral Degree Literacy Rates as a Reference for State-Level Estimations

Aviral Bhardwaj, Arshh Relan, Akshat Aneja, Yuxin Sun, Harsh Pareek, Susie Wang

October 4, 2024

This report employs IPUMS data to estimate the number of doctoral degree holders in each U.S. state by using California's ratio of doctoral degree holders to total respondents as a benchmark. The analysis reveals significant variations in the estimated and actual counts of doctoral degree holders across states, highlighting discrepancies in educational attainment. This study highlights the importance of understanding educational distributions, as they influence workforce qualifications and inform policy decisions.

## Data

The raw data was sourced from the IPUMS data (Ruggles et al. 2024) package. Three data points were added from year 2022: Sex of Respondants, Education background and State Codes. The data, provided in CSV formats, was cleaned and analyzed using R (R Core Team 2024) programming language. Other R packages used include tidyverse (Wickham et al. 2019), styler (Müller and Walthert 2024), and dplyr (Wickham et al. 2023) for creating tables. The ggplot2 (Wickham 2016) and kableExtra (Zhu 2024) were used for data visualization and table formatting.

This analysis uses the IPUMS data to estimate the number of respondents with doctoral degrees in each state of the U.S. The data includes information on educational attainment, state of residence, and other demographic variables for a sample of respondents and sex of the respondents (Ruggles et al. 2024).

<sup>\*</sup>Code and data are available at: https://github.com/Aviral-03/Estimating-Doctoral-Degrees-US

Table 1: Comparison of Respondents with Doctoral Degrees by State

| State         | Doctoral Degree<br>Holders | Actual Total<br>Respondents | Estimated Total<br>Respondents | Difference |
|---------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|
|               |                            |                             |                                |            |
| Maryland      | 1608                       | 62442                       | 99274.5                        | 36832.5    |
| District of   | 311                        | 6718                        | 19200.5                        | 12482.5    |
| Columbia      |                            |                             |                                |            |
| Virginia      | 1531                       | 88761                       | 94520.6                        | 5759.6     |
| Colorado      | 1031                       | 59841                       | 63651.7                        | 3810.7     |
| New Mexico    | 350                        | 20243                       | 21608.2                        | 1365.2     |
| Vermont       | 131                        | 6860                        | 8087.7                         | 1227.7     |
| New Hampshire | 244                        | 14077                       | 15064.0                        | 987.0      |
| Rhode Island  | 177                        | 10401                       | 10927.6                        | 526.6      |
| California    | 6336                       | 391171                      | 391171.0                       | 0.0        |

## **Discussion**

The differences between the estimated and actual number of respondents in each state can arise from the assumption that the ratio of doctoral degree holders to total respondents in California is representative of all states. However, this assumption doesn't account for state-specific variations in educated population or socioeconomic factors.

California has unique characteristics, such as a large population, diverse industries, and numerous research institutions, which may lead to a higher concentration of doctoral degree holders compared to other states. States with smaller populations, fewer universities, or different economic structures might have lower proportions of doctoral degree holders.

Additionally, the distribution of educational attainment across the U.S. is not uniform. States with rural populations, different job markets, or less access to higher education may have lower rates of doctoral degrees, skewing the estimates.

The ratio estimator is a simplified approach, useful for generating rough estimates, but it overlooks local factors that significantly affect educational profiles across states, leading to deviations between the estimated and actual respondent numbers.

## References

Müller, Kirill, and Lorenz Walthert. 2024. styler: Non-Invasive Pretty Printing of R Code. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=styler.

- R Core Team. 2024. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/.
- Ruggles, Steven, Sarah Flood, Matthew Sobek, Daniel Backman, Annie Chen, Grace Cooper, Stephanie Richards, Renae Rogers, and Megan Schouweiler. 2024. "IPUMS USA: Version 15.0." Dataset. IPUMS. https://doi.org/10.18128/D010.V15.0.
- Wickham, Hadley. 2016. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York. https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org.
- Wickham, Hadley, Mara Averick, Jennifer Bryan, Winston Chang, Lucy D'Agostino McGowan, Romain François, Garrett Grolemund, et al. 2019. "Welcome to the tidyverse." *Journal of Open Source Software* 4 (43): 1686. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686.
- Wickham, Hadley, Romain François, Lionel Henry, Kirill Müller, and Davis Vaughan. 2023. dplyr: A Grammar of Data Manipulation. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dplyr.
- Zhu, Hao. 2024. kableExtra: Construct Complex Table with 'Kable' and Pipe Syntax. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=kableExtra.