A Morphological and Functional Study on Intracerebroventricular Streptozotocin Induced Rat model of Alzheimer's disease: Effect of Magnetic Field Stimulation



Thesis submitted to

All India Institute of medical Sciences, New Delhi

For the award of the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY



अखिल भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान संस्थान अन्सारी नगर, नई दिल्ली.१९००२६ (भारत) ALL INDIA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES ANSARI NAGAR, NEW DELHI - 110029 (INDIA)

दिनांक/	Dated	
---------	-------	--



Department of Physiology

Certificate

This is to certify that the thesis entitled as "A Morphological and Functional Study on Intracerebroventricular Streptozotocin Induced Rat Model of Alzheimer's Disease: Effect of Magnetic Field Stimulation" is the bonafide work of Mr Avishek Roy carried out under our supervision and guidance at All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India.

Chief Supervisor

Dr Suman Jain

Professor

Department of Physiology
All India Institute of Medical Sciences,
New Delhi.

Co Supervisor

Dr Yogendra Kumar Gupta

Ex Prof and HOD

Department of Pharmacology All India Institute of Medical Sciences

New Delhi.

Co Supervisor

Dr Renu Bhatia

Additional Professor
Department of Physiology
All India Institute of Medical Sciences

New Delhi.

दूरभाष : २६५८८५००, २६५८८७०० Telephones : 26588500, 26588700 फैक्स : २६५८८६४१, २६५८८६६३ Fax : 26588641, 26588663



अखिल भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान संस्थान अन्सारी नगर, नई दिल्ली.११००२६ (भारत) ALL INDIA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES ANSARI NAGAR, NEW DELHI - 110029 (INDIA)

दिनांक/	Dated	:
---------	-------	---

DECLARATION

The present thesis titled 'A Morphological and Functional Study on Intracerebroventricular Streptozotocin Induced Rat Model of Alzheimer's Disease: Effect of Magnetic Field Stimulation' was carried out under the guidance of Dr. Suman Jain, Professor, Department of Physiology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi. This work was original and no part of the thesis has been submitted for award of any other degree or diploma in any other institute. Further, wherever the information obtained from other sources is presented in thesis, I confirm that it has been indicated in the text appropriately.

Avishek Roy

PhD student (Roll no. 322/2015)

Department of Physiology

All India Institute of Medical Sciences

New Delhi-110029, India.

दूरभाष : २६५८८५००, २६५८८७०० Telephones : 26588500, 26588700 फैक्स : २६५८८६४१, २६५८८६६३ Fax : 26588641, 26588663



সাড়ে বত্রিশ ভাজা



'Any man could, if he were so inclined, be the sculpture of his own brain'

- Santiago RamÓn y Cajal

On loving memory of

Adya Nath Roy,

Mukti Pada Pandey,

Dr Madhushudan Ghoshal,

Subhro kanti Roy.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am very pleased to almighty that I can able to perform experiment on one of the critical organ in human body. While writing this acknowledgement I was wept in nostalgia starting from the day when I mis spelt "neurophysiology" as "nurophysiology" in my masters. I can still remember introductory word by Prof Tusharkanti Ghosh, *knowingly or unknowingly you all have chosen one of the recent glorious field in biology*. Prof Ghosh is the mentor and guide with whom my journey in this field was started back in the master's days. I must acknowledge one thing that though I am personally not a meritorious student but I came across many experienced teachers who actually turn my interest towards science and nurtured a novice student like me. I might not be able to admit in PhD program unless Prof Sanjit Dey, H.O.D of Department of Physiology (2013-2015), University of Calcutta published our MSc results before time on request of only 10.25% of total student strength. Furthermore, he helped me to get the provisional degree of mine for which I am indebted to Prof Sanjit Dey, as well as Dr. Sujit Kumar Barua, Controller of Examination, University of Calcutta (on 2015).

As a day starts with a healthy breakfast, similarly PhD starts with a academically healthy principal investigator here I am indebted to my mentor, Dr Suman Jain, Professor, Department of physiology, AIIMS, new Delhi. She not only gave me space in her laboratory to perform experimental work, handled all the administrative work related to my research, and supervised my work with her knowledge and experience even tolerated my academic tantrums in her own way. It is true that we have a cycle of joyful and heated phases in these past years similar like the cycle our brain follow in attentive tasks. Further, One thing I must appreciate that Dr Jain always gave me a freedom to work in my own way and she played an instrumental in giving a shape to the idea we have developed with the help of background knowledges while designing protocol of my PhD.

Next, as an obvious reason I express my heartfelt thanks to two of my coguides. Retd. Prof and H.O.D Dr Yogendra Kumar Gupta, Department of pharmacology, AIIMS. He not only provided the instrumental setup of Morris water maze in his laboratory, also take time from his busy schedules to read my doctoral committee reports all along my PhD and he never delayed to respond in digital

platform with his suggestions, blessings, critical comments. Next I got Dr Renu Bhatia, Professor, Department of Physiology, AIIMS as my co-guide. It was from the day of admission to this institute when our department was headed by Dr Rashmi Mathur, Retd. Prof and H.O.D, she was there for any kind of support and help. Dr R Bhatia never ever let me felt that it was not my laboratory, I spent many time discussing my personal problems too. I indebted for the welcome I always get from her.

Professor and H.O.D of Department of Physiology, Dr Krishna Kishore Deepak, only one person whom I knew before joining to this department. One active, always smiling, good thinker and person with helping nature who always helped me in availing any facility in own department or in other department. A big thank for acting and guiding department as an academician besides administrator simultaneously.

It will be wrong if I don't express gratitude to all my doctoral committee members namely Dr Ratna Sharma, for her potential views on behavior biology; Dr V Srinivasan for helping me in biostatistics; Dr Tapas Chandra Nag for intricate technical detailing and suggestions in neuroanatomy, histology, immunostaining, electron microscopy, neurochemistry; Dr Senthil Kumaran for introducing me to NMR and field of metabolomics; Dr Jatinder Katyal for opinions in learning and memory; Dr Paulraj Rajamani from JNU for giving me insight into the magnetoreception and geomagnetism; Dr Yoganarashimha Doreswamy from NIMHANS for introducing me with neural mechanism of navigation biology.

However, all the faculty members of Department of Physiology works like a family and I am blessed to be a part of this functional syncytium. Nevertheless, I must acknowledge presence of three of them who were neither my co-guide nor my doctoral committee member, but they played always a pivotal role in either keeping faith in my academic and scientific ability, or motivating me to be a biologist over a physiologist with an holistic approach to any scientific problem. They are Dr Hrudananda Mallick, Dr Debabrata Ghosh, Dr Ashok Kumar Jaryal.

This small piece of work will not get a shape of thesis if I will not have my colleagues, I will start with my laboratory with the names of my seniors Dr Samrat

Bose, Dr Supti Bhattacharya, Ms Sajeev Khurana; my contemporary Dr Shristi Nanda and Mrs Arpita Chakraborty Das. Further, many of others whom I came across for less time but they means lot to me namely Dr Sajeev Ambalayam, Dr Amarendra Jha, Dr Suneel Kumar, Dr Ajay Pal, Mrs Asfa Ahmed, Ms Shivani Sahu, Mr Aasheesh Gupta, Ms Preeti Umarao, Ms. Deeksha Patel, Ms. Gunjan saxena, Ms. Sakshi, Ms. Jyotsna

Apart from my laboratory, I got a motivated happy group of people who worked with me, discussed science, and enjoyed life in campus as a team. Again, I feel lucky to have them in my academic journey and all are from different departments as well as different institutes. However, we all came together academically due to the need of science. Namely, Dr Hemant Kumar (Pharmacology; AIIMS), Dr Shashank Shekhar (Biophysics; AIIMS), Dr Masroor Alam (Geriatric; AIIMS), Dr Atanu Sen (Cardiac biochemistry, AIIMS), Dr Devender Singh (Pharmacology, AIIMS), Dr Dayasagar Das (Biochemistry, AIIMS), Dr Shahnawaz Alam (DIPAS, DRDO), Dr Rahul Kumar (DIPAS, DRDO), Dr Awanish Kumar (SLS, JNU), Dr Ajay Tomar (Physiology, AIIMS), Mr Kunal Singh (Physiology, AIIMS), Mr Jaganmoy Choudhury (Reproductive biology, AIIMS) and many more. My batchmates and other seniors like Mr Binney Sharma (Physiology, AIIMS), Mr Piyush Sharma (Physiology, AIIMS), Dr Rupesh Samanchi Naidu (Physiology, AIIMS), Mr Atreya Prathibhan Rengarajan (Physiology, AIIMS), Dr Sriji S Somnath (Physiology, AIIMS), Mr Kamlesh Pandey (Anatomy, AIIMS), Mr Dipesh (Pharmacology, AIIMS), Dr Anupa G (Physiology, AIIMS), Dr Muzaffar Ahmed Bhatt (Physiology, AIIMS), Mr Hussain Mohammad (Pharmacology, AIIMS), Mr Haroon Rashid (Pharmacology, AIIMS), Dr Vishal Sahu (Biophysics, AIIMS), Dr Sadhna Kumari (NMR, AIIMS), Dr Soumil Dey (Neurosurgery, AIIMS), Dr Heena Parihar (Physiology, AIIMS), Dr Pranav Sapoy (Pharmacology, AIIMS), Dr Kaustav Roy (DIPAS, DRDO), Dr Urja Jaiswal (Physiology, AIIMS), Mr Ram (Pharmacology, AIIMS). My lovely juniors Mr Vivek Dubey (Biophysics, AIIMS), Mr Avinay (Biophysics, AIIMS), Mr Kartik Rawat (Biophysics, AIIMS), Mr Yudhisthir Yadav (Biophysics, AIIMS), Ms Samreen (Physiology, AIIMS), Ms Kanishka Verma (SLS, JNU), Dr Aparajita Bhatnagar (Physiology, AIIMS), Ms Shatarupa Bhattacharya (IIT, Kharagpur) to name a few. I really learned a lot from all the above-mentioned colleagues.

Further, wanted to thank Dr Jyotirmoy Banerjee, Assistant Professor, Department of Biophysics, AIIMS, for letting me use their Vibratome instrument. Moreover, Dr Somiya Iyenger, Scientist, NBRC, for letting me use their Neurolucida software[®] as well as Neurlucida Explorer[®] attached with Olympus microscope. Dr Apurva Ballav Dey, Professor and former H.O.D of Department of Geriatric Medicine for sample preparation and harvesting. Dr Sharmistha Dey, Assistant Professor, Department of Biophysics for her support ranging from usage of lyophilizer to technical help in western blot. They just let me do experiment in their laboratory just with a ring.

It was really a pleasant as well as charming experience came near the final year of my PhD to work with Dr Arpita Konar from IGIB and Dr Ashish Khanderiwal IIT, Delhi on a separate project where I worked with cutest Balb/c mice, and then a relationship of guide, guardian, and well-wisher began. For which, credit goes to Mr Vivek Dubey from Department of Biophysics.

Favour from the technicians were also immense namely Dr Priyanka Kumari Gupta, Mr Purosottam Samal for histology and microscopy facility, Mr Sanjeev Beniyal for animal procuring to behavioral recording and consumable purchase. Mr Arvind Pundir from Dr Soumya Iyenger's lab for prompt reply and many technical suggestions using Neurolucida software. Further, Mr Mukesh Ji, Mr Subodh Ji, and Mr Sudhir Ji for taking care of my little cuties in the animal facility of our department. Would like to extend my thanks to Mr Anurag, Mr Girish Ji, Mr Pradeep Ji, Mr Sreepal Ji and other staff from SAIF of Department of Anatomy, AIIMS.

Two names took their own place in the acknowledgement who taught me what is and how to be honest? to one's work and work place? They are Mr Rajender Jha (popular as *Pandit Ji*), house keeping staff of our institute and Mr Anil Ji, security personnel respectively of our institute with his hearty greetings "good morning sir" at 9-9.30pm.

As a neuron does not function without the energy currency similarly without consumables this research work will not be completed therefore, role of vendors and company personals are also appreciated. Among them two were helped me in many difficult times Mr Ramakant Lalwania, Mr Vivek Kumar Singh. Even the support and

suggestions as well as webinars from MBF biosciences was worth mentioning, which help me in sorting out many problems in tracing neuron to quantification of morphometry. I would like to thank Geoff Greene, Timothy Tetreault, Peter Lang from MBF biosciences family for continuous support in the last days of my thesis.

As the whole work dependent on small animals therefore role of all the staff of central animal facility of AIIMS and Chef veterinary surgeon Dr Pradip Kumar Yadav. Even here, I should appreciate all the telephonic discussion with my uncle Dr Avra Kanti Roy, Senior veterinary surgeon.

I am lucky to come across many protocol and faculties whose suggestions and discussions were so impactful that keep my zeal in science. This research is too much indebted to the scientists who shared their ideas to follow for more accurate detailing directly or indirectly. I want to take names of few scientists like Dr Birendra Nath Mallick, Professor, JNU for his protocol on Golgi-Cox staining which is underrated in my opinion. Dr Charles Limoli, Professor, Radiation Oncology School of Medicine, University of California, Irvine campus and his collaborator Dr Vipan K Parihar, Assistant Professor in the same department for helping me out with the NORT protocol. Dr Magnus S Magnusson, director and research professor and Dr Gudberg K Jonsson, research scientist in human behavior laboratory, University of Iceland, for sharing their algorithm named Theme® with us, and introducing to a new filed of analysis with temporal behavioral data. In addition, would like to thank Cyrille Doux, post-doctoral fellow at Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pennsylvania for teaching me 'Dirichlet distribution' analysis on MWM data in Python. Further two other Scientists whose names I should mention are Prof Neil McNaughton, Department of Psychology, University of Otago and Prof Harry M Steinbusch, University of Maastricht for their support and blessing from the day of introduction in IBRO, Nepal on 2018 and IAN, AIIMS on 2019 respectively.

Words are less to thank my parents and grandparents. I am grateful to nature/ almighty to be your naughty kid. You were the constant source of liveliness during all the time I spent in Delhi lonely, focused working as a novice to this vast field of science. In addition, this was more prominent when I shifted to Delhi. Mom is really an exceptional woman holding such all of our family together and dad I beholden to the decisions you took and kept faith in me that I chose what I wanted to do genuinely

in physiology discipline. It really means a lot because where other students had to

fight for their choices I got you by my side to do that. My grandparent named me and

my other brothers as alpha, beta, gamma, which remind me that how he was keen to

be in science not in other field, keeping the mind open to knowledge. Also I want to

extend my acknowledgement to Dr Kanika Bhattacharya and her family.

We generally forgot to thank but as I genuinely appreciate the idea that it

would not be possible for any researchers to continue doing what they are doing

without funding and money therefore support initially from AIIMS and later on from

ICMR in the present thesis work is much appreciated. Also wanted to thank all the

taxpayer of this lovely countrymen of mine, we really nothing without your

contribution.

What to say about my cute, naughty rats you are awesome I acknowledge their

sacrifice in this study as well as science. Lastly, I want to mention name of one inert

object that is 'laboratory key', which is the key to the access to all experimental

instruments and many sleepless brainstorming night in my laboratory.

Avishek Roy

M.Sc. (Human Physiology)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
2.1. LEARNING AND MEMORY AND I	TS TYPE 5
2.2.1. TYPES OF LEARNING:	6
2.2.1.1. Associative Learning	6
2.2.1.2. Classical Conditioning	6
2.2.1.3. Operant conditioning	7
2.2.1.4. Non-associative learning	7
2.2.1.5. Habituation	7
2.2.1.6. Sensitization	8
2.2.2. TYPES OF MEMORY:	8
2.2.2.1. Sensory memory	8
2.2.2.2. Motor memory	8
2.2.2.3. Short-term memory	9
2.2.2.4. Long-term memory	9
2.3. Phases of memory consolidation:	10
2.4. Assessment procedures for learning ar	nd memory: 14
2.4.1. Assessment methods in animals	15
2.4.1.1. Morris water maze:	15
2.4.1.2. One trial object recognition task:	16
2.4.1.3. Avoidance task:	17
2.4.1.4. Elevated plus maze:	17
2.5. Brain areas associated with learning an	nd memory and their role
in various phases of consolidation:	17
2.5.1. Hippocampus proper:	18
2.5.2. Subicular complex:	21
2.5.3. Dentate gyrus:	23
2.5.4. Cells and its functions in entorhinal	cortex: 26
2.6. What happens to the learning and men	nory with age? 28
2.6.1. Case studies and seminal works on h	numans: 29
2.6.2. Theories of memory and ageing:	30

	2.6.2.1. Speed of processing:	30
	2.6.2.2. The processing resource model:	31
	2.6.2.3. Contextual supports:	31
	2.6.2.4. Inhibitory theory of memory deficits with age:	31
	2.6.3. Changes with ageing:	32
	2.6.3.1. Anatomical changes:	32
	2.6.3.2. Cognitive Changes:	33
	2.6.3.3. Genes that affects ageing:	33
	2.6.3.4. Inflamm-aging:	34
	2.7. Overlap between ageing and neurodegeneration:	35
	2.8. Commonest form of age related neurodegeneration: Alzheimer's	
	disease	37
	2.8.1. Pathophysiology:	37
	2.9. Animal Models for Alzheimer's disease:	38
	2.9.1. Central administration of streptozotocin as an animal model of	
	sporadic AD:	39
	2.10. Rationale of choosing the animal model:	41
	2.10.1. Brain insulin resistance leads to Sporadic Alzheimer's disease	41
	2.11. Proposed therapeutic intervention of Alzheimer's disease:	42
	2.11.1. Cholinesterase inhibitors:	42
	2.11.2. NMDA antagonist:	43
	2.11.3. NSAIDS	43
	2.11.4. Aβ targeted drugs:	43
	2.11.5. Nanomedicines:	43
	2.11.6. Disease modifying drugs:	43
	2.11.7. Insulin resistance drug:	43
	2.11.8. Repurposed agents:	44
	2.11.9. Cognitive rehabilitation:	44
	2.11.10. Magnetic stimulation:	44
	2.12. Effect of Magnetic field in Alzheimer's disease:	44
	Lacunae:	46
3. AIN	Л:	47
	3.1. Objectives	47

4. MATERIALS:	48
4.1. Animals	48
4.1.1 Rationale for using rats as experimental subjects	48
4.1.2 Subjects	48
4.1.3 Ethical consideration:	49
4.2. Instruments and equipments	50
4.2.1 Surgical equipment	50
4.2.3.1. Equipment for the assessment of cognitive behaviour:	53
4.2.3.2. Equipment for the assessment of anxious behaviour:	57
4.2.4 Equipment for Histology	58
4.3. Equipment for electron microscopy	62
4.4. Equipments used for NMR spectroscopy	64
4.5 Equipments used for biochemical assay and ELISA	65
5. Methods:	72
5.1. Introduction	72
5.2. Animal and groups:	73
Group I (Control):	74
Group II (Sham operated):	74
Group III (AD):	74
Group IV (Sham+MF):	74
Group V (AD+MF):	74
5.3. Randomization and rat allocation in the groups	74
5.4. Surgery	75
5.5. Preparation of artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) solution	77
5.6. Electromagnetic field stimulation protocol	77
5.7. Behavioral Paradigms	78
5.7.1.Morris water maze (MWM):	78
5.7.2. One Trial Object Recognition Test (OTORT):	79
5.7.3. Passive avoidance step down test (PASD):	80
5.7.4. Elevated Plus Maze (EPM):	80
5.8. Histology for morphological assessment	81
5.8.1. Tissue harvestation for histology and immune staining	81
5.8.2. Cryo-sectioning of tissue sample and glass slide preparation	81
5.8.3. Cresyl violet staining for cytoarchitecture	82

5.8.4. Congo-Red staining for Aβ plaque	82
5.8.5. Golgi-Cox staining for dendritic arborisation	83
5.8.6. Immunostaining general protocol	85
5.8.7. Workflow used for counting of signals as well as measurement	
of viable soma area	86
5.9. Biochemical and neurochemical analysis for oxidative stressor	
and ELISA	88
5.9.1. Serum isolation from whole blood	88
5.9.2. hippocampal and frontal cortex isolation and tissue preparation	88
5.9.3. Lipid peroxidation level:	89
5.9.4. GSH level:	90
5.9.5. Superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity:	91
5.9.6. Catalase assay:	91
5.9.7. Glutathione peroxidase (GPX) activity:	91
5.9.8. Hydrogen peroxidase activity:	91
5.9.9. 8-Oxo-2'-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG) level:	92
5.10. Neurotransmitter and related metabolite measurement	92
5.10.1. Acetylcholine (ACh) measurement:	92
5.10.2. Acetylcholine esterase (AChE) concentration measurement:	93
5.10.3. Serotonin (5-HT) measurement:	93
5.10.4. Amyloid β (A β)1-42 measurement in serum:	94
5.10.5. Ca2+/ Calmodulin dependent protein kinase II (CAMKII)	
measurement:	94
5.10.6. Apo-lipoprotein ε4 (APOε4) measurement:	95
5.11. 1H NMR spectroscopy	96
5.11.1. Sample preparation for 1H NMR spectroscopy	96
5.11.2. Standardization of the relaxation delay in 1H NMR	
spectroscopy	97
5.11.3. Data analysis algorithm for 1H NMR spectrums	98
5.11.4. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy for metabolites	
in the frontal cortex as well as hippocampus	100
5.11.5. Statistical models used in the study	105

5. RESULTS	106
5.1. Introduction	106
5.1.A. Results of Study Regime I:	107
Standardization of i.c.v. streptozotocin induced AD rat model	107
5.2. Behavioural analyses	107
5.2.1. morris water maze 107	
5.2.2. Novel Object Recognition test	114
5.2.3. Elevated Plus Maze (EPM) analysis	118
5.2.4. Passive Avoidance Step-Down (PASD) task analysis	121
5.2.5. Cytoarchitecture of the CA1 and CA3 subfields of hippocampus	
on 60th day	124
5.2.6. Analysis of congophilic substance in hippocampus on 60th day	128
5.2.7. Detection of brain metabolism and mitochondrial oxidative	
phosphorylation	130
5.3. Recruitment of animals for objective 2 and 3	133
5.3.1. Effect of low intensity electromagnetic field on the functional	
deficits in STZ rat model of sAD	133
5.3.1.1. Magnetic field stimulation effect on spatial memory	133
5.3.1.2 Effect of magnetic field intervention on Recognition memory	144
5.3.1.3 Effect of magnetic field on anxiety after i.c.v. STZ injection	153
5.3.1.4 Effect of magnetic field on avoidance memory in AD	160
5.3.2 Histological changes after magnetic field treatment	167
5.3.2.1 Cytoarchitecture of hippocampal subfields	167
5.3.2.2 Detection of Amyloid plaque on 60th day	172
5.3.2.3 Dendritic arborization and neural morphometry of CA1 pyramic	lal
cells of hippocampus	174
5.3.2.4.1 Dendritic arborization of CA1 cells on 30th day	174
5.3.2.4.2 Dendritic arborization of CA1 neuron on 60th day	186
5.3.2.4.3 Comparison of CA1 neural arborization between 30th and	
60th day	196
5.3.3 Subcellular localization of protein markers	198
5.3.3.1 Hyperphosphorylation of serine 356 moiety in Tau protein of	
CA1 and CA3 subfield of animals	198
5.3.3.2 Microglial activation of CA1 and CA3 subfield of animals	204

210
216
220
226
226
226
231
236
241
242
247
252
254
254
257
260
263
266
270
270
275
280
285
290
292
294
297
297
299
301
305

Ultrastructure of hippocampus of AD+MF group:	309
6. SUMMARY OF RESULTS	313
6.1. Standardization and validation of disease model	313
6.2. Effect of ELF-MF treatment in rat model of sporadic AD	314
7. DISCUSSION	318
7.1. Effect of i.c.v. STZ injection	323
A. Effect of i.c.v STZ injection on cognitive function of rats	323
B. Effect of i.c.v. STZ injection on anxiety of rats	325
C. Effect of i.c.v. STZ injection on cytoarchitecture of hippocampus	326
D. Effect of i.c.v STZ injection on amyloidopathy and energy	
metabolism	327
7.2 Effect of extremely low frequency magnetic field stimulation	
on i.c.v STZ injected rats	328
i. Effect of extremely low frequency magnetic field on cognitive	
functions	328
ii. Effect of extremely low frequency magnetic field on anxiety	330
iii. Effect of extremely low frequency magnetic field stimulation on	
cytoarchitecture and dendritic arborization in hippocampus of AD rat	331
iv. Effect of low frequency magnetic field stimulation on subcellular	
localization of proteins associated with AD	332
v. Effect of low frequency magnetic field stimulation on oxidative	
stressors in frontal cortex, hippocampus and serum samples	334
vi. Effect of low frequency magnetic field stimulation on tissue	
biomarkers and neurotransmitter levels in hippocampus and frontal co	ortex 335
vii. Effect of low frequency magnetic field stimulation on the serum	
biomarkers	337
viii. Effect of low frequency magnetic field stimulation on the	
ultrastructure of hippocampus	338
8. SUMMARY	341
8.1.1. Intracerebroventricular STZ injection as an animal model of sA	AD 341
8.1.2. Improvement of cognition and anxiety through simultaneous	
activation of multiple pathways by low frequency magnetic field stim	ıulation
in sAD rat model	341
8.2. Conclusion	343

8.3. Strengths and limitations of the study	343
8.3.1. Strength of the study	343
8.3.2. Limitation of the study	344
8.4. Future prospects	344
9. REFERENCES	345
10. AWARD AND PUBLICATION	i
11. ANNEXURE I	iv
12. ANNEXURE II	v