# Encountering the Stranger through a Soft Robotic Incarnation.

#### Avner Peled.

#### 08/2019

#### Abstract

This thesis is a post-phenomenological investigation into the use of soft robots as mediating bodies between humans that could unfetishise their imaginative figure of the stranger, in an event I call incarnation. Through my own encounters with Palestinians for the purpose of conflict resoluton and through readings of phenomenological and sociological studies, I determine the importance of the physical body, its fleshiness, nonlinearity and organic qualities for the construction of a meaningful and transformative dialog that can free us from stranger fetishism. Furthermore, I investigate the potential of nonhumanoid robotic avatars to liberate the interlocutors from prejudice and identity constraints. I propose the use of soft robots as a medium of reembodidemnt that could factilitate massive scale physical incarnations, creating physical encounters between people who are unable to otherwise meet in person. As a proof-of-concet, a novel method for the production of soft robotic avatars is introduced, capable of bodily haptics, language translation and animalistic emotional expression, accompanied by a web based software platform for an easy development of applications. Using this method, *Hitodama*, a first prototype for a soft robotic avatar is produced. I perform an intial evaluation of the robot in an encounter between people of different cultures, using an example app in which they examine their bias and prejudice of one another. I analyze the results by conducting interviews with the participans, following the principles of interperative phenomenological analysis. Finally the broad potential of artistic craftsmanship to assist in political transformation is debated, reaching the conclusion that a scientific perspective could be applied not only to the creation of the piece, but also to the estimation of its societal effect.

#### Contents

Introduction	2
Manifesto	2
Thesis goals and method	4

Phenomenology of Incarnation	5
Stranger Fetishism and the Circulation of Emotions	5
Flesh and Intercorporeality	9
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	14
-	18
Phenomenology of Re-embodiment	18
3.0	18
G. J. T. T. T. T.	10 19
·	_
Multimodality	19
Mediation chart	19
HITODAMA - Design	20
Related works	20
Previous attempts	20
References	20
Axolotl	20
Kodama	20
Design	20
HITODAMA - Hardware Implementation	20
	$20 \\ 20$
	$\frac{20}{20}$
	20
•	20
	20
	20
WPE Webkit platform	20
Production reflections	20
User test	20
Test application	20
* *	20
*	20
	20
Orthogonal Aesthetics	20
Discussion	20

Conclusion				
	20			
Orthogonal Aesthetics	20			
References	20			

## Introduction

#### Manifesto

"Man has to perform an act of incarnation, for he is dis-embodied (désincarné) by his imagination. What comes to us from Satan is our imagination" (Weil, 2002, p. 54). This intuition by French philosopher and political activist Simone Weil captures the core of this thesis and the underlying motives for the production of *Hitodama* - A soft robot for a mediated incarnation. My guiding principle is, and has always been since I was discharged from my military duty, the use of cutting edge technology for social well-being. Throughout my evolution as a developer, I slowly drifted away from paths of algorithmic rationality and abstract, alienated coding and into questions of meaning and existence. Following my Bachelor's degree in Philosophy of mind and Neurobiology, I have sought ways to transcend my institutionalized role of a programmer and apply the knowledge I have gained to challenge pressing issues of our society, and in particular, my own society in Israel.

Ever since its formation, Israel has been divided between two nations, Jews and Palestinians. Due to the events of the 1967 "six day war", and until this very day, most of the Palestinians reside under Israeli military occupation. Access restrictions enforced by the Israeli government prohibit the crossing of populations between the nations and inhibit the Palestinians' mobility. A 700-kilometerlong concrete barrier surrounds the "Green line" that separates Israel from the West Bank – the area that currently holds the largest population of Palestinians within the former mandatory Palestine that lies between the Mediterranean sea and the Jordan river. While the crossing of Israelis to the other side is, at best, frowned upon, and at worst institutionalized and encouraged in the case of settlements; the Palestinians endure stricter restrictions, not only across the West Bank, but also within it. Multiple checkpoints divide different areas of the land and force the Palestinians to face military control on a daily basis.

Growing up in a middle-class, liberal Israeli family, in Tel-Aviv of the 90s is a self-conflicting experience. The discourse oscillates constantly between hope for peace and prosperity to the despondence of war and carnage. The surrounding scenery changes quickly from a decadent lifestyle of beaches and high tech offices to a life amidst a war zone of missiles and suicide bombings. Throughout these changes, people are constantly immersed in media spectacles of tragedy that provoke our sensation of social unity; a sense that is reinforced by the nation wide military conscription and the omnipresent memory of the holocaust. From an Israeli liberal point of view, the conflict is associated both with guilt and resentment for the injustices toward the Palestinians, but also with necessity and a justification of self-defense against a lethal threat to the body of our nation. As a result of this strange mesh of emotions, one develops a self-contradictory and somewhat post traumatic image of the Palestinians: They represent, on one hand, a source of danger, and on the other, a marginalized and abused population. Their behavior is both condemned and justified, they are both terrorists and freedom fighters; they are to be reconciled with, but could not be

fully trusted.

A phrase transition in my life occurred in the summer of 2012, when I landed on an opportunity to join a two-week conflict resolution workshop in Germany. The initiative, as started by an Israeli and a Palestinian who formed a relationship through mutual work, grouped young adults from both sides, carrying their hopes and doubts, to sit and talk together in one shared space. The participants in fact lived no more than a hundred kilometers away from each other, and yet, they were so infinitely apart in practical terms that the most reasonable place for them to meet was in a separate continent, 4000 kilometers away. Moreover, the two groups could not even meet during the journey to the Germany, because movement restrictions compelled the Palestinians in our group to take a detour through Jordan, rather than taking the shortest path through Israel's airport.

Since the conflict between the nations is very much situated in the land of Israel/Palestine, meeting at a relatively neutral and distant location was also constructive. As noted by Nietzsche (2001, p. 134): "Egoism is the perspectival law of feeling according to which what is closest appears large and heavy, while in the distance everything decreases in size and weight". Albeit Germany plays significant role in the conflict with its great effect on the historical processes that lead to the formation of Israel as the home of the Jewish people, it was, nevertheless, easier for the parties to bridge the gaps without the unnerving presence of soldiers and the affect of this blood-soaked land.

Up until then, the closest encounter I have had with a Palestinian was an occasional crossing with a nearby "Israeli-Arab". This controversial term can be accounted for an attempt to sequester the national aspirations of the Palestinians that ended up under Israeli jurisdiction during the 1948 war for its independence (Peleg & Waxman, 2011, p. 26). To this day, the 1948 war marks both a national holiday for Israelis and a monumental catastrophe, or "al-Nakbah", for Palestinians, due to hundreds of thousands of them being driven out from their homes and becoming refugees in the neighboring countries. As for 1967 Palestinians that found themselves out of Israel's borders after its formation — they are currently living under either siege in Gaza or under military occupation in the West Bank. Naturally, my encounter with them has been strictly imaginative or digital — this was about to be my first physical encounter with the Other.

I remember the anticipation I felt as we arrived, in pouring rain, to our host institution "Jugendakademie Walberberg", a youth center and hostel in a remote village close to Cologne. The Palestinians were already waiting for us at the rendezvous point, waving their national flags, demonstrating unity. Upon meeting the embodied strangers face to face, I experienced what could be described not only as an emotional turmoil, but also to some extent a spiritual event. A vertical motion in which transcendental forces and ideas sedimented within a body, only so that they can once more coil up within themselves, and transcend along their historical contingency to emerge a true found Logos – the voice and ideas of the strangers in their corporeality. The Other was no longer a fetishized stranger, but a verified existence - Weil's intuition of incarnation was validated. After this event, I felt grounded, more open to difficult discussions

and was able to obtain a sense of pain relief. It was as if the corporeal presence of the Palestinian in front of me had untangled and mended short-circuits that existed in my mind, and from then on, everything felt more natural.

At the end of the workshop in Germany, we were asked to think on how we can utilize what we had gained toward the upcoming future. At that moment, I felt a great sense of duty - I was to use my software engineering skills to bring about this event that I experienced to a massive scale, to enable conflict resolution for the masses until a critical point of the nonlinear system that is society is reached, and the democratic process is shifted toward peace-making. I did not consider at first that corporeity as such, is a crucial ingredient for this process. I simply aimed toward a free and expressive virtual encounter between conflicted strangers; whether through games, music, chatting or other forms of online communication. Now, however, I would like to contend that the virtual reality is insufficient for a true determination of history, and for a true transformation of the individual. Through the Merleau-Ponty's phenomenology and post-colonial theories of Sarah Ahmed, I would argue that a biomimetic embodiment is necessary for intersubjective dialectics that determine the style of our social existence. The physical flesh of our subjectivity in time and space, and our physical interaction with the other, demarcates our being in this world and form our social identity. This realization led me to pursue the technological solution for an efficient, mobile and expressive re-embodiment, a techno-flesh; one that can cross borders, separation barriers and facilitate events of incarnation when a physical encounter is not possible. I found the answer in the form of a soft Robotic re-embodiment, a modern-day incarnation, an Avatar for pain relief.

In this thesis, I establish a theorem regarding the importance of embodiment in social transformation; I then ask the question of whether a remote re-embodiment, a techno-flesh, could exhibit the same power for social dialectics as a direct encounter, and if not, how can we bring it as close as possible to the actual thing. I will outline my journey for constructing a telepresent soft robot that can serve as a mediator between subjects in conflict, knowing that I will first and foremost test it in the land of Israel and Palestine, but hoping that it can be applicable to any situation of conflict or other situations of social and physical gaps. The implementation is put to preliminary testing and is evaluated for future work. I invite the reader to join me on this journey of transcendent hope and corporeal suffering.

#### Thesis goals and method

The core question of this thesis is that of technological mediation. Despite the famous saying by post-phenomenoligst Don Ihde that "human activity from immemorial time and across the diversity of cultures has always been technologically embedded" (1990, p. 20), there is a vast spectrum of experiences that branch out of different technologies and different use cases of mediation. The saying is perhaps true in the broad sense of mediation, since we are always perceiving the world through matter, but it is not only the presence of the medium

that matters, but also its style.

In any mediated interaction that is not a direct encounter in a shared physical space, a symmetry break occurs. The two conversing subjects are no longer sharing the same medium of communication as they would be if they were simply standing in front of each other; an experience gap is opened. The conditions of the two interlocutors could be quite similar, for example when two people are having a Skype call through their laptops, but in many other cases the situation can greatly vary, for example when one person is controlling a robot using their phone while the other is physically interacting with that robot. Thusly, if we want to consider the right medium for particular use case, we must look at the experience from two different perspectives: 1) In regards to the receivers communication at any given moment, How do they experience the presence of the transmitter with them in the same space? How much of the other side's corporeality is being perceived through the medium? For our use case, we would like the transmitter be incarnated, such that it could free the receiver of its fetish, prejudices and bias regarding the transmitter's body and identity. This aspect is discussed in the chapter titled "Phenomenology of Incarnation". 2) In regards to transmitters of communication at a given moment, how much do they feel present in the remote location in their flesh? Do they have a sense of agency and ownership of their actions? What are the modalities for communication and do they feel freedom to express their core values? For our use case, the transmitter should feel comfortable discussing more intimate and even conflicted subjects as to generate empathy and obtain relief. This aspect is discussed in the chapter "Phenomenology of re-embodiment".

Once those two aspects are determined, a comparison chart for several choices of mediums will be outlined, listing their advantages and disadvantages for the task, explaining the selection of soft robotic telepresence as the medium of choice. In addition to the phenomenological analysis, more practical aspects such as accessibility and feasibility will be taken into account. With the chosen medium, the soft robotics research for *Hitodama* is presented, outlining the design choices and the production method for head, face, neck and arms along with phenomenological reflections. Finally, the user test is analyzed and the aesthetic implications are discussed.

# Phenomenology of Incarnation

#### Stranger Fetishism and the Circulation of Emotions

We begin with a further investigation of the conditions that led to the above event of incarnation. As noted, prior to the encounter, I had nothing but an image of a Palestinian; the so called *Other*. An image that was fed by media, by conversations, by my own internal processes, none of which originated directly by a Palestinian, co-inhabiting my own time and space. I have developed a milieu of emotions toward this image that were by definition generalized and prejudiced, since they were oriented toward an abstract entity, a generalized

identity. Moreover, these emotions tended to be posited on more extreme ends of the emotional spectrum, either being strongly negative or strongly positive.

This phenomenon is symptomatic to what is described by Sara Ahmed as "Stranger Fetishism". In her book *Strange encounters* she defines it as "a fetishism of figures: it invests the figure of the stranger with a life of its own insofar as it cuts 'the stranger' off from the histories of its determination." (Ahmed, 2000, p. 5). The epistemological gap of the stranger in its corporeality leaves an opening for an over-representation; a figure of our imagination that we endow with deep emotional value. Ahmed borrows the term "fetishism", both from a Marxist perspective of "commodity fetishism" and from a Freudian perspective of a phantasmic substitution.

Let us first examine the Marxist perspective. In Capital: Vol I, Marx explains his notion of commodity fetishism (Marx, 2015, p. 47). The fetishism lies in the Bourgeois's inability to discriminate between the commodity item's inherent and physical history - such as labor time, materiality and use, and its abstract value that is determined by the social relations of exchange: "A commodity is therefore a mysterious thing, simply because in it the social character of men's labour appears to them as an objective character stamped upon the product of that labour" (Marx, 2015, p. 47). When we assign a monetary value to a certain item, we in fact conceal the fact that this value is a result of a social and historical process, and it appears as if the value is an objective property of that item. Ahmed equates this process to the fetishization of the figure of the stranger. So in this case, the 'commodity object' that is tied to a material basis would be a corporeal person of some social identity, while the value that we assign to it is the abstract figure of the stranger. Much in the same way, we are prone to assign our prejudices, that are a product of social exchange, as actual qualities of a person. (TODO: Something about reification)

The Marxist analogy becomes even more interesting when we examine the economical circulation of emotions that are associated with the stranger. In her later book, Cultural Politics of Emotion, Ahmed identifies a relation between the circulation of abstract monetary value during commerce and the circulation of affect involving strangers in society (Ahmed, 2014, p. 45). In his discussion about the general formula of capital (Marx, 2015, p. 104), Marx explains the importance of the transition from the more simple form of trade: Commodity-Money-Commodity (C-M-C), to the more modern form of Money-Commodity-Money (M-C-M). In the former, more simple form, money is used only as an abstract mediator between two objects that are grounded in their materiality and use-value. For example one would sell their produced crop of corn to obtain money, which would then be used to buy cloths. However, in the latter form of M-C-M, the accumulation of abstract value is the end goal of the transaction, and since the only use of the money is to further accrue it, the process is endless. Thus, value, or circulated capital "suddenly presents itself as an independent substance, endowed with a motion of its own, passing through a life-substance of its own, in which money and commodities are mere forms forms which it assumes and casts off in turn" (Marx, 2015, p. 107). The same effect, Ahmed suggests, occurs when the imaginative figure of the stranger, and its associated

emotions are circulated throughout society. Ahmed provides as an example the discourse surrounding asylum seekers in the UK. Leaders of the conservative party have created a frightening image of the asylum seeker, one that is not only "flooding" and "swamping" the nation, but is also disingenuous in their intention; faking the need for asylum in order to be able to reside in the country. This discourse is passed around, from speech to speech, from media report to office conversations, all while breathing life into the imaginative figure and accumulating affect, intensifying the associated negative emotions. The reason for this intensification is exactly that which enables the accumulation of capital; its disassociation with anything physical that can set its bounds. According to Ahmed "The impossibility of reducing hate to a particular body allows hate to circulate in an economic sense, working to differentiate some others from other others, a differentiation that is never 'over', as it awaits others who have not yet arrived. Such a discourse of 'waiting for the bogus' is what justifies the repetition of violence against the bodies of others in the name of protecting the nation" (Ahmed, 2014, p. 47). She notes that her analogy to Marx is limited, since her "argument does not respect the important Marxian distinction between use value and exchange value" (Ahmed, 2014, p. 45)", yet it is imperative to recognize the metaphysical similarity between the two notions of circulation. In both, a lack of access to the worldly flesh of things, whether they are a stranger or a commodity, drives the emergence of an abstract image. The circulation of the image in society only intensifies its perceived reality, and the powers the projection of strong emotions toward that image. Once an individual of the targeted group, let us say a Muslim asylum seeker, is encountered, whether through media or in person, an event occurs which Ahmed refers to as"the 'sticking' of signs to bodies" (Ahmed, 2014, p. 13). The accumulated imaginary value that was intensified by circulation sticks to the body of the stranger and appears as if it is an inherent quality of that body.

The discussion on projection and stickiness leads us to the Freudian view of fetishism. Ahmed suggests that the "process of fetishisation involves, not only the displacement of social relations onto an object, but the transformation of fantasies into figures" (Ahmed, 2000, p. 5), she slightly backtracks in the corresponding footnote (Ahmed, 2000, p. 182), arguing that the Freudian model is less suitable, since it privileges the phallus (or lack thereof in women), as that which is being concealed and substituted by the fetishized object. It is however important to note that in Freud's account for fetishism, it is described as "habitually present in normal love, especially in those stages of it in which the normal sexual aim seems unattainable or its fulfilment prevented" (Freud, 1953, p. 154). Once more is the tendency to ascribe emotional value to an object, as a substitution for a physical state that is non-accessible. We can observe a similar notion at the other end of the emotional spectrum when dealing with the "uncanny". The term was first explored psychologically by Ernst Jentsch (1997), defined as an uneasy feeling of "psychical uncertainty" (Jentsch, 1997, p. 5) that arises when something unknown and foreign is encountered in correlation to something old and familiar, for example when we are uncertain if a certain character is a human person or an automaton. Freud expanded on that notion, claiming that the "uncanny is in reality nothing new or alien, but something which is familiar and old-established in the mind and which has become alienated from it only through the process of repression", citing examples of repressed phenomena such as "animism, magic and sorcery, the omnipotence of thoughts, man's attitude to death, involuntary repetition and the castration complex' (Freud, 1955, pp. 241–242). Nevertheless, there is a resemblance between the uncanny and fetishism. In both cases, a certain inaccessibility to the true nature of an object, a certain gap, serves as an incubator for repressed desires or fears toward that object. The conviction that positive and negative emotions are in many occasions interchangeable is also supported by Ahmed (2014, p. 50). She defines hate as a form of intimacy that is in fact predicated by love, citing Gordon W. Allport's classic account The Nature of Prejudice: "a symbiosis and a loving relation always precede hate. There can, in fact, be no hatred until there has been long-continued frustration and disappointment" (Allport, 1954, p. 215). This is all the more supported by neuropsychological studies such as one performed by Zeki and Romaya (2008), showing that hate and love share overlapping neuropsychological mechanisms.

Whether it is fear, love, hate or any other emotion, the impossibility of truly knowing a subject may lead to figure abstraction and ultimately to fetishisation: an emotionally attached relation between us and an imaginative figure. Such a relation, as any highly emotional attachment, is prone to addiction and obsessiveness. Moreover, as we've seen, the figure can gain a life of its own and intensify once it takes part in social circulation. Surly, this effect is not simply triggered on any subject that is only partially known; it requires a starting point, an initial value, a birth of a figure. It could be a reported incident involving an asylum seeker, or a mysterious encounter with an attractive individual. If out relation to the abstract figure would remain in the transcendent realm, it wouldn't have been a major cause for concern, but the relation is naturally cast back into a living subject, resulting in peculiar behavior at best and violence at worst. It remains to be asked, how does one "truly" know a subject? How do we "unfetishise" the stranger? As Marx's example suggests, there may exist a pivotal role to physicality, to corporeality. Thinking about today's virtual and digital methods of social interaction, how does a typical WhatsApp conversation contribute to social relations? It is well agreed upon (TODO: **REF**), that indications of the users' status such as "typing" or "seen message", create mystery and thus only incubate the fetishized figure, not to mention more enigmatic ways of knowing individuals such as through their social feeds. Yet it seems that even a direct Skype conversation is somewhat lacking (TODO: REF?). The exact cause is elusive, and perhaps only manifests unconsciously, but a virtual encounter always leaves some room for interpretations regarding individuals and our relation to them. Is it body language that we are missing? Some nuance of speech that is not transmitted accurately over the fiber optic cables? Or is it something even more fundamental? To illuminate the significance of the intercorporeal interaction, we turn to the phenomenology of Maurice Merleau-Ponty.

## Flesh and Intercorporeality

How is the physical different from the virtual? How is the real different from the imaginary? These kind of questions quickly evolve into the most fundamental questions of being and Consciousness. Queries that have troubled man-kind in both east and west since the beginning of history. However, we are not simply looking for any perspective on the meaning of life and existence; we are looking for one that bestows special status to the physicality and embodiment of the human connection. One that investigates into the corporeality of the Other as a medium and a foundation of affect and knowledge, that incorporates the Other into Being. There is no better place to look than the phenomenology of Maurice Merleau-Ponty.

Phenomenology is a discipline of philosophy that is firstly attributed to Edmund Husserl, but spans a wide range of thinkers and methods (Smith, 2018). Husserl defines it as a "science of essence", pertaining to the a priori intuitions of consciousness and experience (Husserl, 1982, p. XXII). The discipline of phenomenology can be seen as a historical development which stems from the intuitions of the greatest thinkers of western philosophy such as Descartes and Kant; the intuition that if we are to know anything about the world, it has to start with our conscious experience and its intuitive structures. Merleau-Ponty joins this project with his most widely acclaimed work *Phenomenology* of Perception (Merleau-Ponty, 2013). In this work he reminds us that any pure conscious experience is nevertheless an act of the body, that perception is a bi-directional interaction of our body with the world, inhabiting time and space. This implies that "learning", and even "thinking" are all intentional bodily actions upon the world, and should be investigated as such. According to Merleau-Ponty, insofar as we develop as a body, the range of possibilities is gradually "sedimented" into our physiology, and we become habituated, adjusted to the world that surrounds us. This is not a solipsistic act, since we always co-develop our social identity in concordance with other inhabitants of the world. Despite being written in the 1940s, this approach is relevant today even in regards to modern neuroscience, especially to researchers that inspect the brain as a self-organized non-linear dynamic system that dissipates into the open environment. One of those researches was Walter J. Freeman, a scientist of Neurodynamics. In his book How Brains Make Up their Mind, Freeman argued that the brain operates as a self-organized complex system of nerve cells, that is arranging its "attractor landscape" in concordance with the outside world. Input from the outside environment triggers this dynamic system into a trajectory of patterns that determines our behavior. Freeman cites Merleau-Ponty numerous times, asserting his intuition of bodily perception and assimilation of the world's structures. (Freeman, 2000, pp. 125–127). An even more radical scientific manifestation of Merleau-Ponty's theories can be found in theories of Quantum Neurodynamics, that portray the brain as a dissipative quantum field that melds with the external world. Quantum neuroscience researcher Giuseppe Vitiello refers to the "openness of the brain to the external world" as dissipation (Vitiello, 2007); Merleau-Ponty uses very similar phrasings in his theories, maintaining his focus on the entire body, rather than just the brain. Such thought is linked to even more modern theories, for example regarding the roles that gut microbes play in shaping our behavior (Dinan, Stilling, Stanton, & Cryan, 2015). The intuitive investigations of Merleau-Ponty are proven to be scientifically sound even decades after they were written. (TODO: Write about Enactivism).

In final published works of Merleau-Ponty: The visible and the Invisible (1968), a work that is incomplete due to his untimely death at the age of 53 and was published posthumously, and Eye and Mind (1964, p. 159), an essay about aesthetics and metaphysics, Merleau-Ponty starts laying down his own ontology - the ontology of flesh. He critiques previous ontological interrogations of existence and begins to divulges his own theory, placing a great emphasis on how our relationship with the *Other* is crucial to our understanding of metaphysics; after-all, it is the basis for our existence as social beings. The underlying question, however, is still one of knowing. What exists? What is true? How do we make the logical leap from being immersed in a vivid experience of life, to devising positive facts about our world, ourselves and others? Merleau is critical of "philosophies of reflection", primarily referring to those of Descartes and Kant that could be considered as forms of Idealism. The proverbial Cogito - "I think there for I am", intuitively shifts our attention to the world of thought. We cannot directly know and access the world outside of our body, except through the mediator of thought. Through reflection and logical analysis, we deduce whether our experience is that of perceiving the real outside world, or that of imagining. By examining the consistency of change in our inner world, in relation to our actions and our body, we assert the geometrical rules of the world. Our interaction with the world is passive; we consume light that is coming from things, and organize it according to our logical structures. What does that suggest regarding our relation to others? "If then the others are thoughts, as such they are not behind their body which I see — they are, like myself, nowhere; they are, like myself, coextensive with being, and there is no problem of incarnation". Reflection is thus "the simple transposition of the incarnate subject into a transcendental subject and of the reality of the world into an ideality' (Merleau-Ponty et al., 1968, p. 31). Hence, intuitively we disregard the others in their physicality: "there is no intermundane space, there is only a signification 'world'" (Merleau-Ponty et al., 1968, p. 53). In Eye and Mind Merleau-Ponty argues that painting is the ultimate manifestation of Being in all its depth. A Cartesian view of vision however, is insufficient in its understanding of the world around us: "A Cartesian does not see himself in the mirror; he sees a dummy, an 'outside,' which, he has every reason to believe, other people see in the very same way but which, no more for himself than for others, is not a body in the flesh." (1964, p. 170). From these writings it is clear that this form of idealism is perilous, because it encourages the reduction of individuals to thoughts, of actions to signs and of bodies to images. Such a view may lead Sarah Ahmed's notion of fetishisation of the Other.

Idealism has another fundamental flaw in its logic, and that is its circularity - the fact that the rules of perception and the relation between subject and object,

are defined using the same logical constructs that they induce. How could we formulate the relation between ideas and things, when all we have is our pure experience that has no inherent logic of subject and object? What is the primal a priori basis for the notion of space? Who is the circumscribed "I" that thinks therefore it exists? These logical loops sprouted other philosophies of experience, such as Being and Nothingness, the title of Jean Paul Sartre's essay on ontology (Sartre, 2012). Sartre recognizes that our subjective experience is in fact all there is, this *Being* that we endure encompasses everything and leaves no room for a subject or object. What then remains to our subjectivity is merely a vessel for Being - a Nothing, a negation of everything. We are solely a "a fissure that deepens in the exact measure that it is filled" (Merleau-Ponty et al., 1968, p. 53). Sartre states that "man is the being through whom nothingness comes to the world" (Sartre, 2012, p. 24), referring in fact to our freedom of choice. The ability of the human consciousness to create a distance from the totality of Being, to "secrete a nothingness which isolates it" (Sartre, 2012, p. 24) and to act for itself, is Sartre's idea of freedom. Nevertheless, Merleau-Ponty reminds us that our concrete body, our thoughts, our subjectiveness, those are all still parts of a Being that lies at a close distance to the nullified self. Where, then, is the place of the Other in such Being? It is clear that if Being is everything, then my body and situation share the same Being as the Other's body and situation, an intermundane space is emerging. But more than a cooperation, the interaction with the Other is a vortex that drains into my nothingness: "The experience of the other's gaze upon me only prolongs my inward conviction of being nothing, of living only as a parasite on the world, of inhabiting a body and situation". (Merleau-Ponty et al., 1968, p. 62). The Other is there, reaching me, touching me, but I cannot reach its essence, it is transcendent. For me, there is only one nothingness and that is my own, my own freedom. I can only view the Other as a superficial clone of myself. This type of analysis, Merleau-Ponty states, "makes of the other an anonymous, faceless obsession, an other in general" (Merleau-Ponty et al., 1968, p. 72). As explained by Jack Reynolds (2014, p. 134), Sartre is accused of ignoring "the way in which otherness is always intertwined with subjectivity". This inscrutable gap between the Other's will and the flesh of the world pushes us once again into the chasm of fetishism. In one of the footnotes in The Visible and the Invisible (Merleau-Ponty et al., 1968, p. 81), Merleau-Ponty notes a more general problem in what he names "philosophies of the negative", such as the one posed by Sartre: they tend to refer to to the problem of the other and not an other; "a non-I in general". This generality is exactly the type of abstraction that Sarah Ahmed is problematizing in her work. The connecting thread between Stranger Fetishism and the philosophical critique of Merleau-Ponty is our ability to view the *Other* as a subjectivity in the flesh, as a body whose consciousness is interconnected to our body, perhaps only then we can truly respect the other's alterity, inspect its nuances and perceive our interactions without prejudice. Merleau-Ponty defines this as intercorporeality".

The final chapter in *The Visible and the Invisible*, titled *The Intertwining-The Chiasm*, is Merleau-Ponty's last and only attempt to devise a positive ontology of the world, the ontology of *flesh*. Albeit the thoughts end abruptly, this

chapter and its surrounding notes and essays lay a foundation to a vast array of contemporary philosophy. Ironically, as a prerequisite to the ontology Merleau-Ponty asks us to give away our primal need for absolute logical truth, for a thesis. To retreat the Bird's eye view of idealized logic, to live in the moment. Any attempt for logical truth would be sucked into an enveloping Being, leaving only a void Nothingness at the core. Instead, he proposes a dialectic that is an endless interrogation, void of significations "We are not asking ourselves if the world exists; we are asking what it is for it to exist" (Merleau-Ponty et al., 1968, p. 96). It is futile to try and freeze the notion of Being, because we are an inseparable part of it. The world that we are trying to resolve is perceived only by us, a body that is part of that world. "The effective, present, ultimate and primary being...offer themselves therefore only to someone who wishes not to have them but to see them, not to hold them as with forceps, or to immobilize them as under the objective of a microscope, but to let them be and to witness their continued being" (1968, p. 101).

Our recognition of the world stems from the fact that we are of it, the seer is also visible. Our body perceives under the same rules that the universe operates, all made of the same flesh. Of course, we maintain our invisible state, our private experience of colors, sounds and feelings, but that experience is directly attached to the same flesh, and is a direct result of our body's openness to the world. Then, every action we take or idea we conceive is a physical response of our body to the world. The conception of an idea is nothing but "coiling up or redoubling" (1968, p. 114) of the bodily experience. It is out body sensing, then modifying itself, learning something new, only to once again open up and interact with the physical world, whether it is by speaking out or any other act. This is a somewhat of a general abstraction to John Dewey's "Learning by Doing" (1923): According to the theory of flesh, even reading a book would be an active physical movement upon the world in which our eyes touch the pages of the book as we sense reactive force with our entire body: "between my body looked at and my body looking, my body touched and my body touching, there is overlapping or encroachment, so that we must say that the things pass into us as well we into the things" (Merleau-Ponty et al., 1968, p. 123). Could an increased exertion of the body lead to an increased capacity of learning? A 2012 study by the Finnish national board of education reviewed the recent research on the subject and concluded that this is indeed the case, noting that "motor and cognitive skills would appear to develop hand in hand, because the same mechanisms of the central nervous system are responsible for controlling both motor and cognitive skills in parallel" (Syväoja et al., 2012). We are interested, however, not only in the learning and assimilation of simple facts such as Newton's law of mechanics, but also in the internalization of social meanings such as the image of the stranger or the acquisition of new behavioral patterns in society.

For Merleau-Ponty, our interaction with another human being is what validates our existence in this world, in the *flesh*. It provides us the recognition that we are visible as much as we are seeing. This recognition is based, according to Merleau-Ponty on a primordial intuition that we all all of the same *flesh* in the

same universe, that our actions are undeniably seen by another as much they are seen by us. He makes the bold statement that the subjective experience of another is not completely hidden from us, because it is physically manifested in our shared space: "it suffices that I look at a landscape, that I speak of it with someone. Then, through the concordant operation of his body and my own, what I see passes into him, this individual green of the meadow under my eyes invades his vision without quitting my own, I recognize in my green his green" (Merleau-Ponty et al., 1968, p. 142). This recognition opens before us the entire universe of intersubjective being, since by transitivity we are all seen and touched by one another: "What is open to us, therefore, with the reversibility of the visible and the tangible, is— if not yet the incorporeal— at least an intercorporeal being, a presumptive domain of the visible and the tangible, which extends further than the things I touch and see at present." (Merleau-Ponty et al., 1968, p. 143).

This conviction could be interpreted in a weaker or a stronger sense. In the weaker sense, it lays the foundation to social aspects of contemporary cognitive science disciplines such as enactivism and embodied cognition, as well as philosophical concepts as Performativity. Research in those fields asserts that we define and express our social identity through bodily interactions with others - Through acting, reenacting and resonating to the physical actions of others. For example, the phenomenon of *mirror neurons*, although much more complex than the popularized interpretation of its name, is still being researched today and is exemplifying models of how the perception of bodily actions by another resonates within the correlating areas of our own motor cortex (Craighero, 2014). Another notable example comes from a phenomenon known as social rhythmic entrainment - It describes how people bond through synchronized movements, whether they are dancing together to the rhythm of music or or even walking at the same pace (Stupacher, Wood, & Witte, 2017). In the stronger sense, however, intercorporeality is not only our social, epistemic backbone, but our metaphysical one as well. It is constitutive to our sense of existence and our faculty of perceiving reality. This notion might explain why losing our social meaning may feel like a violation of our own existence. It also deepens role of physicality in our own subject of matter, the encounter with the fetishized stranger. If the bodily interaction with another is so significant that it reaches into the core of our being, it must be necessary for any kind of transformation to occur in our belief systems. In an interview with Dr. Yael Berda, an Israeli sociologist and political activist who focuses her work on the intrinsic social mechanisms of the West Bank occupation, she described her experience of crossing the separation barrier (Litman, 2018). According to Dr Berda, in order to relieve ourselves from our instinctive fear of the Palestinians, we must undergo a physical experience of crossing to the other side, because the fear is in the body. This sentiment is affirmed by Sarah Ahmed, who analyzes fear as dynamics of shrinkage and expansion of bodies: "fear works to restrict some bodies through the movement or expansion of others." (Ahmed, 2014, p. 69). For Ahmed, fear has an element of demarcation, effectively determining which bodies pose a threat and which bodies are under threat. It is then no surprise

that Dr Berda, and myself included experienced such a transformation and relief simply through physical movement. The movement in itself was an act of liberation.

Now that we have determined the importance of corporeity for a transformative and meaningful social encounter, we must ask the question of whether an event of incarnation, i.e the physical grounding of an encounter with a body that previously existed only as a fetishized image of the stranger, can be mediated using technology. Such a mediation would clearly cause attenuation - A reduction and an abstraction of the subject's corporeality into a different set of signals. On the flip side, it would allow us to increase the accessibility of encounters, to perform them on larger scales and perhaps even to grant more freedom to the interlocutors as a result of the mediating layer serving as a protective shield. In essence, we wish to try and replicate as much as possible of Merleau-Ponty's concept of flesh when it is mediated by technology. The term techno-flesh was coined by Peter-Paul Verbeek, one of the pioneers of postphenomenology, as part a keynote he presented at Tel Aviv university (Verbeek, 2016). Postphenomenology, a discipline originally founded by American philosopher Don Ihde, seeks to explore the relations between humans and technology from a phenomenological perspective. We would turn our focus now to research in this field that may help in our quest for achieving techno-flesh.

## Postphenomenology and techno flesh

In a work by Aud Sissel Hoel and Annamaria Carusi Merleau-Ponty's writings are analyzed in an attempt to extract his views on technology in relation to his ontology of flesh (Rosenberger & Verbeek, 2015, p. 73). According to Hoel and Carusi, the basis for Merleau-Ponty's view on technology lies within his general critique of what he refers to as an operationalist view on science, as outlined in "Eye and Mind" (Merleau-Ponty, 1964). Merleau-Ponty denotes the mistake in viewing the products of science as a representational reality, external to the things themselves and isolated from the bodily perceptive processes that conceived them. He compares that to painting, which he asserts is a direct manifestation of our intertwined experience of perception. The incorrect view on science is traced back to Descartes "whose theory of vision fails to recognize the internal complicity between vision and world" (Rosenberger & Verbeek, 2015, p. 78). However, Merleau-Ponty does not criticize Descartes' theory of a virtual mathematical space in itself, but only the operational way of thinking about that space as an ontological truth. His solution through flesh, according to Hoel and Carusi, is what differentiates the theory postulated in *Phenomenology of Per*ception and his later writings. In Phenomenology of Perception Merleau-Ponty articulated the role of the body in raw sensory perception, but was unable to account for the creation of conceptual meanings, leaving us with a withstanding dualism of body and thought. With flesh, however, ideas, concepts, perception and matter are all of acting under the same fleshy medium. For this, Hoel and Carusi have "coined the term the "measuring body" to emphasize the "in-eachotherness" (Ineinander) of the material and ideational aspects of mediation" (Rosenberger & Verbeek, 2015, p. 79). A measuring body is anything that participates in a system of interaction in the common space of our universe. Since it operates within the same flesh, it exhibits what Merleau-Ponty refers to as a general style of being (Merleau-Ponty et al., 1968, p. 109) that can be recognized by any and intertwined with any fleshy agency. This includes both perception and ideation, but it is accentuated that in accordance with Jakob von Uexküll's notion of *Umwelt* (Von Uexküll, 1982), one that was also favored my Merleau-Ponty, each measuring body exists operates and transforms under its own world of meaning while still participating as a part of a bigger whole *interworld* (Hoel & Carusi, 2018, p. 16). The metaphor of *circuit* is also used to describe the "space of mutual and co-constitutive interactions" (Hoel & Carusi, 2018, p. 11).

How do tools and technologies participate in this fleshy circuit? Hoel and Carusi refer us to Merleau-Ponty's discussion on 'technical objects' in Eye and Mind (Hoel & Carusi, 2018, p. 20). While Merleau-Ponty's discussion focuses on the mediating and reflexive properties of paintings, he mentions them to be at the same category of tools and other techniques of the body that "outline and amplify the metaphysical structure of our flesh" (Merleau-Ponty, 1964, p. 168). For Merleau-Ponty, a painting is unlike a thing of all things that is observed passively by a viewer. A painting contains the embedded carnality of the painter and is constantly enacting the private experience of its creator in a dialog with the viewer. Nevertheless, we cannot overlook the fact the creator's body is seen as a point of origin, albeit being an ever-changing body schema that is in dialog with its environment, it is still recognized is the agency of the painting. It is there where Sissel and Carusi wish to take it further: "We further develop his idea of the body as a 'measure' of things by granting symbolisms and tools the status of 'measures' in their own right, that is, as 'agencies' with their own relative autonomy" (Hoel & Carusi, 2018, p. 23). Technology is seen as a "generative mediator" operating within and producing its own contingent dimensions: "For each modification new dimensions of the world open up, new ranges of possible modes of measuring and being measured" (Hoel & Carusi, 2018, p. 21). Thus, this goes beyond the decentralization of agency and into the decentralization of observation; the tools and technologies we create manipulate a shared space of perception. Sissel and Carusi recognize this approach resonates with contemporary posthumanist approaches such as those of Karen Barad (2007) and Rosi Braidotti (2017). Such approaches open up the possibility of a mediated flesh, insofar as they recognize the interconnectedness of bodies and thoughts and the capacity for corporeality, and thus also intercorporeality, to be manifested remotely. However, they also risk the flattening of any experience to one variation in flesh, losing the meaning of a difference in form and function. We require a deeper investigation into mediation to determine its nature in social interactions.

Let us take a step back from the expanded conclusion regarding measuring bodies and focus on our scenario of mediated encounters between people. Clearly, not all mediations are one and the same and not all technological tools are utilized in a similar fashion. We are looking for a solution to a re-embodiment

of the fetishized stranger; an incarnation that could carry the fleshy nature of the subject's body, along with its own mediating measures, and facilitate a relief from prejudice through communication. It would be worthwhile to return to Merleau-Ponty's analysis of painting in *Eye and Mind*, since this would be his most highly regarded example of mediated corporeality. Merleau-Ponty refers to an epitomizing discussion with French artist Auguste Rodin (Auguste Rodin, 2012, p. 34), analyzing the movement of a galloping horse in a painting by Théodore Géricault (see Figure 1).



Figure 1: "The 1821 Derby at Epsom" by Théodore Géricault, 1982. Retreived from Wikipedia (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The\_1821\_Derby\_at\_Epsom). In the public domain.

Merleau-Ponty asks the following question: "When a horse is photographed at that instant when he is completely off the ground, with his legs almost folded under him—an instant, therefore, when he must be moving—why does he look as if he were leaping in place? Then why do Géricault's horses really run on canvas, in a posture impossible for a real horse at the gallop?" (Merleau-Ponty, 1964, p. 185). The answer if provided by Rodin: "It is the artist who is truthful, while the photograph is mendacious; for, in reality, time never stops cold". Even though the horse is painted in a position that is illogical, the movement is well transmitted from the artist's expression into the painting. Not only the movement, but the also the intention of the artist; Merleau-Ponty concludes: "Painting searches not for the outside of movement but for its secret ciphers, of which there are some still more subtle than those of which Rodin spoke. All

flesh, and even that of the world, radiates beyond itself" (Merleau-Ponty, 1964, p. 186). It becomes clearer that an accurate mediation of flesh should embody not only the source's movements, but also their inner intentions, their creation and experience of the world. For example, compare a Skype call to a collaborative music jam. The Skype video transmission undeniably incorporates communicative features of the transmitter such as their voice and facial expressions, but it also loses part of the flesh. One difference between a digitally sensed representation and a painting or a musical composition is the passivity of the medium. With a camera, the transmitters are not actively involved in the creation of the resulting image, they are sensed by it, but the image forms on its own. It does not emerge from their flesh. Indeed, from a posthuman perspective of measuring bodies, the camera sensor is very much intertwined with the source's flesh and should capture all of its qualities, but the difference lies in what Hoel and Carusi ascribe to flesh as "its formative role as productive negativity", alluding to Sartre's notion of secreted nothingness. Productive negativity is the reversible quality of flesh - the power to shift between phases as when one hand is touching the other and we shift between the perception of touching and the perception of being touched. The gestalt effect of our consciousness as a willful phase shift occurs and makes Noboyuki Kayahara's spinning dancer change direction (Parker-Pope, 2008). In short, it is the emergence of meaning that is actively created by a subject.

In this moment we are faced with a crossroads - We need to choose one of two strategies. Do we a) Focus on producing better and greater sensors, that are able to not only catch the most intrinsic and subtle fleshy qualities of the subject, but also represent them in an authentic manner, or b) Create more expressive tools that allow the subject to consciously and willfully express their own flesh, as artists do with painting. Granted, option (b) requires more effort from the interlocutors to be in touch with their inner qualities and to learn new and creative forms of expression, while option (a) defers the work to the technology, allowing the users to be more passive in communication. *Mindfulness* is a form of inner-self connection that could be used to improve self-expression. Numerous researchers have shown that mindfulness could have health and social benefits such as stress reduction (Grossman, Niemann, Schmidt, & Walach, 2004), greater empathy (Walker & Mann, 2016) and success in education (Leland, 2015). It is then apparent that opting for (b) could be beneficial for society while option (a) has a risk of doing the opposite. Moreover, in (b) the expressing subjects have a direct and controlled connection to the communication medium, allowing them learn and adapt to it, while in (a) there is an inherent barrier between the productive negativity of the subject and the medium, making adaption harder and more reliant on the technology. With this in mind we can move forward to considerations of the materiality and form for the medium of incarnation.

#### Materiality of Flesh

when designing an embodiment that transports a living human, it is desirable to obtain a representation that is inspired by the realm of the living and can achieve a higher level of expressiveness and authenticity. Soft Robotics introduce a new structural paradigm into the realm robotics, one that brings it closer to organic form, both in terms of the materiality and of movement. While a majority of the research on Soft Robotics is focused on usability for medical or engineering [7], the prospects of the new materialities for art and humanities are now being discovered [8]. In the field of telepresence, it is common to use soft materials such as silicone for the skin of the avatar, as in the case of Telenoid, but with an underlying metal structure. It is only natural to extend this usage and create a truly soft robot for the purpose of telepresence

# Phenomenology of Re-embodiment

## Agency and Ownership

The term re-embodiment is used by postphenomenoligists such as Besmer (Rosenberger & Verbeek, 2015, p. 55) and Dolezal (2009) to denote a mediated experience in which a subject assumes another body, physical or virtual, in a remote location. A previous term used for similar experiences was telepresence, first coined by in 1980 by Marvin Minsky, who applied it to remote object manipulation applications and their teleoperation systems (Campanella, 2000). However, as Dolezal notes, telepresence is normally used to describe a functional scenario in which the remotely manipulated environment is real and does not apply to virtual environments. Insofar as the experiences of virtual reality, avatar gaming and robotic telepresence have a common phenomenological nature of assuming control over another body, they can be grouped together under the term of re-embodiment. How about a Skype conversation? We would be inclined to assume that this is not a form of re-embodiment, since although the users assume a form in a remote location (on somebody else's computer screen), they have no control over that environment and cannot manipulate it; they have no agency. But is that true? Imagine I was to shout so loudly during a Skype call that my voice broke a wine glass in a remote location, or that time the creators of South Park had trolled everyone's Alexa devices using remove TV screens (Lockett, 2017). A sense of agency could be achieved even with a minimal effect on the remote environment. A more clear example of a Skype call that adds agency would be wheel based telepresence robots such as the ones produced by Beam (Patel, n.d.). In a virtual reality game, despite the fact that the environment I am manipulating is completely virtual, I still have sense of agency in that environment which contains my remote body.

The nuances of re-embodiment become more apparent when considering not only the notion of agency, but also that of *ownership*. Galagher (Gallagher, 2000, p. 15) distinguishes between agency and ownership such that agency is the "sense that I am one who is causing or generating an action, for example,

the sense that I am the one who is causing something to move", while ownership is "sense that I am the one who is undergoing an experience". A lack of ownership could have moral implications on re-embodiment. According to Dolezal "Dissociation from ownership, induced by a lack of presence, has many ethical and epistemological implications and, furthermore, has phenomenological consequences in which the subject feels alienated from the actions he or she is performing" (2009, p. 218). Dolezal denotes an artwork titles "Legeal Tender" as the "first publicly accessible telerobotic website, where users, after agreeing to take full responsibility for their actions, could destroy or deface two allegedly real 100USD notes." (2009, p. 210). This action is criminal act in the United States, yet In a study done by Dreyfus it was found that "most participants in the experiment responded that they did not believe that the notes and the experiment were real, and hence did not feel as though they were placing themselves under any risk" (Dreyfus, 2000). This poses major concerns for more serious telepresence applications such as telesurgery, where a doctor uses a remotely controlled robot to operate on a patient's body. Drones, destroying bills

According to Dolezal, the key factor that enables a sense of ownership is proprioception: the "kinesthetic and somatic sensations that permeate the body and give information regarding position, posture and movement" (2009, p. 219). Proprioception constitutes our body schema, a pre-reflective subconscious mapping of our body that allows us to act in the environment without explicitly thinking of each step and being aware of every movement. Phenomenoligists have shown how tools and technology could be incorporated into our body schema.

# Identity and Freedom

# Multimodality

### Mediation chart

The following chart blah

		Re-		
Medium	Incarnation	embodiment	Accesbility	Feasibility
Bananas	2.0	4.0	2.0	5.0
Apples	3.0	1.0	3.0	5.0
Oranges	3.0	1.0	3.0	5.0
Melons	3.0	1.0	3.0	5.0
Plumes	3.0	1.0	3.0	5.0

# HITODAMA - Design

Related works

Previous attempts

References

Axolotl

Kodama

Design

# **HITODAMA - Hardware Implementation**

Pneumatic circuits

PCB

Head

Face

Neck

Arms

# **HITODAMA - Software Implementation**

Rust engine

Arduino controller

WPE Webkit platform

**Production reflections** 

User test

Test application

**Participants** 

Results

Discussion

**Orthogonal Aesthetics** 

Discussion

Conclusion

22

Orthogonal Aesthetics

References

Ahmed, S. (2014). *The Cultural Politics of Emotion* (NED - New edition, 2.). Edinburgh University Press. Retrieved from http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.3366/j.ctt1g09x4q

Allport, G. W. (1954). The nature of prejudice. Oxford, England: Addison-Wesley.

Auguste Rodin, P. G. (2012). Rodin on Art and Artists: Conversations with Paul Gsell. Dover Publications. Retrieved from http://gen.lib.rus.ec/book/index.php?md5=dbb102e88f0cc7b8016293b77d0d639f

Barad, K. (2007). Meeting the universe halfway: Quantum physics and the entanglement of matter and meaning. duke university Press.

Braidotti, R. (2017). The posthuman. Polity.

Campanella, T. (2000). Eden by wire: Webcameras and the telepresent landscape. In (pp. 22–46).

Craighero, L. (2014). The role of the motor system in cognitive functions. In *The Routledge Handbook of Embodied Cognition* (pp. 69–76). Routledge.

Dewey, J. (1923). Democracy and education: An introduction to the philosophy of education. Macmillan.

Dinan, T. G., Stilling, R. M., Stanton, C., & Cryan, J. F. (2015). Collective unconscious: How gut microbes shape human behavior. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 63, 1–9. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychires.2015.02.021

Dolezal, L. (2009). The Remote Body: The Phenomenology of Telepresence and Re-Embodiment. Human Technology, 5(November), 208-226. Retrieved from http://www.humantechnology.jyu.fi/current/abstracts/dolezal09.html%5Cnpapers2://publication/uuid/2F26DFF0-21CC-45AE-AAF2-1B170EDB8781

Dreyfus, H. L. (2000). Telepistemology: Descartes's last stand. In *The robot in the garden* (pp. 48-63). MIT Press.

Freeman, W. J. (2000). How brains make up their minds. Columbia University Press.

Freud, S. (1953). The standard edition of the complete psychological works of Sigmund Freud. (Vols. VII (1901-1905)). London: The Hogarth Press: The Institute of Psycho-Analysis.

Freud, S. (1955). The standard edition of the complete psychological works of Sigmund Freud. (Vols. XVII (1917-1919)). London: The Hogarth Press: The Institute of Psycho-Analysis.

Gallagher, S. (2000). Philosophical conceptions of the self: Implications for cognitive science. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 4(1), 14–21.

Grossman, P., Niemann, L., Schmidt, S., & Walach, H. (2004). Mindfulness-based stress reduction and health benefits: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 57(1), 35–43. doi:10.1016/S0022-3999(03)00573-7

Hoel, A. S., & Carusi, A. (2018). Merleau-Ponty and the Measuring Body. Theory, Culture & Society, 35(1), 45–70. doi:10.1177/0263276416688542

Husserl, E. (1982). General introduction to a pure phenomenology. The Hague; Boston; Hingham, MA, USA: M. Nijhoff; Distributors for the U.S.; Canada, Kluwer Boston.

Ihde, D. (1990). Technology and the lifeworld: From garden to earth. Indiana University Press.

Jentsch, E. (1997). On the psychology of the uncanny (1906). Angelaki: Journal of the Theoretical Humanities, 2(1), 7–16.

Leland, M. (2015). Mindfulness and Student Success. *Journal of Adult Education*, 44(1), 19–24.

Litman, S. (2018). Haaretz inteview with Dr Yael Berda. Retrieved from https://www.haaretz.co.il/magazine/.premium-MAGAZINE-1.5975041

Lockett, D. (2017, September). Last Night's South Park Commanded People's Amazon Echos to Say NSFW Lines. *Vulture*. Retrieved from https://www.vulture.com/2017/09/south-park-commanded-peoples-amazon-echos-to-say-nsfw-lines.html

Marx, K. H. (2015). Capital: A Critique of Political Economy (Vol. 1). Moscow, USSR: Progress Publishers. Retrieved from https://www.marxists.org/archive/marx/works/download/pdf/Capital-Volume-I.pdf

Merleau-Ponty, M. (1964). The primacy of perception: And other essays on phenomenological psychology, the philosophy of art, history, and politics. Northwestern University Press.

Merleau-Ponty, M. (2013). Phenomenology of perception. Routledge.

Merleau-Ponty, M., Lefort, C., & Lingis, A. (1968). The visible and the invisible: Followed by working notes. Evanston: Northwestern University Press. Retrieved from https://aalto.finna.fi/Record/alli.761571

Nietzsche, F. W., Williams, B. A. O., Nauckhoff, J., & Del Caro, A. (2001). The gay science: With a prelude in German rhymes and an appendix of songs. New York: Vintage.

Parker-Pope, T. (2008, April). The Truth About the Spinning Dancer. Well. Retrieved from https://well.blogs.nytimes.com/2008/04/28/the-truth-about-the-spinning-dancer/

Patel, S. (n.d.). The Benefits of Being a "Cyborg". *Beam.* Retrieved from https://suitabletech.com/news/blog/full/1368-the-benefits-of-being-a-cyborg

Peleg, I., & Waxman, D. (2011). *Israel's Palestinians: The Conflict Within*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511852022

Reynolds, J. (2014). Understanding existentialism. Routledge.

Rosenberger, R., & Verbeek, P.-P. (2015). Postphenomenological Investigations Essays. Essays on Human-Technology Relations (Vol. 1). doi:10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004

Sartre, J.-P. (2012). Being and nothingness. Open Road Media.

Smith, D. W. (2018). Phenomenology. In E. N. Zalta (Ed.), *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Summer 2018.). Metaphysics Research Lab, Stanford University. Retrieved from https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/sum2018/entries/phenomenology/

Stupacher, J., Wood, G., & Witte, M. (2017). Synchrony and sympathy: Social entrainment with music compared to a metronome. *Psychomusicology: Music, Mind, and Brain, 27*(3), 158–166. doi:10.1037/pmu0000181

Syväoja, H., Kantomaa, M., Laine, K., Jaakkola, T., Pyhältö, K., & Tammelin, T. (2012). Liikunta ja oppiminen. *Tilannekatsaus Lokakuu*.

Helsinki: Opetushallitus. Retrieved from https://www.oph.fi/download/145366\_Physical\_activity\_and\_learning.pdf

Verbeek, P.-P. (2016, December). Techno-flesh: Toward a phenomenology of human-robot relations.  $Peter-Paul\ Verbeek$ . Retrieved from https://ppverbeek.wordpress.com/2016/12/17/techno-flesh-the-phenomenology-of-human-robot-relations/

Vitiello, G. (2007). Quantum dissipation and information: A route to consciousness modeling. *NeuroQuantology*, 1(2).

Von Uexküll, J. (1982). The theory of meaning. Semiotica, 42(1), 25–79.

Walker, M., & Mann, R. A. (2016). Exploration of mindfulness in relation to compassion, empathy and reflection within nursing education. *Nurse Education Today*, 40, 188–190. doi:10.1016/j.nedt.2016.03.005

Weil, S. (2002). *Gravity and Grace*. London: Routledge. Retrieved from http://www.amazon.com/dp/0803298005

Zeki, S., & Romaya, J. P. (2008). Neural correlates of hate. *PloS One*, 3(10), e3556.