

AMMI Privacy and Fairness Course, Rwanda, May 2019
Assignment 1

Answer three out of the following four questions.
Please turn in by Noon on Thursday, May 14.

- 1a. Prove that the following two definitions of $(\epsilon, 0)$ -DP are the same.
 - For every two neighboring databases x, y and for each element $r \in R$,
 $Pr[M(x) = r] \leq e^\epsilon Pr[M(y) = r]$.
 - For every two neighboring databases x, y , and for every subset $S \subseteq R$,
 $Pr[M(x) \in S] \leq e^\epsilon Pr[M(y) \in S]$.
- 1b. What happens in the case of (ϵ, δ) -DP?
2. Prove that if M_1, \dots, M_k are (ϵ, δ) -DP mechanisms, then any convex combination is also a (ϵ, δ) -DP mechanism. (A convex combination mechanism M on database x first picks $i \in \{1 \dots k\}$ according to some distribution over $\{1 \dots k\}$, and then runs mechanism M_i on x . Thus the distribution of output values of $M(x)$ is a convex combination of the distributions of output values of $M_1(x), \dots, M_k(x)$.)
3. Prove that any mechanism M that is deterministic is not differentially private.
- 4a. (Group Privacy.) Let M be a mechanism mapping $\mathbb{N}^{|X|}$ to R , Prove that any $(\epsilon, 0)$ -DP mechanism M is $(k\epsilon, 0)$ -DP for groups of size k i.e. for all x, y such that $\|x - y\|_1 \leq k$, $Pr[M(x) \in S] \leq e^{\epsilon k} Pr[M(y) \in S]$.
- 4b Prove the following approximate group privacy property. Any (ϵ, δ) -DP mechanism M is $(k\epsilon, k \cdot e^{k\epsilon} \cdot \delta)$ -DP for groups of size k . Note that both ϵ and δ are nonnegative.