

**AMMI Privacy and Fairness Course, Rwanda, May 2019**  
**Assignment 1**

Answer three out of the following four questions.  
Please turn in by Noon on Thursday, May 14.

- 1a. Prove that the following two definitions of  $(\epsilon, 0)$ -DP are the same.
  - For every two neighboring databases  $x, y$  and for each element  $r \in R$ ,  
 $Pr[M(x) = r] \leq e^\epsilon Pr[M(y) = r]$ .
  - For every two neighboring databases  $x, y$ , and for every subset  $S \subseteq R$ ,  
 $Pr[M(x) \in S] \leq e^\epsilon Pr[M(y) \in S]$ .
- 1b. What happens in the case of  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -DP?
2. Prove that if  $M_1, \dots, M_k$  are  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -DP mechanisms, then any convex combination is also a  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -DP mechanism. (A convex combination mechanism  $M$  on database  $x$  first picks  $i \in \{1 \dots k\}$  according to some distribution over  $\{1 \dots k\}$ , and then runs mechanism  $M_i$  on  $x$ . Thus the distribution of output values of  $M(x)$  is a convex combination of the distributions of output values of  $M_1(x), \dots, M_k(x)$ .)
3. Prove that any non-trivial<sup>1</sup> deterministic mechanism  $M$  is not differentially private.
- 4a. (Group Privacy.) Let  $M$  be a mechanism mapping  $\mathbb{N}^{|X|}$  to  $R$ , Prove that any  $(\epsilon, 0)$ -DP mechanism  $M$  is  $(k\epsilon, 0)$ -DP for groups of size  $k$  i.e. for all  $x, y$  such that  $\|x - y\|_1 \leq k$ ,  $Pr[M(x) \in S] \leq e^{\epsilon k} Pr[M(y) \in S]$ .
- 4b. Prove the following approximate group privacy property. Any  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -DP mechanism  $M$  is  $(k\epsilon, k \cdot e^{k\epsilon} \cdot \delta)$ -DP for groups of size  $k$ . Note that both  $\epsilon$  and  $\delta$  are nonnegative.

---

<sup>1</sup>Here we mean a deterministic mechanism that doesn't output a constant value for every database.