

1. We have $f(x) = x^3 - 5x + 1 = 0$
 $f(0) = 1$
 $f(1) = -3 \Rightarrow f(0)f(1) < 0$

Iteration	n	$a_n (-ve)$	$b_n (+ve)$	$x_{n+1} = \frac{a_n + b_n}{2}$	$f(x_{n+1})$
1	0	1	0	$\frac{0+1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$	-1.375
2	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{0+\frac{1}{2}}{2} = \frac{1}{4}$	-0.234375
3	2	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	$\frac{0+\frac{1}{4}}{2} = \frac{1}{8}$	+0.37695
4	3	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{8}}{2} = \frac{3}{16}$	+0.06909
5	4	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{3}{16}$	$\frac{\frac{1}{4}+\frac{3}{16}}{2} = \frac{7}{32}$	-0.08328

Hence the required root is $\frac{7}{32}$.

2. Let x be the cube root of a given positive number
 $x = (N)^{\frac{1}{3}} \Rightarrow x^3 - N = 0$. Let $f(x) = x^3 - N = 0$

By Newton-Raphson Method

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$$

$$= x_n - \frac{x_n^3 - N}{3x_n^2} = \frac{2x_n^3 + N}{3x_n^2} \text{ for } n=0,1,2,\dots$$

* n	x_n	x_{n+1}
0	3.5	3.6395
1	3.6395	3.6342
2	3.6342	3.6342

As $x_2 = x_3$, $(48)^{\frac{1}{3}} = 3.634$

Ans

3.

$$\text{Let } f(x) = e^x - 5x = 0 \Rightarrow f(0) = 1, f(1) = -2.2817$$

$$\text{and } f'(x) = e^x - 5$$

The Newton-Raphson formulae becomes

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \left(\frac{e^{x_n} - 5x_n}{e^{x_n} - 5} \right)$$

n	x_n	x_{n+1}
0	0	.25
1	.25	.2591
2	.2591	.2591

Hence the solution $x = .2591$.

4.

Follow the solution on page 4 after solution of question 3.

5.

$$\text{Let } f(x) = x^3 + x^2 - 1 = 0 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Equation (1) can be written as $x = \phi(x)$ in many ways

$$(i) \quad x^3 + x^2 - 1 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x = (1 - x^2)^{1/3} = \phi(x) \text{ (say)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi'(x) = \frac{1}{3} (1 - x^2)^{-2/3} (-2x)$$

Now, $|\phi'(.8)| = 1.05 > 1$ which does not satisfy the convergence condition.

(ii)

$$x^3 + x^2 - 1 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x^2 = 1 - x^3$$

$$\Rightarrow x = (1 - x^3)^{1/2} = \phi(x) \text{ (say)}$$

$$\therefore \phi'(x) = \frac{1}{2} (1 - x^3)^{-1/2} (-3x^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow |\phi'(.8)| = 1.37 > 1$$

Again does not satisfy convergence condition.

iii)

$$x^2 + x - 1 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x^2(x+1) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x}} = \phi(x) \text{ (say)}$$

$$\phi'(x) = -\frac{1}{2}(1+x)^{-3/2}$$

$$\Rightarrow |\phi'(.8)| = .2 < 1 \quad \text{Which satisfies the condition.}$$

n	x_n	$\phi(x_n)$
0	.8	.7954
1	.7954	.7569
2	.7569	.7544
3	.7544	.7550
4	.7550	.7549
5	.7549	.7549

Hence the required root = .7549.

6.

$$\text{Let } f(x) = x^2 + \ln x - 2 = 0$$

$$\therefore f(1) = -1 < 0, \quad f(2) = 2.69 > 0$$

Therefore one root lies between 1 and 2.

We write the equation as

$$x = \sqrt{2 - \ln x} = \phi(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi'(x) = -\frac{1}{2x\sqrt{2-\ln x}}$$

$$\therefore |\phi'(x)| < 1 \quad \text{as} \quad \text{Max } [|\phi'(1)|, |\phi'(2)|]$$

$$= \text{Max } [.35, .21] = .35 < 1$$

We take $x_0 = 1$

n	x_n	$\phi(x_n)$
0	1	1.4
1	1.4	1.29
2	1.29	1.32
3	1.32	1.312
4	1.312	1.3147
5	1.3147	1.3139
6	1.3139	1.31415
7	1.31415	1.31408
8	1.31408	1.31410
9	1.31410	1.31410

Hence the required root = 1.3141.

4.

Here $f(x) = x^3 - 5x^2 + 7x - 3$

$f'(x) = 3x^2 - 10x + 7$

a) for first root with multiplicity 2 ($=m$), if we use

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - 2 \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} \quad \text{if we use } x_0 = 0$$

n	x_n	x_{n+1}
0	0	.8571
1	.8571	.9953
2	.9953	.9999

b) if we use Newton Raphson method with $x_0 = 0$

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$$

n	x_n	x_{n+1}
0	0	.4285
1	.4285	.6856
2	.6856	.8328
3	.8328	.9132
4	.9132	.9557
5	.9557	.9887
6	.9887	.9943
7	.9943	.9971

See that (a) gives result .99 (correct up to 2 decimal) in 3 iterations whereas (b) gives this in 8 iterations.

✱

If we use $x_0 = 4$ in NR method

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$$

n	x_n	x_{n+1}
0	4	3.4
1	3.4	3.1
2	3.1	3.008
	3.008	3.0000
	3.0000	3.0000

Third root = 3.0000 $\underline{A_2}$

Here $|a_{11}|=1$, $|a_{12}|=1$, $|a_{13}|=4$

$\therefore |a_{11}| < |a_{12}| + |a_{13}|$, the system is not diagonally dominant.

We re-arrange the system as

$$2x_1 - 3x_2 + 2x_3 = 20$$

$$4x_1 + 11x_2 - x_3 = 33$$

$$x_1 + x_2 + 4x_3 = 9$$

which is diagonally dominant.

Now we write the iteration formula for Gauss-Jacobi method as

$$x_1^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[20 + 3x_2^{(k)} - 2x_3^{(k)} \right]$$

$$x_2^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{11} \left[33 - 4x_1^{(k)} + x_3^{(k)} \right]$$

$$x_3^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{4} \left[9 - x_1^{(k)} - x_2^{(k)} \right]$$

We now consider an initial arbitrary solⁿ as:

$$x_1^{(0)} = 0, x_2^{(0)} = 0, x_3^{(0)} = 0$$

$$x_1^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[20 + 3 \times 0 - 2 \times 0 \right] = 2.50$$

$$x_2^{(1)} = \frac{1}{11} \left[33 - 4 \times 0 + 1 \times 0 \right] = 3.00$$

$$x_3^{(1)} = \frac{1}{4} \left[9 - 1 \times 0 - 1 \times 0 \right] = 2.25$$

$$x_1^{(2)} = 3.06$$

$$x_2^{(2)} = 2.30$$

$$x_3^{(2)} = 0.28$$

$$x_1^{(3)} = 3.142$$

$$x_2^{(3)} = 1.967$$

$$x_3^{(3)} = 0.910$$

$$x_1^{(4)} = 3.0101$$

$$x_2^{(4)} = 1.9402$$

$$x_3^{(4)} = 0.9128$$

$$x_1^{(5)} = 2.9844$$

$$x_2^{(5)} = 1.9238$$

$$x_3^{(5)} = 1.0124$$

$$x_1^{(6)} = 2.9946$$

$$x_1 = 3.0012$$

$$x_2^{(6)} = 2.0068$$

$$x_2^{(4)} = 2.0024$$

$$x_3^{(6)} = 1.0054$$

$$x_3^{(7)} = 0.9996$$

$\therefore x_1 = 3.00, x_2 = 2.00, x_3 = 1.00$ correct up to 2 decimal places.

⑧ Here $|a_{31}| = 4, |a_{32}| = 2, |a_{33}| = 1$

$$|a_{33}| < |a_{31}| + |a_{32}|$$

\therefore The given system of equations is not diagonally dominant.

Now, we rearrange the system to make it diagonally dominant as follows:

$$4x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3 = -8$$

$$3x_1 + 9x_2 - 2x_3 = 11$$

$$4x_1 + 2x_2 + 13x_3 = 24$$

Now, we write the iteration formula for Gauss-Seidel method as

$$x_1^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{4} [-8 + 2x_2^{(k)} - x_3^{(k)}]$$

$$x_2^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{9} [11 - 3x_1^{(k+1)} + 2x_3^{(k)}]$$

$$x_3^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{13} [24 - 4x_1^{(k+1)} - 2x_2^{(k+1)}]$$

Starting with initial guess as $x_1^{(0)} = 0, x_2^{(0)} = 0$ and $x_3^{(0)} = 0$.

$$x_1^{(1)} = \frac{1}{4} [-8 + 2 \times 0 - 0] = -2$$

$$x_2^{(1)} = \frac{1}{9} [11 - 3 \times (-2) + 2 \times 0] = 1.89$$

$$x_3^{(1)} = \frac{1}{13} [24 - 4 \times (-2) - 2 \times 1.89] = 2.17$$

$x_1^{(2)} = -1.60$	$x_1^{(3)} = -1.38$	$x_1^{(4)} = -1.425$	$x_1^{(5)} = -1.4252$
$x_2^{(2)} = 2.24$	$x_2^{(3)} = 2.12$	$x_2^{(4)} = 2.128$	$x_2^{(5)} = 2.1322$
$x_3^{(2)} = 1.99$	$x_3^{(3)} = 1.94$	$x_3^{(4)} = 1.957$	$x_3^{(5)} = 1.9566$

$$x_1^{(6)} = -1.4230$$

$$x_2^{(6)} = 2.1314$$

$$x_3^{(6)} = 1.9564$$

hence $x_1 = -1.42$, $x_2 = 2.13$, $x_3 = 1.96$ correct up to 3 significant digits.

① Gauss-Seidel method:

The given system of equation is diagonally dominant.

Now, the iteration formula for Gauss-Seidel method as

$$x_1^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{2} [4 - x_2^{(k)} - x_3^{(k)}]$$

$$x_2^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{2} [4 - x_1^{(k+1)} - x_3^{(k)}]$$

$$x_3^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{2} [4 - x_1^{(k+1)} - x_2^{(k+1)}]$$

Starting with initial estimate as $x_1^{(0)} = 0$, $x_2^{(0)} = 0$, $x_3^{(0)} = 0$

$$x_1^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} [4 - 0 - 0] = 2.0$$

$$x_2^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} [4 - 2 - 0] = 1.0$$

$$x_3^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} [4 - 2 - 1] = 0.5$$

$$x_1^{(2)} = 1.25$$

$$x_2^{(2)} = 1.125$$

$$x_3^{(2)} = 0.8125$$

$$x_1^{(3)} = 1.03125$$

$$x_2^{(3)} = 1.01813$$

$$x_3^{(3)} = 0.94531$$

$$x_1^{(4)} = 0.98828$$

$$x_2^{(4)} = 1.0332$$

$$x_3^{(4)} = 0.98926$$

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 x_1^{(5)} = 0.98877 & x_1^{(6)} = 0.99446 & x_1^{(7)} = 0.99794 \\
 x_2^{(5)} = 1.010985 & x_2^{(6)} = 1.00272 & x_2^{(7)} = 1.0003 \\
 x_3^{(5)} = 1.0001 & x_3^{(6)} = 1.00141 & x_3^{(7)} = 1.00088
 \end{array}$$

Thus $x_1 = 1.00$, $x_2 = 1.00$, $x_3 = 1.00$ correct up to 2-decimal places.

(b) Gauss-Jacobi method:

The given system of eqn is diagonally dominant.

The iteration formula for Gauss-Jacobi method as

$$x_1^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{2} [4 - x_2^{(k)} - x_3^{(k)}]$$

$$x_2^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{2} [4 - x_1^{(k)} - x_3^{(k)}]$$

$$x_3^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{2} [4 - x_1^{(k)} - x_2^{(k)}]$$

The initial guess solution as $x_1^{(0)} = 0$, $x_2^{(0)} = 0$, $x_3^{(0)} = 0$

$$x_1^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} [4 - 0 - 0] = 2.0$$

$$x_1^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} [4 - 2 - 0] = 1.0$$

$$x_2^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} [4 - 0 - 0] = 2.0$$

$$x_2^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} [4 - 2 - 0] = 1.0$$

$$x_3^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} [4 - 0 - 0] = 2.0$$

$$x_3^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} [4 - 2 - 2] = 0$$

$$x_1^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} [4 - 0 - 0] = 2.0$$

$$x_2^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} [4 - 0 - 0] = 2.0$$

$$x_3^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} [4 - 0 - 0] = 2.0$$

Repeated this process.

Thus, the system of equations is not convergent for Gauss-Jacobi method.

Gauss-Jacobi method:

$$x_1^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[9 - x_2^{(k)} - 6x_3^{(k)} \right]$$

$$x_2^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{3} \left[13 - 8x_1^{(k)} - 2x_3^{(k)} \right]$$

$$x_3^{(k+1)} = 7 - x_1^{(k)} - 5x_2^{(k)}$$

starting with initial guess as $(x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, x_3^{(0)}) = (0, 0, 0)$

$$x_1^{(1)} = 4.5$$

$$x_2^{(1)} = 4.333$$

$$x_3^{(1)} = 7$$

$$x_1^{(2)} = -18.666$$

$$x_2^{(2)} = -12.333$$

$$x_3^{(2)} = -19.165$$

$$x_1^{(3)} = 47.665$$

$$x_2^{(3)} = 66.886$$

$$x_3^{(3)} = 87.331$$

$$x_1^{(4)} = -290.936$$

$$x_2^{(4)} = -180.995$$

$$x_3^{(4)} = -315.095$$

$$x_1^{(5)} = 1220.284$$

$$x_2^{(5)} = 1030.226$$

$$x_3^{(5)} = 1202.911$$

We can see in above results the Jacobi method become progressively worse instead of better.

Thus we can conclude that the method diverges.

Gauss - Seidel method:

$$x_1^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{2} [9 - x_2^{(k)} - 6x_3^{(k)}]$$

$$x_2^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{3} [13 - 8x_1^{(k+1)} - 2x_3^{(k)}]$$

$$x_3^{(k+1)} = 7 - x_1^{(k+1)} - 5x_2^{(k+1)}$$

Starting with initial estimate as $(x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, x_3^{(0)}) = (0, 0, 0)$

$$x_1^{(1)} = 4.5$$

$$x_2^{(1)} = -7.666$$

$$x_3^{(1)} = 40.833$$

$$x_1^{(2)} = -114.166$$

$$x_2^{(2)} = 281.554$$

$$x_3^{(2)} = -1286.604$$

$$x_1^{(3)} = 3723.535$$

$$x_2^{(3)} = -9067.357$$

$$x_3^{(3)} = 41620.231$$

Therefore neither the Jacobi method nor the Gauss - Seidel method converges to the solution of the system of linear equations.

11)

The given system of equations can be written as $Ax = b$

where $A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 5 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$, $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}$, $b = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -6 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix}$

The matrix A can be written as $A = L + D + U$

where $L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $D = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$, $U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Using Gauss-Jacobi method we get

$$x^{(k+1)} = D^{-1} (b - (L+U)x^{(k)})$$

$$= D^{-1}b - D^{-1}(L+U)x^{(k)}$$

let $T = -D^{-1}(L+U)$

$$= - \begin{pmatrix} x_4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x_4 & -x_4 \\ -x_5 & 0 & -2x_5 \\ -x_3 & -2x_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

let $c = D^{-1}b = \begin{pmatrix} x_4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -6 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ -6/5 \\ -4/3 \end{pmatrix}$

Therefore,

$$x^{(k+1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x_4 & -x_4 \\ -x_5 & 0 & -2x_5 \\ -x_3 & -2x_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x^{(k)} + \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ -6/5 \\ -4/3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Starting with $x^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 \\ -0.5 \\ -0.5 \end{pmatrix}$, we obtain

$$x^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x_4 & -x_4 \\ -x_5 & 0 & -2/5 \\ -x_3 & -2/3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 \\ -0.5 \\ -0.5 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ -6/5 \\ -4/3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0.75 \\ -1.1 \\ -1.1667 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x_4 & -x_4 \\ -x_5 & 0 & -2/5 \\ -x_3 & -2/3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0.75 \\ -1.1 \\ -1.1667 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ -6/5 \\ -4/3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.0667 \\ -0.8833 \\ -0.8500 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x_4 & -x_4 \\ -x_5 & 0 & -2/5 \\ -x_3 & -2/3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1.0667 \\ -0.8833 \\ -0.8500 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ -6/5 \\ -4/3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.9333 \\ -1.0733 \\ -1.1000 \end{pmatrix}$$

(12) Solve the following system of linear equations

$$2x_1 - x_2 = 7$$

$$-x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3 = 1$$

$$-x_2 + 2x_3 = 1$$

using Gauss-Seidel method with the initial approximation as

$x^{(0)} = (0, 0, 0)^T$ and perform three iterations. (using matrix form)

Ans: The above system of equations can be written as

$$Ax = b$$

$$\text{where } A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } b = \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

matrix A can be written as

$$A = L + D + U$$

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Gauss-Seidel method gives

$$x^{(k+1)} = (D+L)^{-1} (b - Ux^{(k)})$$

$$= - (D+L)^{-1} U x^{(k)} + (D+L)^{-1} b$$

$$T = - (D+L)^{-1} U = - \begin{pmatrix} y_2 & 0 & 0 \\ x_4 & y_2 & 0 \\ y_8 & x_4 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_2 & 0 \\ 0 & x_4 & y_2 \\ 0 & y_8 & x_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$c = (D+L)^{-1} b = \begin{pmatrix} y_2 & 0 & 0 \\ x_4 & y_2 & 0 \\ y_8 & x_4 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 7/2 \\ 3/4 \\ 13/8 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore, we obtain the iteration scheme

$$x^{(k+1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_2 & 0 \\ 0 & x_4 & y_2 \\ 0 & y_8 & x_4 \end{pmatrix} x^{(k)} + \begin{pmatrix} 7/2 \\ 3/4 \\ 13/8 \end{pmatrix}$$

Starting with initial vector $x^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, we get

$$x^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_2 & 0 \\ 0 & x_4 & y_2 \\ 0 & y_8 & x_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 7/2 \\ 3/4 \\ 13/8 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3.5 \\ 2.25 \\ 1.625 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_2 & 0 \\ 0 & x_4 & y_2 \\ 0 & y_8 & x_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3.5 \\ 2.25 \\ 1.625 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 7/2 \\ 3/4 \\ 13/8 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4.625 \\ 3.625 \\ 2.9125 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_4 & \gamma_2 \\ 0 & \gamma_8 & \gamma_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 4.625 \\ 3.625 \\ 2.3125 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2.25 \\ 1.625 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4.2122 \\ 2.6563 \end{pmatrix}$$