

1.5 Relations, Partitions and Equivalence Relation

We start with the definition of cartesian product of two sets and to define relations.

Definition 1.5.1 (Cartesian Product). *Let A and B be two sets. Then their cartesian product, denoted $A \times B$, is defined as $A \times B = \{(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}$.*

Example 1.5.2. 1. Let $A = \{a, b, c\}$ and $B = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Then

$$A \times A = \{(a, a), (a, b), (a, c), (b, a), (b, b), (b, c), (c, a), (c, b), (c, c)\}.$$

$$A \times B = \{(a, 1), (a, 2), (a, 3), (a, 4), (b, 1), (b, 2), (b, 3), (b, 4), (c, 1), (c, 2), (c, 3), (c, 4)\}.$$

2. The Euclidean plane, denoted $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} = \{(x, y) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Definition 1.5.3 (Relation). *A relation R on a non-empty set A , is a subset of $A \times A$.*

Example 1.5.4. 1. Let $A = \{a, b, c, d\}$. Then, some of the relations R on A are:

$$(a) R = A \times A.$$

$$(b) R = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (d, d), (a, b), (a, c), (b, c)\}.$$

$$(c) R = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c)\}.$$

$$(d) R = \{(a, a), (a, b), (b, a), (b, b), (c, d)\}.$$

$$(e) R = \{(a, a), (a, b), (b, a), (a, c), (c, a), (c, c), (b, b)\}.$$

$$(f) R = \{(a, b), (b, c), (a, c), (d, d)\}.$$

2. Consider the set $\mathbb{Z}^* = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Some of the relations on \mathbb{Z}^* are as follows:

$$(a) R = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^* \times \mathbb{Z}^* : a|b\}.$$

$$(b) \text{ Fix a positive integer } n \text{ and define } R = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : n \text{ divides } a - b\}.$$

$$(c) R = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : a \leq b\}.$$

$$(d) R = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : a > b\}.$$

3. Consider the set \mathbb{R}^2 . Also, let us write $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2)$. Then some of the relations on \mathbb{R}^2 are as follows:

$$(a) R = \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 : |\mathbf{x}|^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 = y_1^2 + y_2^2 = |\mathbf{y}|^2\}.$$

$$(b) R = \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 : \mathbf{x} = \alpha \mathbf{y} \text{ for some } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^*\}.$$

$$(c) R = \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 : 4x_1^2 + 9x_2^2 = 4y_1^2 + 9y_2^2\}.$$

$$(d) R = \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 : \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} = \alpha(1, 1) \text{ for some } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^*\}.$$

$$(e) \text{ Fix a } c \in \mathbb{R}. \text{ Now, define } R = \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 : y_2 - x_2 = c(y_1 - x_1)\}.$$

$$(f) R = \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 : |\mathbf{x}| = \alpha |\mathbf{y}|\}, \text{ for some positive real number } \alpha.$$

4. Let A be the set of triangles in the plane. Then $R = \{(a, b) \in A^2 : a \sim b\}$, where \sim stands for similarity of triangles.
5. In \mathbb{R} , define a relation $R = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |a - b| \text{ is an integer}\}$.
6. Let A be any non-empty set and consider the set $\mathcal{P}(A)$. Then one can define a relation R on $\mathcal{P}(A)$ by $R = \{(S, T) \in \mathcal{P}(A) \times \mathcal{P}(A) : S \subset T\}$.

Now that we have seen quite a few examples of relations, let us look at some of the properties that are of interest in mathematics.

Definition 1.5.5. Let R be a relation on a non-empty set A . Then R is said to be

1. reflexive if $(a, a) \in R$, for all $a \in A$.
2. symmetric if $(b, a) \in R$ whenever $(a, b) \in R$.
3. anti-symmetric if, for all $a, b \in A$, the conditions $(a, b), (b, a) \in R$ implies that $a = b$ in A .
4. transitive if, for all $a, b, c \in A$, the conditions $(a, b), (b, c) \in R$ implies that $(a, c) \in R$.

We are now ready to define a relation that appears quite frequently in mathematics. Before doing so, let us either use the symbol \sim or $\overset{R}{\sim}$ for relation. That is, if $a, b \in A$ then $a \sim b$ or $a \overset{R}{\sim} b$ will stand for $(a, b) \in R$.

Definition 1.5.6. Let \sim be a relation on a non-empty set A . Then \sim is said to form an equivalence relation if \sim is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

The equivalence class containing $a \in A$, denoted $[a]$, is defined as $[a] := \{b \in A : b \sim a\}$.

Example 1.5.7. 1. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $a \sim b$, if 10 divides $a - b$. Then verify that \sim is an equivalence relation. Moreover, the equivalence classes can be taken as $[0], [1], \dots, [9]$. Observe that, for $0 \leq i \leq 9$, $[i] = \{10n + i : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. This equivalence relation in modular arithmetic is written as $a \equiv b \pmod{10}$.

In general, for any fixed positive integer n , the statement " $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ " (read " a is equivalent to b modulo n ") is equivalent to saying that $a \sim b$ if n divides $a - b$.

2. Determine the equivalence relations that appear in Example 1.5.4. Also, for each equivalence relation, determine a set of equivalence classes.

Definition 1.5.8 (Partition of a set). Let A be a non-empty set. Then a partition Π of A , into m -parts, is a collection of non-empty subsets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m , of A , such that

1. $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ (empty set), for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq m$ and
2. $\bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i = A$.

Example 1.5.9. 1. The partitions of $A = \{a, b, c, d\}$ into

- (a) 3-parts are $a|b|cd$, $a|bc|d$, $ac|b|d$, $a|bd|c$, $ad|b|c$, $ab|c|d$, where the expression $a|bc|d$ represents the partition $A_1 = \{a\}$, $A_2 = \{b, c\}$ and $A_3 = \{d\}$.
 (b) 2-parts are

$$a|bcd, \quad b|acd, \quad c|abd, \quad d|abc, \quad ab|cd, \quad ac|bd \text{ and } ad|bc.$$

2. Let $A = \mathbb{Z}$ and define

- (a) $A_0 = \{2x : x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $A_1 = \{2x + 1 : x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Then $\Pi = \{A_0, A_1\}$ forms a partition of \mathbb{Z} into odd and even integers.
 (b) $A_i = \{10n + i : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 10$. Then $\Pi = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{10}\}$ forms a partition of \mathbb{Z} .
 3. $A_1 = \{0, 1\}$, $A_2 = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \text{ is a prime}\}$ and $A_3 = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \geq 3, n \text{ is composite}\}$. Then $\Pi = \{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$ is a partition of \mathbb{N} .
 4. Let $A = \{a, b, c, d\}$. Then $\Pi = \{\{a\}, \{b, d\}, \{c\}\}$ is a partition of A .

Observe that the equivalence classes produced in Example 1.5.7.1 indeed correspond to the non-empty sets A_i 's, defined in Example 1.5.9.2b. In general, such a statement is always true. That is, suppose that A is a non-empty set with an equivalence relation \sim . Then the set of distinct equivalence classes of \sim in A , gives rise to a partition of A . Conversely, given any partition Π of A , there is an equivalence relation on A whose distinct equivalence classes are the elements of Π . This is proved as the next result.

Theorem 1.5.10. Let A be a non-empty set.

1. Also, let \sim define an equivalence relation on the set A . Then the set of distinct equivalence classes of \sim in A gives a partition of A .
 2. Let I be a non-empty index set such that $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ gives a partition of A . Then there exists an equivalence relation on A whose distinct equivalence classes are exactly the sets $A_i, i \in I$.

Proof. Since \sim is reflexive, $a \sim a$, for all $a \in A$. Hence, the equivalence class $[a]$ contains a , for each $a \in A$. Thus, the equivalence classes are non-empty and clearly, their union is the whole set A . We need to show that if $[a]$ and $[b]$ are two equivalence classes of \sim then either $[a] = [b]$ or $[a] \cap [b] = \emptyset$.

Let $x \in [a] \cap [b]$. Then by definition, $x \sim a$ and $x \sim b$. Since \sim is symmetric, one also has $a \sim x$. Therefore, we see that $a \sim x$ and $x \sim b$ and hence, using the transitivity of \sim , $a \sim b$. Thus, by definition, $a \in [b]$ and hence $[a] \subseteq [b]$. But $a \sim b$, also implies that $b \sim a$ (\sim is

symmetric) and hence $[b] \subseteq [a]$. Thus, we see that if $[a] \cap [b] \neq \emptyset$, then $[a] = [b]$. This proves the first part of the theorem.

For the second part, define a relation \sim on A as follows: for any two elements $a, b \in A$, $a \sim b$ if there exists an $i, i \in I$ such that $a, b \in A_i$. It can be easily verified that \sim is indeed reflexive, symmetric and transitive. Also, verify that the equivalence classes of \sim are indeed the sets $A_i, i \in I$. ■