

Multistep Method

The general multistep method or k-step method can be written as:

$$u_{j+1} = a_1 u_j + a_2 u_{j-1} + \dots + a_k u_{j-k+1} \\ + h(b_0 u'_{j+1} + b_1 u'_j + b_2 u'_{j-1} + \dots + b_k u'_{j-k+1})$$

OR

$$j = k-1, k, \dots$$

$$u_{j+1} = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i u_{j-i+1} + h \sum_{i=0}^k b_i u'_{j-i+1}$$

Define a shift operator.

$$E f(x_i) = f(x_{i+1})$$

$$E^2 f(x_i) = f(x_{i+2})$$

\vdots

$$E^k f(x_i) = f(x_{i+k})$$

OR in discrete form

$$E^k f_i = f_{i+k}$$

With the shift operator the multistep method can be rewritten as

$$E^k u_{j-k+1} = a_1 E^{k-1} u_{j-k+1} + a_2 E^{k-2} u_{j-k+1} + \dots + a_k u_{j-k+1} \\ + h(b_0 E^k u'_{j-k+1} + b_1 E^{k-1} u'_{j-k+1} + \dots + b_k u'_{j-k+1})$$

OR

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(E^K - a_1 E^{K-1} - a_2 E^{K-2} + \dots - a_K \right) u_{j-K+1} \\ & - h \left(b_0 E^K + b_1 E^{K-1} + \dots + b_K \right) u'_{j-K+1} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow P(E) u_{j-K+1} - h \nabla(E) u'_{j-K+1} = 0$$

where P & ∇ are polynomials defined by

$$P(\xi) = \left(\xi^K - a_1 \xi^{K-1} - a_2 \xi^{K-2} - \dots - a_K \right)$$

and

$$\nabla(\xi) = \left(b_0 \xi^K + b_1 \xi^{K-1} + b_2 \xi^{K-2} + \dots + b_K \right)$$

Note that, if $b_0 = 0$, the method is called an explicit or predictor method. When $b_0 \neq 0$, it is called an implicit method.

Example: Midpoint Method:

$$u_{n+1} = u_{n-1} + 2h f_n$$

where u_0, u_1 is to be known before applying the above two-step explicit method.

The local truncation error will be given as:

$$\tau_{j+1} = y(t_{j+1}) - \sum_{i=1}^k a_i y(t_{j-i+1}) - h \sum_{i=0}^k b_i y'(t_{j-i+1})$$

Further simplifications using Taylor's series expansion of $y(t_{j+1})$, $y(t_{j-i+1})$ & $y'(t_{j-i+1})$ give:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{j+1} &= y(t_j) + h y'(t_j) + \frac{h^2}{2} y''(t_j) + \dots + \frac{h^p}{p!} y^{(p)}(t_j) + \frac{h^{p+1}}{(p+1)!} y^{(p+1)}(t_j) \\ &\quad + O(h^{p+2}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \left[y(t_j) + \underbrace{(t_{j-i+1} - t_j)}_{= t_0 + (j-i+1)h - t_0 - jh} y'(t_j) + \frac{(1-i)^2 h^2}{2} y''(t_j) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \dots + \frac{(1-i)^p}{p!} h^p y^{(p)}(t_j) + \frac{(1-i)^{p+1}}{(p+1)!} h^{p+1} y^{(p+1)}(t_j) + O(h^{p+2}) \right] \\ &\quad - h \sum_{i=0}^k b_i \left[y'(t_j) + (1-i)h y''(t_j) + \dots + \frac{(1-i)^{p-1}}{(p-1)!} h^{p-1} y^{(p)}(t_j) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(1-i)^p}{p!} h^p y^{(p+1)}(t_j) + O(h^{p+2}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

This can be rewritten in the following form

$$\tau_{j+1} = c_0 y(t_j) + c_1 h y'(t_j) + c_2 h^2 y''(t_j) + \dots + c_p h^p y^{(p)}(t_j) + T_{p+1}$$

Where

$$C_0 = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^K a_i$$

$$C_q = \frac{1}{(q)} \left[1 - \sum_{i=1}^K a_i (1-i)^q \right] - \frac{1}{(q-1)} \sum_{i=0}^K b_i (1-i)^{q-1}$$

$$q = 1, 2, \dots, p+1.$$

$$T_{p+1} = C_{p+1} h^{p+1} y^{(p+1)}(t_j) + O(h^{p+2})$$

Definition: 1. The linear multistep method is said to be consistent if it has order $p \geq 1$.

2. The linear multistep method is said to be of order p if $C_0 = C_1 = \dots = C_p = 0$ & $C_{p+1} \neq 0$

Hence for a consistent method C_0 & C_1 must be ZERO.

Ex: For a consistent method, show that

$$P'(1) = a_1 + 2a_2 + \dots + ka_k$$

Sol: $P(\xi) = \xi^k - a_1 \xi^{k-1} - a_2 \xi^{k-2} - \dots - a_k$

$$P'(\xi) = k\xi^{k-1} - a_1(k-1)\xi^{k-2} - a_2(k-2)\xi^{k-3} - \dots - a_{k-1}$$

Then

$$P'(1) = k - a_1(k-1) - a_2(k-2) - \dots - a_{k-1}$$

$$= k - a_1(k-1) - a_2(k-2) - \dots - a_{k-1}(k-(k-1))$$

$$= k(1 - a_1 - a_2 - \dots - a_{k-1} - a_k + a_k)$$

$$+ a_1 + 2a_2 + \dots + (k-1)a_{k-1}$$

We know that for a consistent method c_0 must be 0, that means

$$1 - \sum_{i=1}^k a_i = 0$$

Then.

$$P'(1) = k(0 + a_k) + a_1 + 2a_2 + \dots + (k-1)a_{k-1}$$

$$= a_1 + 2a_2 + \dots + ka_k$$

□.

Ex: Prove that if a method is consistent then

$$P(1) = 0 \quad \&$$

$$P'(1) = \tau(1)$$

Proof: For a consistent method:

$$C_0 = 0 \text{ \& } C_1 = 0.$$

$$C_0 = 0 \Rightarrow 1 - (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow P(1) = 0$$

$$C_1 = 0 \Rightarrow [1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + \dots + (k-1)a_k] \\ - [b_0 + b_1 + \dots + b_k] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 + [a_1 + 2a_2 + 3a_3 + \dots + ka_k] \\ - [a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k] - [b_0 + b_1 + \dots + b_k] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 + P'(1) - 1 - T(1) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow P'(1) = T(1)$$

Therefore a method is consistent if

$$P(1) = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$P'(1) = T(1).$$

Definition: The multistep method

$$\rho(E)u_{j-k+1} - h\sigma(E)u'_{j-k+1} = 0$$

is said to satisfy the root condition if all roots of the equation $\rho(\xi) = 0$ are contained within the unit circle centered at the origin of the complex plane, otherwise, if they fall on its boundary, they must be simple roots of ρ . Equivalently

let r_j be the roots of $\rho(\xi)$ then

$$\begin{cases} |r_j| \leq 1 & j = 1, 2, \dots, k. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, for those j such that $|r_j| = 1$ then $\rho'(r_j) \neq 0$

Remark: For a consistent method, the root condition is equivalent to zero-stability. More stronger versions of stability will be considered later.

Theorem: The linear multistep method is convergent iff the method is consistent and satisfies the root condition.

Ex: Show that the method

$$u_{j+1} - 3u_j + 2u_{j-1} = \frac{h}{2}(f_j - 3f_{j-1})$$

is not convergent.

Sol: The given multistep method can be written in the following form:

$$(E^2 - 3E + 2)u_{j-1} - h\left(\frac{E}{2} - \frac{3}{2}\right)f_{j-1} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow P(\xi) = \xi^2 - 3\xi + 2$$

$$\sigma(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}(\xi - 3)$$

Consistency check: $P(1) = 1 - 3 + 2 = 0 \quad \checkmark$

$$\sigma(1) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - 3) = -1$$

$$P'(\xi) = 2\xi - 3$$

$$P'(1) = -1$$

hence $P(1) = 0$ & $P'(1) = \sigma(1)$.

The method is consistent.

Root condition:

$$P(\xi) = \xi^2 - 3\xi + 2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \xi^2 - 2\xi - \xi + 2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (\xi - 2)(\xi - 1) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \xi = 1, \boxed{2}$$

Hence the root condition is not satisfied.

The given method is not convergent.