KNOWLEDGE SHARING SESSION SERIES SLOP FIRED BOILERS

PRESENTED BY

Awake chaudhary

INDEX

I. **Fuel Characteristic**

- ➢ Proximate Analysis

- ➢ Ultimate Analysis

- ➢ GCV & LCV-Dulong's formula

- ➢ Slop parameters

- ➢ Mixed fuels

II. **Combustion**

- ➢ Principle

- ➢ 3 T's of combustion

- ➢ Combustion of bagasse, slop and mixture of slop and bagasse

III. **Ash Characteristic of slop**

- ➢ Ash characteristic from different collection point

- ➢ Ash chemicals and their impact on boiler operation.

INDEX

IV. Heat Transfer

➢ Principle in the context of boiler

➢ Heat balance

V. Performance assessment

➢ Six parameter of performance assessment of slop fired boiler

➢ Parameter wise assessment-developing tools

**VI. Comparative evaluation of 75 & 35 TPH boiler**

➢ Heat transfer area comparative

➢ Comparison of performance parameters of both boilers

FUEL CHARACTERISTIC

Proximate Analysis

Ultimate Analysis

FUEL CHARACTERISTIC

PROXIMATE ANALYSIS

- **Moisture**
  - Higher %age in moisture , lower the calorific value.
- **Volatile matter**
  - It decides the volume of furnace.
  - Higher value cause smoke ,long flames, and decreased the calorific value.
- **Ash**
  - Higher the ash content lower the calorific value.
  - Ash characteristics influence design and operational efficiencies
- **Fixed carbon**
  - Higher the amount of fixed carbon , higher will be calorific value

$$\%FC = 100 - (\%M + \%VM + \%A)$$

Moisture

Fixed Carbon

Proximate Analysis

Volatile Matter

Ash

- Quick analysis of fuel at low cost for assessing the potential impact on operations
- Bomb Calorimeter is used to determine GCV

ULTIMATE ANALYSIS

- Chemical composition of fuel are C, O, H, N and S.
- The ultimate **analysis** is very important to calculate calorific value of fuel.
- For assessment of air required.

Required for
Design of the combustion system of boiler
Assessment of air requirement and
Determination of efficiency by indirect
method

GCV OF SLOP USING DULONG'S FORMULA

@51.5% Brix

COMPONENT	% BY WEIGHT
Carbon	19.07
Hydrogen	1.85
Oxygen	12.45
Moisture	48.5
Sulphur	0.53
Nitrogen	1.59
Ash	16.02
GCV	1654 Kcal/Kg

$$HCV = \frac{1}{100}\left[8080\,C + 34500\left(H - \frac{O}{8}\right) + 2240\,S\right] Kcal/Kg$$

$$HCV = \frac{1}{100}\left[8080 \times 19.07 + 34500\left(1.85 - \frac{12.45}{8}\right) + 2240 \times 0.53\right] Kcal/Kg$$

$$HCV = 1654.07\ Kcal/Kg$$

$$LCV = HCV - 588.76\ m_w$$

$$LCV = 1654.07 - 588.76 \times 0.485$$

$$LCV = 1368.52\ Kcal/Kg$$

SLOP

@51.5% Brix

Component	% by weight
Carbon	19.07
Hydrogen	1.85
Oxygen	12.45
Moisture	48.5
Sulphur	0.53
Nitrogen	1.59
Ash	16.02
GCV	1654 Kcal/Kg

Component	Heat (Kcal/Kg)
Carbon	8080
Hydrogen	34500
Sulphur	2240

Chemical Equation during the combustion.

$$S + O_2 = SO_2 + \quad Heat$$

$$2H_2 + O_2 = 2H_2O + Heat$$

$$C + O_2 = CO_2 + Heat_1 \qquad \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots(1)$$

Or

$$C + \frac{1}{2}O_2 = CO + Heat_2 \qquad \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots(2a)$$

$$CO + \frac{1}{2}O_2 = CO_2 + Heat_3 \qquad \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots(2b)$$

$$Heat_1 = Heat_2 + Heat_3$$

GCV at about 80% of bagasse at same level of moisture

Meters provided for monitoring slop usage

SLOP PARAMETERS

The characteristics of slop at **51.5% brix**.

Parameters	51.5% Brix
pH	3.9
Viscosity	4.5 (Pa S)
Hardness	14400 (ppm)

Implication of each parameters explained in the slides to follow

pH

Low pH-Slop is highly acidic

Corrosion Rate

Impact on Boiler
- If pH is low, high corrosion.
- High material removal rate.
- Causes tube leakage.

VISCOSITY (μ)

- Viscosity is the property due to which a fluid offer resistance to the movement of one layer to other.
- Effect of Temperature increase on μ

Fluid	μ	Fluidity
Liquid	Decrease	Increase
Gas	Increase	Increase

Impact on Boiler
- μ decreases, fluidity increases.
- Easily flow inside the pipe and passes through the slop gun.

viscosity

Operational point

VISCOSITY (PA S)

TEMPRATURE (0C)

HARDNESS

Temporary Hardness
- Presence of Bicarbonate (HCO_3^-) & Carbonate (CO_3^-) ions of Ca^{2+} & Mg^{2+}

Permanent Hardness
- Present of Chlorides Cl^- & Sulphates SO_4^{2-} ions of Ca^{2+} & Mg^{2+}

Hardness is expressed in terms of $CaCO_3$.

Impact on Boiler
- Hardness form a scale on the water tubes.
- Decrease the heat transfer rate inside the water.
- Heat loss.

CHARACTERISTICS-MIXED FUEL

Composition(%)	Slop(1.87) +Bagasse(1)	Slop(3.73) +Indian Coal(1)	Slop(3.07) +Rice Husk(1)
Carbon	20.66	23.69	23.54
Hydrogen	2.35	1.99	2.14
Oxygen	15.78	11.19	17.20
Moisture	49.04	39.97	38.75
Sulphur	0.34	0.50	0.40
Ash	10.81	21.29	16.52
Nitrogen	1.02	1.37	1.45
GCV(kcal/kg)	1841	2087	1993

COMBUSTION

COMBUSTION

The process of oxidizing (burning) all the caloric matters (C, H, S) in the fuel for producing hot flue gas
- Ignition at the point of injection
- Completion of the burning process in the furnace

The entire combustion process to be completed in the furnace to prevent secondary combustion in the subsequent passes

3 T'S OF COMBUSTION

Temperature

- Ignition temperature for initiating the burning process.

Turbulence

- The fuel and air should mix properly.
- The fuel can be burnt with less excess air.

Time

- Time required for complete combustion.
- Slop fired boiler have a very high residence time, >10.0 seconds. (Tall furnace)

Early ignition (Temperature) + Turbulence + Enough residence time = Good combustion

Impact on Boiler

- Temperature above the ignition temp, the fuel burn immediately
- Proper mixing reduces the excess air.
- High residence time, more combustion.

REQUIREMENT OF AIR-STOICHIOMETRIC

Composition	(kg/kg)	Oxygen req. (kg/kg)	Total Oxygen req. (kg/kg)	Stoichiometric Air req. (kg/kg) *Slop*
Carbon (C)	0.1907	2.67	0.509	
Hydrogen (H)	0.0185	8	0.148	
Oxygen (O)	0.1245	-	-0.1245	0.5378/0.23
Sulphur (S)	0.0053	1	0.0053	
		Total	0.5378	2.34

Composition	(kg/kg)	Oxygen req. (kg/kg)	Total Oxygen req. (kg/kg)	Stoichiometric Air req. (kg/kg) *Slop(1.87)+Bagasse(1)*
Carbon C	0.206	2.67	0.55002	
Hydrogen H	0.023	8	0.184	
Oxygen O	0.1578	-	-0.1578	0.5802/0.23
Sulphur S	0.0034	1	0.0034	
		Total	0.5802	2.522

Composition	(kg/kg)	Oxygen req. (kg/kg)	Total Oxygen req. (kg/kg)	Stoichiometric Air req. (kg/kg) *Slop(3.07)+Rice husk(1)*
Carbon C	0.2354	2.67	0.6285	
Hydrogen H	0.021	8	0.168	
Oxygen O	0.1720	-	-0.1720	0.6285/0.23
Sulphur S	0.0040	1	0.0040	
		Total	0.6285	2.73

Composition	(kg/kg)	Oxygen req. (kg/kg)	Total Oxygen req. (kg/kg)	Stoichiometric Air req. (kg/kg) *Slop(3.73)+Coal(1)*
Carbon C	0.2369	2.67	0.6325	
Hydrogen H	0.019	8	0.152	
Oxygen O	0.1119	-	-0.1119	0.6776/0.23
Sulphur S	0.0050	1	0.0050	
		Total	0.6776	2.94

COMPARATIVE STOCHIOMETRIC AIR REQUIREMENT

FUEL MIXTURE	STOCHIOMETRIC AIR REQUIREMENT (kg/kg)
Slop + bagasse	2.522
Slop + Rice Husk	2.73
Slop + Coal	2.94

Requirement impacted by available oxygen in the fuel

AIR-SOURCE OF OXYGEN FOR COMBUSTION

- **Requires some amount of excess air for completion of combustion**
- **Compromise between combustion losses (C, CO) and loss through flue gas**

ASH CHARACTERISTICS OF SLOP

ASH COLLECTION FROM DIFFERENT POINT

	ASH %		
Collection Point	As per Fives Cail-KCP	As per PG Test (75 TPH)	As per PG Test (35 TPH)
Bottom Ash	30	20	20
2nd Pass	15	5	5
Eva & Eco	15	5	5
Bag Filter	40	70	70
Total	100	100	100

ASH %as per Fives Cail KCP

Bag filter 40%
Bottom ash 30%
2nd Pass 15%
Eva & Eco 15%

■ Bottom ash ■ 2nd Pass ■ Eva & Eco ■ Bag filter

Different percentages as per different reports. It would be desirable to carry out actual field test for getting close to the actual amounts

7/1/2021   24

ASH CHEMICALS AND THEIR MELTING POINTS

S. NO	Element	Melting Temperature (^{0}C)
1	SiO2	1710
2	Al2O3	2072
3	Fe2O3	1565
4	CaO	2572
5	MgO	2852
6	Na2O	**1132**
7	K2O	**740**
8	TiO2	1843
9	P2O5	340
10	MnO	1945

Melting Temp

Flame

FEGT

Flame-1000-1200 ^{0}C
FEGT-650 ^{0}C

Impact on Boiler

- The potassium oxide followed by sodium oxide would be creating problems like slagging and clinker due to low melting point and fusion temperature and also due to volatilization. (Data source— SPECTRO LAB REPORT)

ASH CONSTITUENTS-DIFFERENT COLLECTION POINT

S. No	Test parameters (% by mass)	Furnace	FSH	PSH	Economizer
1	Silica (SiO2)	14.08	28.32	8.00	12.24
2	Alumina (Al2O3)	10.18	6.51	3.35	8.37
3	Iron Oxide (Fe2O3)	3.27	1.86	1.17	3.32
4	Calcium Oxide (CaO)	10.68	**10.92**	**4.61**	9.32
5	Magnesium Oxide (MgO)	3.84	**11.18**	**4.98**	4.91
6	Sodium Oxide (Na2O)	0.23	**1.38**	**1.20**	0.20
7	Potassium Oxide (K2O)	4.50	**18.66**	**12.20**	2.88
8	Titanium Oxide (TiO2)	0.70	0.39	0.25	0.45
9	Phosphorus (P2O5)	0.26	1.62	0.53	0.40
10	Manganese Oxide (MnO)	0.03	----	----	0.03
11	Loss on ignition, % by mass	47.00	6.85	41.20	56.75

As per test reports from--- dated 4/11/2019 for the 35TPH boiler

ASH CONSTITUENTS (CONTINUED)

Inefficiency in combustion , secondary combustions and formation of clinkers
Sulphate in SH adds to problem of slagging, while in Eco to corrosion

Level of UC much higher compared to the level during PG test

Summarising

- Acid oxides are SiO2, Al2O3 and TiO2.

- Basic oxides are Fe2O3, CaO, MgO, Na2O, K2O.

- Base and acid oxides is formed during combustion and their ratio is used to determine the fusion (fusibility) temperature of reaction product.

- Ash exhibit low fusibility temperature with higher slagging potential when its base/acid ratio is in range **0.4 to 0.7.**

- The fusibility temperature of ash will be lowered when Fe2O3/CaO ratio is in range of **0.2 to 1.**

Base to acid ratio =
(Fe2O3+CaO+MgO+Na2O+K2O)/(SiO2+Al2O3 +TiO2)
= 27.8275/23.21
= **1.198**

Slagging index = (Base to acid ratio) x Dry sulfur content.
= 1.198 x 0.53
= **0.635**

Fouling index = (Base to acid ratio) x (Na2O +K2O)
= 1.198 x 10.3125
= **12.35**

Fe2O3/CaO ratio = **0.26**

<0.6, low slagging inclination
0.6–2.0, medium
2.0–2.6, high
>2.6, extremely high

≤0.6, low fouling indication
0.6–40, high
>40, extremely high

Primarily governed by the pick up of chemicals by cane from the soil (variety impact). Improving base ratio by external means unlikely to be cost effective –monitoring regularly for fixing the soot blowing schedule as well as schedule for cleaning. Operational care to maintain the combustion and FO temperatures

28

HEAT TRANSFER

HEAT

The overall efficiency of boilers is product of **combustion** and **heat transfer efficiencies**, the later governing the heat loss through the flue gas and some loss through the boiler outer surfaces to the atmosphere (known as radiation & convection losses)

CONDUCTION

HEAT TRANSFER MECHANISMS

RADIATION

CONVECTION

CONDUCTION

- Conduction can take place in solids, liquids, or gases.

- In solids, it is due to the combination of **vibrations of the molecules** in a lattice and the energy transport by **free electrons**.

- In gases and liquids, conduction is due to the **collisions** and **diffusion** of the molecules during their random motion.

$$Q_{Cond} = -KA \frac{dT}{dx}$$

Flue Gases

Vapour

Conduction in
Water tube wall

CONVECTION

- **Convection** is the mode of energy transfer between a solid surface and the adjacent liquid or gas that is in motion, and it involves the combined effects of *conduction* and *fluid motion.*

- Convection heat transfer depends on the fluid properties dynamic **viscosity** (μ), **density** (ρ), and **fluid velocity** (v).

$$Q_{conv} = h A_s \quad (T_s - T_\infty)$$

- This is also know as **newton's law of cooling.**

RADIATION

- **Radiation** is the energy emitted by matter in the form of **electromagnetic waves** as a result of the changes in the electronic configurations of the atoms or molecules.

- Heat transfer by radiation is fastest (at the **speed of light**).

- All bodies at a temperature above absolute zero (-273.15 ^{0}C) emit thermal radiation.

Boilers-classically represented as a series of heat exchangers

7/1/2021
34

HEAT BALANCE

Heat Exchangers	Economizer	Furnace	PSH	Attemperator	FSH
Dominant Heat Transfer mode	Convection	Radiation	Radiation & Convection	Convection	Radiation & Convection
Types of Heat	Sensible Heat	Latent Heat	Sensible Heat	Sensible cooling	Sensible Heat
Heat gain formulae	$c_{fl} T(_{ot} - T_{il}$ $)$	$h_g - h_f$	$c_{Pg}T_s(_{su} - T_s$ $)$	$c_{Pg}T_s(_{su1} - T_{su2}$ $)$	$(c_{Pg}T_{sF} - T_{sp2})$
Calculation	4.18 (232-150) =342.76 kj/kg	2798.6 - 1115.5 =1683.1 kj/kg	2.1 (391-256) =283.5 kj/kg	2.1 (391-354) =77.7 kj/kg	2.1 (400-354) =96.6 kj/kg

HEAT BALANCE

Economizer 14%

Furnace 68%

PSH 11%

Attemperator 3%

FSH 4%

Legend: Economizer, Furnace, PSH, Attemperator, FSH

HEAT TRANSFER IN SCAPH

Heat Exchangers	SCAPH (FD)	SCAPH (SA)
Dominant Heat Transfer mode	Convection	Convection
Types of Heat	Latent Heat	Latent Heat
Heat gain formulae	$h_g - h_f$	$h_g - h_f$
Calculation	2743.4-622.5 =2120.9 kj/kg	2743.4-622.5 =2120.9 kj/kg

PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS

dhampur

Evaporation Capacity

Slop Burning Rate

Supporting Fuel Consumption

Mean Time B/W Stoppages

Auxiliary Power Consumption

Boiler Efficiency

PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS	DATA
EVAPORATION CAPACITY	Rated capacity of the boiler
	Top 5 Maximum TPH (steam) generation boiler using slop
	Decline graph between stoppage
SLOP BURNING RATE	Rated capacity of boiler
	Top 5 slop burning boiler in the world
	Ton of slop per ton of steam
SUPPORTING FUEL CONSUMPTION	Type of supporting fuel
	GCV
	Minimum consumption of supporting fuel Per ton of steam generation
	Minimum consumption of slop Per ton of steam generation

PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS	DATA
MEAN TIME B/W STOPPAGES	No of days
	No of hours
	Total steam generation b/w stoppages
	Reason for stoppage
AUXILIARY POWER CONSUMPTION	Specific power consumption (kWh per ton)
BOILER EFFICIENCY	Slop consumption
	Auxiliary Fuel consumption
	Ultimate analysis of both fuels
	Oxygen content in a flue gas
	Temperature of inlet air and exhaust flue gases
	Specific heat of flue gas
	Unburnt carbon data in ash in different zone
	CO in flue gas

1) EVAPORATION CAPACITY

FOR DIAGNOSTIC OF EVAPORATION CAPACITY

a) Draft profile	Manual , Log Book	mmWC
c) Water and steam temperature profile	Manual , Log Book	^0C
• Furnace	Manual	m^2
• PSH	Manual	m^2
• ECO	Manual	m^2

2) SLOP BURNING RATE

FOR DIAGNOSTIC OF SLOP BURNING RATE

Data Needed	Possible Source of Data	UOM
a) BRIX	Manual , Log Book	%
b) Slop Temperature in the tank	Manual , Log Book	^{0}C
c) Furnace temperature Before entering the slop into furnace	Manual , Log Book	^{0}C
d) Steam tracing		
e) Burning Rate	Manual , Log Book	TPH
f) Gun Cleaning History	N/A	Hours

3) SUPPORTING FUEL CONSUMPTION

COMPARISON OF SUPPORTING FUEL

Data Needed	Possible Source of Data	UOM
Type of supporting fuel	Manual, Daily Report	Numbers
GCV of supporting fuel	Manual, SELF	kJ/kg
Minimum consumption of supporting fuel Per ton of steam generation	Log Book	TON
Minimum consumption of slop Per ton of steam generation	Log Book	TON

4) AUXILIARY POWER CONSUMPTION

FOR DIAGNOSTIC OF AUXILIARY POWER CONSUMPTION

Data Needed	Possible Source of Data	UOM
a) All equipment rating	Manual , Log Book	kW
b) Energy meter reading Meter map	Log Book Physical inventory	kWh

5) BOILER EFFICIENCY

FOR DIAGNOSTIC OF BOILER EFFICIENCY

Data Needed	Possible Source of Data	UOM
a) Slop consumption	Manual(25.5TPH) , Log Book	TPH
b) Auxiliary Fuel consumption	Daily Report	TPD
c) Ultimate analysis of both fuels	SELF	
d) Oxygen content in a flue gas	DCS & Log Book	kg
e) Temperature of inlet air and exhaust flue gases	DCS & Log Book	^0C
f) Specific heat of flue gas	SELF	kJ/kg
g) Unburnt carbon data in ash in different zone	LAB	kg
h) CO in flue gas	N/A	kg

Comparative Evaluation of 75&35 TPH

Heat Transfer Area Comparative

Heat Exchanger	MANUAL DATA (75TPH)	MANUAL DATA (35TPH)	75TPH	35TPH
	Surface Area (m²)	Surface Area (m²)	m²/TPH	m²/TPH
Furnace	1862	1106	24.82	31.6
SH	1448	924	19.3	26.4
EVA	472	238	6.29	6.8
ECO	3050	1386	40.67	39.6
TOTAL	6832	3654	91	104.4

With increase in capacity rating, specific heat transfer areas do get reduced. However, reduction in the Furnace and SH areas by over 26% looks very high.

Date	Steam Generation (TPH)	Flue gas temp(^{0}C) drop in between (75 TPH)				
		Furnace & 2nd pass	2nd pass &PSH I/L	PSH I/L & Evap. I/L	Evap. I/L & Eco. I/L	Eco. I/L & Eco. O/L
Before Maintenance/cleaning						
03/3/2020 (15:48:47)	68.33	4	48	139	29	175
19/3/2020 (18:46:33)	69.44	-2	44	143	22	178
23/3/2020 (10:28:34)	70.53	-6	43	145	18	168.5
23/3/2020 (10:55:58)	70.1	-1	45	141	19	170.5
23/3/2020 (16:00:21)	70.46	-14	38	147	22	170.2
After Maintenance/cleaning						
25/5/2020 (08:08:07)	70.53	-7	57	147	31	120.6
25/5/2020 (15:33:07)	70.46	31	51	136	32	116.8
25/5/2020 (17:29:31)	70.1	5	60	141	31	119.8
25/5/2020 (18:52:40)	69.44	-32	66	150	32	119.3
24/5/2020 (22:54:49)	68.33	-22	59	151	33	129.3

Wide fluctuation indicates furnace instability and possible secondary combustion. Temperature drop across eco has gone down Post cleaning

Date	Steam Generation (TPH)	Flue gas temp(°C) drop in between (35 TPH)				
		Furnace & 2nd pass	2nd pass & PSH I/L	PSH I/L & Evap. I/L	Evap. I/L & Eco. I/L	Eco. I/L & Eco. O/L
Before Maintenance/cleaning						
16/3/2020	34	116.3	44.8	95	2	142.6
22/3/2020	32.9	117.5	38.1	95.5	4.7	142.6
24/3/2020	32	80.6	42.1	98.1	9.1	143.7
25/3/2020	34.4	114.5	46.2	105.6	1.8	138.7
26/3/2020	34	112.4	60.5	107.7	4.6	148.8
After Maintenance/cleaning						
13-04-20	34.4	128.5	41.4	117.1	17.8	131.6
14-04-20	34	110.4	37.7	121.1	22.7	134.3
16-04-20	32	110.5	33.3	109.2	17.3	127.9
17-04-20	32.9	112.1	33.5	110	18.1	129
20-04-20	34	116.8	35.9	115.1	23.2	141

Shows that there has been Secular improvement Post cleaning. Marginal reduction in Eco drop can be explained. Improved performance of the evaporator post cleaning

COMPARISION OF TEMPERATURE DIFFERENCE B/W FURNACE & 2nd PASS

Temperature Difference B/W Furnace & 2nd Pass			
Before Maintenance		After Maintenance	
75 TPH	35 TPH	75 TPH	35 TPH
-6	116.3	-7	116.8
-14	117.5	31	112.1
-1	80.6	5	110.5
-2	114.5	-32	128.5
4	112.4	-22	110.4

Rise in temperature in the 2nd pass indicates either instrument error or secondary Combustions or both

Flue gas temperature at exit comparison "After & Before Maintenance/Shut down".

Reduced flue gas losses post cleaning as per expectation

UNBURNT & O$_2$ AT BEST & WORST EFFICIENCY OF BOILER

UNBURNT & O$_2$ AT BEST & WORST (75 TPH)

- Bottom ash (%): 9.4, 7.2
- Fly ash (%): 8.8, 9
- O2 (%): 6.2, 8

η (56.15%) η (52.4%)

UNBURNT & O2 AT BEST & WORST (35 TPH)

- Bottom ash (%): 10.74, 10.86
- Fly ash (%): 5.66, 4.06
- O2 (%): 6.5, 6.13

η (52.6%) η (47.5%)

By and large consistent performance-still scope for improvement by operating at benchmark

7/1/2021   52

FLUE GAS DRAFT PROFILE (BEFORE & AFTER MAINTENANCE)

FLUE GAS DRAFT PROFILE (75 TPH)

Huge drop in the bag filters
Pre-cleaning
Maybe air is not purging properly

FLUE GAS DRAFT PROFILE (35 TPH)

Evaporator the problem area
Soot blowing system needs
Further assessment

ID draft should have reduced
Post cleaning instead of increase

FLUE GAS TEMPERATURE PROFILE (BEFORE & AFTER MAINTENANCE)

FLUE GAS TEMPERATURE PROFILE (75 TPH)

- Before maintenance (70.53 TPH)
- After maintenance (70.53 TPH)

Values along profile (Before / After):
- FURNACE: 590 / 546
- FSH I/L: 596 / 553
- PSH I/L: 553 / 496
- EVA I/L: 408 / 349
- ECO I/L: 390 / 318
- ECO O/L BAG FILTER O/L: 221.5 / 197.4, 214 / 187

Eco seems to remain
A problem area
Lower drop post cleaning

FLUE GAS TEMPERATURE PROFILE (35 TPH)

- Before maintenance (34.4 TPH)
- After maintenance (34.4 TPH)

Values along profile (Before / After):
- FURNACE: 605.7 / 609
- FSH I/L: 491.2 / 480.5
- PSH I/L: 445 / 439.1
- EVA I/L: 339.4 / 322
- ECO I/L: 337.6 / 304.2
- ECO O/L BAG FILTER O/L: 198.9 / 172.6, 183.2 / 163.6

No impact of cleaning
Furnace & SH-probably
Due to high inbuilt
capacity

54

Water & Steam Temperature Profile (Before & After Maintenance)

WATER & STEAM TEMPERATURE PROFILE (75 TPH)

Before maintenance (70.53 TPH) — After maintenance (70.53 TPH)

In line with observations on drafts

WATER & STEAM TEMPERATURE PROFILE (35 TPH)

Before maintenance (36 TPH) — After maintenance (36 TPH)

75 TPH Performance Parameters-summary

Date	Steam Generation (TPH)	Feed water Temp. (^{0}C)	Main Steam Temp. (^{0}C)	Main Steam Pressure (kg/cm2)	Furnace Temp. (^{0}C)	Fuel Consumption (TPD)	Bagasse Moisture	Slop brix	GCV Of Bagasse (kcal/kg)	GCV of slop (kcal/kg)	Efficiency (%)
8/3/20	Max 74.1	148	404.2	40	580.3	$Slop_{Min}$ =**579** $Bagasse_{Max}$=**420**		56		1800	55.411
17/3/20	73.2	150	407.4	40.3	615.8	Slop =645 Bagasse=360		57.5		1848	54.336
19/3/20	71.2	148	403.5	41	627	$Slop_{Max}$ =648 Bagasse=360	49.7%	57.4	2272	1845	52.744
25/5/2020	70.53	144	398.6	40	546	Slop =638 Bagasse=336		57		1832	54.556
25/5/2020	Min 70.46	146	387.3	40.2	558	Slop =638 $Bagasse_{Min}$=**336**		53		1703	56.157

High rate of generation even under fouled condition indicates inbuilt over capacity. Scope for increasing slop consumption and reducing aux fuel

Calculated by direct method with enthalpy

35 TPH Performance Parameters-summary

Date	Steam Generation (TPH)	Feed water Temp. (^{0}C)	Main Steam Temp. (^{0}C)	Main Steam Pressure (kg/cm2)	Furnace Temp. (^{0}C)	Fuel Consumption (TPD)	Bagasse Moisture	Slop brix	GCV Of Bagasse (kcal/kg)	GCV of slop (kcal/kg)	Efficiency (%)
22/1/20 4:00	35.9	137.6	396.2	42.9	634.2	Slop =295.2 Bagasse =228.7		57.33		1840	50.94
01/4/20 21:00	35.4	143	398.8	43.3	635	$Slop_{Max} = 299.52$ $Bagasse_{Max} = 253.2$		56		1798.4	47.45
11/4/20 5:00	Max 36.5	136.9	401.8	43.9	636.8	Slop =296.4 Bagasse =220	47.67%	57.80	2272	1856.29	51.44
12/4/20 6:00	36.1	137.5	401.8	42.3	644	**Slop =299** Bagasse =225.12		54.70		1756.7	52.58
13/4/20	Min 35.1	146	401	43.1	597	$Slop_{Min} = 288.24$ $Bagasse_{Min} = 210$		56.50		1814.55	52.35

EFFICIENCY COMPARISION OF 75 TPH & 35 TPH BOILER

EFFICIENCY COMPARISION

■ 75 TPH Efficiency ■ 35 TPH Efficiency

MAX — 56.157
MAX — 52.58
MIN — 52.744
MIN — 47.45

% (y-axis: 42, 44, 46, 48, 50, 52, 54, 56, 58)

1   2

Efficiency

COMPARISION WITH PG TESTPERFORMANCE

| PARAMETERS	75 TPH				35 TPH			
	PG TEST REPORT	PG TEST η BY INDIRECT METHOD	PG TEST η BY DIRECT METHOD	η BYDIRECT METHOD	PG TEST REPORT	PG TEST η BY INDIRECT METHOD	PG TEST η BY DIRECT METHOD	η BYDIRECT METHOD
LOAD (TPH)	74.6	73.4	73.4	70.46	35.2	35.2	35.2	35.2
FUEL CONSUMPTION (TPD)	942.19	970	970	974	430.1	430.1	430.1	515.28
FLUE GAS TEMPERATURE ECO O/L (0C)	213.2	209	209	191.2	201.5	201.5	201.5	178.5
AUXILARY POWER (kWh)	15480	27390	27390	23960	317.5	317.5	708	708
UNBURNT (%)	9.65	9.65	9.65	9.53	9.2	9.2	9.1	9.1
O_2 (%)	7.3	7.4	7.4	6.2	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5
Efficiency (%)	63.57	59.5	58.57	56.15	57.58	61.5	60.31	52.58

Our initial assessment on lower efficiency is possibly related to bagasse accounting. Would require further study.
We would also suggest that we must have **two portable instruments-one flue gas analyser and a radiation thermometer**.
This would help us in better monitoring of the efficiency performance on a regular basis.

METER MAP

AUXILARY POWER CONSUMPTION

	75 TPH		
Date	Auxiliary power (kWh/Day)	Steam generated (TPD)	Power/Steam (kWh/Ton)
8/03/20	27230	1778.4	15.31
17/03/20	26450	1756.8	15.05
19/03/20	26770	1708.8	15.66
25/05/20	23960	1692	14.16

	35 TPH		
Date	Auxiliary power (kWh/Day)	Steam generated (TPD)	Power/Steam (kWh/Ton)
1/4/2020	17000	821.19	20.70
2/4/2020	17000	805.79	21.09
11/4/2020	17000	838.60	20.27
13/4/2020	17000	804.64	21.12

Scope for improvement particularly post cleaning

FUEL-MCQS

Q1) PH of slop is_____.

a) 1   b) 3.9   c)7

Q2) Viscosity varies with temperature_____.

a) Logarithmically   b) Directly   c) Inversely

Q3) Higher the moisture content lower will be_____.

a) Calorific value   b) combustion rate   c) Both a) & b)

Q4) Impact of hardness on boiler_____.

a) Form scale on water tubes   b)decrease heat transfer rate, heat loss   c) Both a) & b)

Q5) PH of slop is_____.

a) Acidic   b) Basic   c) Neutral

COMBUSTION-MCQS

Q1)_____the residence time ,_____will be combustion.

a) Higher , more   b) higher , less   c) lower , more

Q2) Slop fired boiler has very _____residence time,>_____secs.

a)High , 5   b) low , 10   c) high , 10

Q3) Proper mixing of fuel and oxygen_____rate of combustion.

a) Increases   b) decreases   c) remains constant

Q4) If temperature is_____the ignition temperature the fuel will burn immediately.

a) Below   b) equal   c) above

Q5) Turbulence in terms of combustion refers to mixing of_____.

a) Fuel & fuel   b) fuel & air   c) air & air

ASH-MCQS

Q1) Potassium & sodium oxide creates problems like slagging & clinker due to_____M.P.

a) Low   b) high   c) constant

Q2) High content in ash would help in combating slagging problems.

a) Mg   b) Ca   c) Al

Q3) Ash exhibit low fusibility temperature with higher slagging potential when its base/acid ratio is in range_____.

a) 0.4-0.7   b) 0.7-0.9   c) 0.2-0.7

Q4) % of ash is same in_____&_____.

a) Bag filter & 2nd pass   b) Eva , Eco & bag filter   c) 2nd pass & Eva ,Eco

Q5) Impact of MgO and CaO on boilers

a) Prevent corrosion   b) decrease heat transfer rate   c) Both a) & b)

MCQ HEAT TRANSFER

Q1) There are_____methods of heat transfer.

a) 4   b) 3   c) 2

Q2) Conduction can take place in

a) Solid , Liquid   b) Liquid, Gas   c) Solid , Liquid , Gas

Q3) Convection is the mode of energy transfer between solid surface and adjacent_____or _____ that is in motion.

a) Liquid Or Gas   b) Solid or Liquid   c) Solid or Gas

Q4)_____is also known as Newton's Law of cooling.

a) Convection   b) Radiation   c) Conduction

Q5)_____is energy emitted by matter in form of electromagnetic waves.

a) Conduction   b) Radiation   c) Convection

PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS-MCQS

Q1) How many factors are required to determine performance parameters?

a) 5   b) 6   c) 4

Q2) What is the unit of draft?

a) Kg/cm   b) MMWC   c) N

Q3) GCV of slop as 1654kcal/kg is calculated on what % of brix according to manual?

a) 55%   b) 51.5%   c) 52.6%

Q4) What is the rated capacity of slop consumption as per manual?

a) 26.5TPH   b)27.5TPH   c)25.5TPH

CONCLUSION

- This study has helped us in developing a better understanding of boilers in general and the physics, chemistry, engineering, operation and maintenance of slop fired boilers in particular

- In the process, we have also identified few performance enhancement possibilities

- We would consider it a privilege should we get opportunity to participate in our group's program for improving boiler performance

- We would also look forward to get similar learning opportunities in different technical areas of operation of the sugar, chemical and cogeneration facilities.

We Are Thankful To All Our Seniors And Colleagues For The Help Extended For The Study

awakechaudhary@gmail.com

https://www.linkedin.com/in/awake-chaudhary-741006146/