

- Q1. You are given a qualitative attribute, X_{colour} , taking 7 possible values, i.e.

$$X_{\text{colour}} \in \{\text{colour}_1, \dots, \text{colour}_7\}$$

You would like to transform it into a set of binary dummy variables to be added instead of X_{colour}

- to the following linear regression model

$$F(X_{\text{quantitative}}, \lambda) = [1, X_{\text{quantitative}}^T] \lambda$$

• where $X_{\text{quantitative}} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $d=9$.

What is the number of degrees of freedom of the model after you have added the new dummy variables?

- Q2. Let \mathcal{D} be a random data set generated by the true process

$$Y \sim f(X) + \epsilon$$

where ϵ is random.

Let $\hat{f}(X_0, \mathcal{D})$ the prediction for new input, X_0 , made by a model trained on \mathcal{D} .

a. The bias of $\hat{f}(X, \mathcal{D})$ at X_0 depends on X_0 .

c. The bias of $\hat{f}(X, \mathcal{D})$ at X_0 may depend on the degrees of freedom of the model class.

f. In general, squared bias is low for models that overfit the data.

h. The bias of $\hat{f}(X, \mathcal{D})$ at X_0 is $E_{\mathcal{D}}(\hat{f}(X_0)) - f(X_0)$

Q3. Suppose you train two prediction algorithms, A0 and A1, and are looking for evidence that

- A1 produces a better prediction rule than A0.

~~And you apply the two prediction rules to the same dataset.~~

~~Then~~ Your null hypothesis is that

- A1 is not better than A0

and you apply the two prediction rules to the same dataset.

The results are summarized in the following contingency table:

	A1	
A0	correct	wrong
correct	1890	43
wrong	59	231

- There is evidence that the prediction rule produced by A1 is better.

- the evidence is not statistically significant at level 5%.

- the evidence is not highly statistically significant at level 1%.

You can use R to answer this question.

Q4. You are training a linear regression learning machine $F(X, \lambda) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 X$ on the following data set.

$$\mathcal{D} = \{(x_n, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^2\}_{n=1}^N = \{(0, 1), (1, 1.5), (2, -0.5), (3, -2)\}$$

Compute the value of the ℓ_2 -penalised objective.

$$J(\mathcal{D}, \lambda) = \rho \|\lambda\|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^N (y_n - F(x_n, \lambda))^2, \quad \rho = 0.1$$

for $\lambda = [1, -1]^T$.

Q5. You test a classification algorithm on a given test data set with labels $X \in \{\text{yes}, \text{no}\}$ and obtain

Prediction	True label		
	"yes"	"no"	
"yes"	11	5	TP
"no"	7	9	FN

FP	TN
----	----

Compute the error rate of the algorithm.

The total number of observations: $11 + 5 + 7 + 9 = 32$

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \text{ Prediction's error rate} &= \frac{FN + TN}{\text{Total observations}} \\ &= \frac{7 + 9}{32} = \frac{16}{32} = \frac{1}{2} = 0.5\% \end{aligned}$$

$$\bullet \text{ True label's error rate} = \frac{5 + 9}{32} = \frac{14}{32} = 0.4375\%$$

$$\therefore \text{The error rate of the algorithm} = 0.5 + 0.4375 = 0.9375\%$$