(h)

Chapter 2: Many mays to Show! a Case Study.

Topics

- · Many mays to visualise a dataset
- · Examples of band visualisation and Recommendations
- . TEd talk "The Beauty of Data Visualization"

Many Ways to Show: a Case Study

- Suppose that you are working high up on a glass tomer for some multi-national organisation.
- rends on the moved since 1960.
 - · How do you start?

Dataset

- · Download some data from the World Bank.
- · For each country:
 - country name (e.g. India)
 - country code (e.g. IND)
 - region (e.g. South Asia)
 - population on 1960 (pop1960)
 - population in 2014 (popso14)

How to explore & present this information?

Data -> Questions

- · Are there obvious errors in the data?
- which countries have largest/smallest populations?
- e populations of which countries have grown the most/least?
- · Do different regions show different patterns?
- population growth?

- Uzralak	
Scatterplot	
Your exploratory scatterplot:	
log pop 1960 Vs log pop 2014	
Shows 422 numbers, the region of each country is	
disprayed as a color. (could use shape as well)	
- And I a sign and a labor	n
The reference comparison might be no change in population	وا
- unich is a diagonal the of stope 1.	
Can me reprot so reference comparison is horizontal?	
Most of the space is taken up showing that different	
countries have different populations:	
can we use more space to indicate growth?	
Population Growth Rate	
growth = pop 2014/ pop1960	1
· Yes, there is a country with a population 100 times larger	`
in 2014 than 1960!	
· Check:	
-1s this accurate?	
-which country?	
ome need to plot growth on a log scale	
the state of the same of the s	
Population Growth Rate - 109 scale.	
BeHer.	
Reference comparison is horizontal: groups of countrie	2
stand out better.	
Horizontal scale is poplabo:	
- but there is no particular reason to compare populati	on
size to growth rate.	
· Horizontal scale is just spreading the points out.	
· we want to see country names	

the public of a manager of the form of the

Selected Countries

- on 2014.
- · Allows us to see trends on large countries more dearly.
- ploto.
- · About 80 countries shown jew enough to show names.

Country Names

presentation be cause the codes are obscure, and text overlaps.

Vertical scale is a log scale: the gradient of a line represents the growth in population.

- · Height at left is population in 1960;
- eneight at right is population in 2014.
- · Same information as on the scatterplots
 - -but now each point has become a line, and the
- · Too much ink!
 - We are using to times the ink for each country, and the graph is more confusing.
- Sometimes you find an interactive d3 application like this, where you click on a line to reveal more papermation.
- theman ability to read gradient is very bad.
 - -Don't we gradient to represent important information unless it is unavoidable.

	Bar Chart.
	Dots are usually better than bars - a bar has many times more
	mr!
	Having 2 bors for each country would be a mess,
	but 2 dots mere pre.
	The countries are ordered alphabetically:
	-this makes the graph unreadable
	For a graph, order a categorical variable in a visually
	meaning ful way.
	Carphabetic ordering can be useful for data in tables,
S AS	- 17 readers actually need to look up numeric values.
	In graphs, you want to pick out organiation visually,
	and then find the labels.
	The tradition of the Best Trains to Survey
	Betler.
12	- Now we can see patterns of growth by region.
	- one data error stands out.
	Cleveland Dot Plot
1	- Names are connected to dots, with minimum ink.
	- Ordered by population on 2014; population on 1960
	shown as red dot.
	-Gap behucon the dots is the log growth rate.
211	- Hard to see any patterns - colour is wed for year &
	not for region.
Sail !	Salar Recording to the land of
	Facetted cleveland Dot Plot
	- Countries on different regions shown separately, but on
1	· the same horizontal scale.
9	-Nou some patterns emerge
	- We are still tryind to plot population size & growth
	on the same graph.
	-Maybbe we should plot them on different graphs?

D

Cleveland Dot Plot of Grown Rate, Facetted by Region. - Alow some patterns are obvious, & surprises a questions emerge. . Is the region" Europe & Contral Asia" reasonable? alise a linear growth scale for emphasis? example of bad visualisations Recommendations Dots or Bors? - Each par is one number - look how much link! -Include o on the scale or not? Depends on your audience. - A bar looks like a solid mass, so IMO a bar should start at 0, but a dot doesn't have to. Excessive TOK!! e Each curve connects a year to a number of earthquakes ... o Use a plot!!! efach curve links 2 countries with one number. curves hard to pollow. · Few companisons can be made. · Curves could be replaced by dots. · Selection & Summary neoded. Recommendations . Use jull range of space available; rescale if necessary. o Use minimum onc. - Prefer dots to bars, or lines that can be made into a dot. · select, somplify, & summarise. Figure out what comparisons you want to show; - choose graphs that can be read accurately - for these companisons & mormation.

	(Usually) don't show a categorical Variable
	-leng. country name) in alphabetical or a mooningless order:
0.	- order st meaning july!
•	More than one plot may be needed:
	-combining population size & population growth on one
	enart was not dear.
0	use facething
	- to show comparisons between subjets of data.
	day, when were and the property of the same
	Remarks: graphics & text
air L	When writing a report:
1)	First gather & construct your hard, precise information
	-graphics - tables
	- technical dofinitions & equations
2)	After that write your text around the hard information
	- (bepore & after) commenting on it to make your argument.
	o Present hard into ma clear & precise may
	- and the make interesting remarks about it.
	- and the make interesting remarks about it.
	- and the make interesting remarks about it. The reader can refer to your more dear, well designed araphics, technical definitions etc.
	- and the make interesting remarks about it. The reader can refer to your more dear, well designed araphics, technical definitions etc.
	- and the make interesting remarks about it. The reader can refer to your minus clear, well designed graphics, technical definitions etc. Instead of wading through waffly description
	- and the make interesting remarks about it. The reader can refer to your minus clear, well designed graphics, technical definitions etc. Instead of wading through waffly description You don't expect to write good text first time:
	- and the make interesting remarks about it. The reader can refer to your more dear, well designed graphics, technical definitions etc. Instead of wading through mattly description You don't expect to write good text first time: -much revision & returganisation is needed.
	- and the make interesting remarks about it. The reader can refer to your more clear, well designed graphics, technical definitions etc. Instead of wading through waffly description You don't expect to write good text first time: -much revision & recorganisation is needed. Similary, a good graph is hard to produce:
	- and the make interesting remarks about it. The reader can refer to your minus clear, well designed graphics, technical definitions etc. Instead of wading through waffly description You don't expect to write good text first time: -much revision & recorganisation is needed. Similarly, a good graph is hard to produce: - expect at least to or many more attempts
	- and the make interesting remarks about it. The reader can refer to your more clear, well designed graphics, technical definitions etc. Instead of wading through waffly description You don't expect to write good text first time: -much revision & recorganisation is needed. Similary, a good graph is hard to produce:
	- and the make interesting remarks about it. The reader can refer to your minus clear, well designed graphics, technical definitions etc. Instead of wading through waffly description You don't expect to write good text first time: -much revision & recorganisation is needed. Similarly, a good graph is hard to produce: - expect at least to or many more attempts
	- and the make interesting remarks about it. The reader can refer to your minus clear, well designed graphics, technical definitions etc. Instead of wading through waffly description You don't expect to write good text first time: -much revision & recorganisation is needed. Similarly, a good graph is hard to produce: - expect at least to or many more attempts
	- and the make interesting remarks about it. The reader can refer to your minus clear, well designed graphics, technical definitions etc. Instead of wading through waffly description You don't expect to write good text first time: -much revision & recorganisation is needed. Similarly, a good graph is hard to produce: - expect at least to or many more attempts
	- and the make interesting remarks about it. The reader can refer to your miles dear, well designed graphics, technical definitions etc. Instead of wading through waffly description You don't expect to write good text first time: -much revision & recordanisation & needed. Similary, a good graph is hard to produce: -expect at least to or many more attempts -before you find the right way to present the right information.