

# Measure Theory

## 1 $\sigma$ -Algebras

**Definition 1.1.** A  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  on a set  $X$  is a family of subsets of  $X$  such that:

1.  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  ( $\Sigma_1$ )
2. If  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$  ( $\Sigma_2$ )
3. If  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$  ( $\Sigma_3$ )

A set  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be *measurable* or  *$\mathcal{A}$ -measurable*.

**Example 1.1.**

1.  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra (the maximal  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$ ).
2.  $\{\emptyset, X\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra (the minimal  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$ ).
3.  $\mathcal{A} := \{A \subseteq X : \#A < \infty \text{ or } \#A^c < \infty\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.
4. (Trace  $\sigma$ -algebra) Let  $E \subseteq X$  be any set and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$ . Then

$$\mathcal{A}_E := \{E \cap A : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $E$ .

*Proof.* We verify the three defining properties of a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $E$ :

- (a) Since  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have  $E = E \cap X \in \mathcal{A}_E$ .
- (b) If  $E \cap A \in \mathcal{A}_E$ , then  $E \setminus (E \cap A) = E \cap A^c$ , and since  $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$ , it follows that  $E \cap A^c \in \mathcal{A}_E$ .
- (c) If  $(E \cap A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_E$ , then  $\bigcup_n (E \cap A_n) = E \cap \bigcup_n A_n$ , and since  $\bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ , we conclude that  $\bigcup_n (E \cap A_n) \in \mathcal{A}_E$ .

Hence,  $\mathcal{A}_E$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $E$ . □

5. (Pre-image  $\sigma$ -algebra) Let  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  be a function and let  $\mathcal{A}'$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X'$ . Then

$$\mathcal{A} := \{f^{-1}(A') : A' \in \mathcal{A}'\}$$

is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $X$  be a set and let  $\{\mathcal{A}_i : i \in I\}$  be a family of  $\sigma$ -algebras on  $X$ . Define

$$\mathcal{A} := \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i = \{A \subseteq X : A \in \mathcal{A}_i \text{ for all } i \in I\}.$$

Then,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$ .

*Proof.* We verify the  $\sigma$ -algebra properties for  $\mathcal{A}$ :

1. Since  $X \in \mathcal{A}_i$  for all  $i \in I$ , we have  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ .
2. If  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $A \in \mathcal{A}_i$  for all  $i \in I$ , so  $A^c = X \setminus A \in \mathcal{A}_i$  for all  $i \in I$ , hence  $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$ .
3. If  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ , then  $A_n \in \mathcal{A}_i$  for all  $n$  and  $i$ , so  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \in \mathcal{A}_i$  for all  $i \in I$ , and thus  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Therefore,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$ . □

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $X$  be a set and let  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  be a collection of subsets of  $X$ . The  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{E}$ , denoted by  $\sigma(\mathcal{E})$ , is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$  containing all sets in  $\mathcal{E}$ . That is,

$$\sigma(\mathcal{E}) := \bigcap \{\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X) : \mathcal{A} \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-algebra on } X, \mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{A}\}.$$

**Remark 1.1** (Generated  $\sigma$ -algebras).

1. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, then  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$ .
2. For  $A \subseteq X$ , we have  $\sigma(\{A\}) = \{\emptyset, A, A^c, X\}$ .
3. If  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\sigma(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ .

**Definition 1.3** (Topological Space). A *topological space* is a pair  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  where  $X$  is a set and  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  is a collection of subsets of  $X$ , called *open sets*, satisfying the following properties:

1.  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $X \in \mathcal{T}$ ,

2. If  $\{U_\alpha \in \mathcal{T} : \alpha \in I\}$  is an arbitrary collection of open sets, then the union  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}$ ,
3. If  $\{U_i \in \mathcal{T} : i = 1, \dots, n\}$  is a finite collection of open sets, then the intersection  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i \in \mathcal{T}$ .

The collection  $\mathcal{T}$  is called a *topology* on  $X$ . The complement of an open set is called a *closed set*.

**Remark 1.2** (Standard Topology on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). A subset  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is called *open* if for every point  $x \in U$ , there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that the open ball

$$B_\varepsilon(x) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x - y\| < \varepsilon\},$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the Euclidean norm, is contained in  $U$ ; that is,  $B_\varepsilon(x) \subseteq U$ .

The collection of all such open sets is denoted by  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$  and forms the *standard topology* on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Definition 1.4** (Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra). The  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\sigma(\mathcal{O})$  generated by the collection of open sets  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is called the *Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra* on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Its elements are called *Borel sets* or *Borel measurable sets*. We denote the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra by  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and let  $A \subseteq X$ . A collection  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$  of open sets is called an *open cover* of  $A$  if

$$A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha.$$

A *subcover* is a subcollection that still covers  $A$ . The set  $A$  is called *compact* if every open cover of  $A$  admits a finite subcover.

**Remark 1.3.** In  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , a set is compact if and only if it is closed and bounded (Heine–Borel Theorem).

**Theorem 1.2** (Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra from Different Generators). Let  $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denote the collections of open, closed, and compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , respectively. Then,

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \sigma(\mathcal{O}) = \sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \sigma(\mathcal{K}).$$

*Proof.* Since compact sets are closed, we have  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ , and by Remark 1.1(3),  $\sigma(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{C})$ . Conversely, for any  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , define  $C_k := C \cap B_k(0)$ , where  $B_k(0)$  is the closed ball of radius  $k$  centered at the origin. Each  $C_k$  is closed and bounded,

hence compact, so  $C_k \in \mathcal{K}$ . Since  $C = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} C_k$ , it follows that  $C \in \sigma(\mathcal{K})$ , and thus  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{K})$ .

Next, since  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{O}^c := \{U^c : U \in \mathcal{O}\}$ , and complements of sets in a  $\sigma$ -algebra are again in the  $\sigma$ -algebra, it follows that  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{O})$ , hence  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{O})$ . The reverse inclusion follows similarly from  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{C}^c$ . Therefore, we have:

$$\sigma(\mathcal{K}) = \sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \sigma(\mathcal{O}).$$

□

**Generating Sets of the Borel Algebra.** The Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  can be generated by various systems of sets. Of particular importance are:

- The family of open rectangles:

$$\mathcal{J}_{o,n} := \{(a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times (a_n, b_n) : a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

- The family of half-open rectangles:

$$\mathcal{J}_n := \{[a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times [a_n, b_n) : a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{J}_n^{\text{rat}}, \mathcal{J}_{o,n}^{\text{rat}}$  the subsets with rational endpoints. These sets represent intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ , rectangles in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , cuboids in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and hypercubes in higher dimensions.

**Theorem 1.3.** We have the following equality of Borel  $\sigma$ -algebras on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \sigma(\mathcal{J}_n^{\text{rat}}) = \sigma(\mathcal{J}_{o,n}^{\text{rat}}) = \sigma(\mathcal{J}_n) = \sigma(\mathcal{J}_{o,n}),$$

**Remark 1.4.** Let  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a dense subset, for example  $D = \mathbb{Q}$  or  $D = \mathbb{R}$ . Then the Borel sets on  $\mathbb{R}$  can also be generated by any of the following families of intervals:

$$\{(-\infty, a) : a \in D\}, \quad \{(-\infty, a] : a \in D\}, \quad \{(a, \infty) : a \in D\}, \quad \{[a, \infty) : a \in D\}.$$

## 2 Measure Spaces

**Definition 2.1.** A (positive) measure  $\mu$  on  $X$  is a map  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$ , satisfying:

$$\mu(\emptyset) = 0, \tag{M1}$$

and for any pairwise disjoint sequence  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\mu \left( \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_n). \quad (\text{M2})$$

Property (M2) is also called *countable additivity*.

If  $\mu$  satisfies (M1), (M2), but  $\mathcal{A}$  is not a  $\sigma$ -algebra, then  $\mu$  is called a *pre-measure*.

**Remark 2.1.** (M2) requires implicitly that  $\bigsqcup_n A_n$  is again in  $\mathcal{A}$  this is clearly the case for  $\sigma$ -algebras, but needs special attention when dealing with pre-measures.

**Definition 2.2** (Monotone sequences of sets). Let  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be sequences of subsets of  $X$ .

We say  $(A_n)$  is *increasing* if

$$A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq A_3 \subseteq \dots$$

and write  $A_n \uparrow A$  where

$$A := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$$

Similarly,  $(B_n)$  is *decreasing* if

$$B_1 \supseteq B_2 \supseteq B_3 \supseteq \dots$$

and write  $B_n \downarrow B$  where

$$B := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$$

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $X$  be a set and  $\mathcal{A}$  a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$ . The pair  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is called a *measurable space*. If  $\mu$  is a measure on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ , then  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is called a *measure space*.

A measure  $\mu$  is called:

- *finite* if  $\mu(X) < \infty$ ,
- a *probability measure* if  $\mu(X) = 1$ .

Accordingly, we speak of a *finite measure space* and a *probability space*.

**Definition 2.4.** A measure  $\mu$  on a measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is called  *$\sigma$ -finite* if there exists a sequence  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  such that:

$$A_n \uparrow X \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(A_n) < \infty \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In this case, the measure space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is called  *$\sigma$ -finite*.

**Lemma 2.1** (Basic properties of measures). Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space. Then:

- (i) If  $A_0, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{A}$  are pairwise disjoint, then  $\mu(\bigcup_{n=0}^k A_n) = \sum_{n=0}^k \mu(A_n)$ .
- (ii) If  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$ .
- (iii) If  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $A \subseteq B$ , and  $\mu(A) < \infty$ , then  $\mu(B \setminus A) = \mu(B) - \mu(A)$ .

*Proof.* (i) Extend  $(A_n)$  by  $A_n = \emptyset$  for  $n > k$ . Then by countable additivity,

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=0}^k A_n\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu(A_n) = \sum_{n=0}^k \mu(A_n).$$

- (ii) Since  $B = A \cup (B \setminus A)$  with disjoint union,

$$\mu(B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B \setminus A) \geq \mu(A).$$

- (iii) Rearranging gives

$$\mu(B \setminus A) = \mu(B) - \mu(A),$$

which is well-defined if  $\mu(A) < \infty$ . □

**Lemma 2.2** (Main properties of measures). Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space. Then:

- (i) **Countable subadditivity:** For any countable family  $\{A_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_i).$$

- (ii) **Continuity from below (increasing sequence):** If  $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots$  (i.e.,  $A_n \uparrow A$ ), then

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n).$$

- (iii) **Continuity from above (decreasing sequence):** If  $B_1 \supseteq B_2 \supseteq \dots$  (i.e.,  $B_n \downarrow B$ ), then

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(B_n).$$

*Proof.* (i) For countable subadditivity, set  $B_k := A_k \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} A_i$ , so that  $(B_k)$  are disjoint with  $B_k \subseteq A_k$ . Then,

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \mu\left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i).$$

(ii) Let  $A_n \uparrow A$ , i.e.,  $A_n \subseteq A_{n+1}$  and  $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ . Define  $B_n := A_n \setminus A_{n-1}$  with  $A_0 := \emptyset$ . Then  $(B_n)$  is disjoint and  $\bigsqcup_n B_n = A$ . By countable additivity,

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(B_k) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n)$$

(iii) Assume  $B_n \downarrow B$ , i.e.,  $B_n \supseteq B_{n+1}$  and  $B = \bigcap_n B_n$ , with  $\mu(B_1) < \infty$ . Set  $A_n := B_1 \setminus B_n$ , so  $A_n \uparrow A := B_1 \setminus B$ . Then

$$\mu(B) = \mu(B_1) - \mu(A) = \mu(B_1) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(B_n)$$

□

**Remark 2.2.** With appropriate modifications, these properties also hold for pre-measures, i.e., when  $\mathcal{A}$  is not necessarily a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**Example 2.1** (Dirac measure). Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space and let  $x \in X$ . Define  $\delta_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  by

$$\delta_x(A) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin A. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\delta_x$  is a measure on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ , called the *Dirac measure* (or unit mass) at the point  $x$ .

**Example 2.2** (Counting measure). Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space. Define  $\#A : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  by

$$\#A := \begin{cases} \text{number of elements in } A & \text{if } A \text{ is finite,} \\ \infty & \text{if } A \text{ is infinite.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\#$  is a measure on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ , called the *counting measure*.

**Example 2.3** (Discrete probability measure). Let  $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots\}$  be a countable set, and let  $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq [0, 1]$  be a sequence such that  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} p_n = 1$ . Define the set function  $P : \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by

$$P(A) := \sum_{\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \omega_n \in A\}} p_n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} p_n \delta_{\omega_n}(A), \quad A \subseteq \Omega,$$

where  $\delta_{\omega_n}$  denotes the Dirac measure at  $\omega_n$ . Then  $P$  is a probability measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{P}(\Omega))$ , and the triplet  $(\Omega, \mathcal{P}(\Omega), P)$  is called a *discrete probability space*.

**Example 2.4** (Linear combination of measures). Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space, and let  $(\mu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of measures on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ . Let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq [0, \infty]$ . Then the set function

$$\mu := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n \mu_n$$

defined by

$$\mu(A) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n \mu_n(A), \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{A},$$

is a measure on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$

*Proof.* We verify the axioms of a measure:

(M1) (*Null empty set*): For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mu_n(\emptyset) = 0$ , so

$$\mu(\emptyset) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n \mu_n(\emptyset) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n \cdot 0 = 0.$$

(M2) (*Countable additivity*): Let  $(A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  be pairwise disjoint. Since each  $\mu_n$  is a measure, we have

$$\mu_n \left( \bigsqcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k \right) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_n(A_k), \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then,

$$\mu \left( \bigsqcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k \right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n \mu_n \left( \bigsqcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k \right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_n(A_k).$$

Since all terms are non-negative, we may exchange the order of summation:

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_n(A_k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n \mu_n(A_k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_k).$$

Therefore,  $\mu$  is countably additive.  $\square$



**Example 2.5** (Restriction of a measure). Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space and let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Define the set function  $\mu_A : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  by

$$\mu_A(B) := \mu(A \cap B), \quad \text{for all } B \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Then  $\mu_A$  is a measure on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ , called the *restriction of  $\mu$  to  $A$* .

*Proof.* We verify the two defining properties of a measure:

(M1):  $\mu_A(\emptyset) = \mu(A \cap \emptyset) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0$ .

(M2): Let  $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  be pairwise disjoint. Then  $(A \cap B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  are also pairwise disjoint, and

$$\mu_A\left(\bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n\right) = \mu\left(A \cap \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n\right) = \mu\left(\bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (A \cap B_n)\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A \cap B_n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_A(B_n).$$

Hence,  $\mu_A$  is a measure.  $\square$

**Definition 2.5** (Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). Define the set function  $\lambda_n$  on  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  by

$$\lambda_n(\llbracket a, b \rrbracket) := \prod_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i),$$

for all  $\llbracket a, b \rrbracket := [a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times [a_n, b_n) \in \mathcal{J}_n$ . This is called the  $n$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure.

**Remark 2.3.** The set function  $\lambda_n$  is defined only on the family  $\mathcal{J}_n$  of half-open rectangles and hence is not yet a measure. Extending  $\lambda_n$  to a measure on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  requires the Carathéodory extension theorem, which will be developed later.