# Measure Theory

### R.Rusev

### 1 σ-Algebras

**Definition 1.1.** A  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  on a set X is a family of subsets of X such that:

• 
$$X \in \mathcal{A}$$
  $(\Sigma_1)$ 

• If 
$$A \in \mathcal{A}$$
, then  $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$   $(\Sigma_2)$ 

• If 
$$(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathcal{A}$$
, then  $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}A_n\in\mathcal{A}$   $(\Sigma_3)$ 

A set  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be measurable or  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable.

### Example 1.1.

- 1.  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra (the maximal  $\sigma$ -algebra on X).
- 2.  $\{\emptyset, X\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra (the minimal  $\sigma$ -algebra on X).
- 3.  $A := \{A \subseteq X : \#A < \infty \text{ or } \#A^c < \infty\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.
- 4. (Trace  $\sigma$ -algebra) Let  $E \subseteq X$  be any set and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra on X. Then

$$\mathcal{A}_E := \{ E \cap A : A \in \mathcal{A} \}$$

is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on E.

*Proof.* We verify the three defining properties of a  $\sigma$ -algebra on E:

- Since  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have  $E = E \cap X \in \mathcal{A}_E$ .
- If  $E \cap A \in \mathcal{A}_E$ , then  $E \setminus (E \cap A) = E \cap A^c$ , and since  $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$ , it follows that  $E \cap A^c \in \mathcal{A}_E$ .
- If  $(E \cap A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_E$ , then  $\bigcup_n (E \cap A_n) = E \cap \bigcup_n A_n$ , and since  $\bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ , we conclude that  $\bigcup_n (E \cap A_n) \in \mathcal{A}_E$ .

Hence,  $A_E$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on E.

5. (Pre-image  $\sigma$ -algebra) Let  $f: X \to X'$  be a function and let  $\mathcal{A}'$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra on X'. Then

$$\mathcal{A} := \{ f^{-1}(A') : A' \in \mathcal{A}' \}$$

is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on X.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let X be a set and let  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be a family of  $\sigma$ -algebras on X. Define

$$\mathcal{A} := \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i = \{ A \subseteq X : A \in \mathcal{A}_i \text{ for all } i \in I \}.$$

Then,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on X.

*Proof.* We verify the  $\sigma$ -algebra properties for  $\mathcal{A}$ :

- Since  $X \in \mathcal{A}_i$  for all  $i \in I$ , we have  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- If  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $A \in \mathcal{A}_i$  for all  $i \in I$ , so  $A^c = X \setminus A \in \mathcal{A}_i$  for all  $i \in I$ , hence  $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- If  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathcal{A}$ , then  $A_n\in\mathcal{A}_i$  for all n and i, so  $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}A_n\in\mathcal{A}_i$  for all  $i\in I$ , and thus  $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}A_n\in\mathcal{A}$ .

Therefore,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on X.

**Definition 1.2.** Let X be a set and let  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  be a collection of subsets of X. The  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{E}$ , denoted by  $\sigma(\mathcal{E})$ , is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra on X containing all sets in  $\mathcal{E}$ . That is,

$$\sigma(\mathcal{E}) := \bigcap \{ \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X) : \mathcal{A} \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-algebra on } X, \ \mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \}.$$

Remark 1.1 (Generated  $\sigma$ -algebras).

- If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, then  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$ .
- For  $A \subseteq X$ , we have  $\sigma(\{A\}) = \{\emptyset, A, A^c, X\}$ .
- If  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\sigma(\mathcal{F}) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{G}) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ .

**Definition 1.3** (Topological Space). A topological space is a pair  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  where X is a set and  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  is a collection of subsets of X, called *open sets*, satisfying the following properties:

- $\emptyset \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $X \in \mathcal{T}$ ,
- If  $\{U_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{T} : \alpha \in I\}$  is an arbitrary collection of open sets, then the union  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{T}$ ,
- If  $\{U_i \in \mathcal{T} : i = 1, ..., n\}$  is a finite collection of open sets, then the intersection  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i \in \mathcal{T}$ .

The collection  $\mathcal{T}$  is called a *topology* on X. The complement of an open set is called a *closed set*.

**Remark 1.2** (Standard Topology on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). A subset  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is called *open* if for every point  $x \in U$ , there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that the open ball

$$B_{\varepsilon}(x) := \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||x - y|| < \varepsilon \},\$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the Euclidean norm, is contained in U; that is,  $B_{\varepsilon}(x) \subseteq U$ .

The collection of all such open sets is denoted by  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$  and forms the *standard topology* on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Definition 1.4** (Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra). The  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\sigma(\mathcal{O})$  generated by the collection of open sets  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is called the *Borel*  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Its elements are called *Borel sets* or *Borel measurable sets*. We denote the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra by  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Definition 1.5.** Let X be a topological space and let  $A \subseteq X$ . A collection  $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in A} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$  of open sets is called an *open cover* of A if

$$A\subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha\in A}U_{\alpha}.$$

A subcover is a subcollection that still covers A. The set A is called *compact* if every open cover of A admits a finite subcover.

**Remark 1.3.** In  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , a set is compact if and only if it is closed and bounded (Heine–Borel Theorem).

**Theorem 1.2** (Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra from Different Generators). Let  $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denote the collections of open, closed, and compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , respectively. Then,

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \sigma(\mathcal{O}) = \sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \sigma(\mathcal{K}).$$

Proof. Since compact sets are closed, we have  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ , and by Remark 1.1,  $\sigma(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{C})$ . Conversely, for any  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , define  $C_k := C \cap B_k(0)$ , where  $B_k(0)$  is the closed ball of radius k centered at the origin. Each  $C_k$  is closed and bounded, hence compact, so  $C_k \in \mathcal{K}$ . Since  $C = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} C_k$ , it follows that  $C \in \sigma(\mathcal{K})$ , and thus  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{K})$ .

Next, since  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{O}^c := \{U^c : U \in \mathcal{O}\}$ , and complements of sets in a  $\sigma$ -algebra are again in the  $\sigma$ -algebra, it follows that  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{O})$ , hence  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{O})$ . The reverse inclusion follows similarly from  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{C}^c$ . Therefore, we have:

$$\sigma(\mathcal{K}) = \sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \sigma(\mathcal{O}).$$

Generating Sets of the Borel Algebra. The Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  can be generated by various systems of sets. Of particular importance are:

• The family of open rectangles:

$$\mathcal{J}_{o,n} := \{(a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times (a_n, b_n) : a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}\},\,$$

• The family of half-open rectangles:

$$\mathcal{J}_n := \{ [a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times [a_n, b_n) : a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{J}_n^{\mathrm{rat}}$ ,  $\mathcal{J}_{o,n}^{\mathrm{rat}}$  the subsets with rational endpoints. These sets represent intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ , rectangles in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , cuboids in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and hypercubes in higher dimensions.

**Theorem 1.3.** We have the following equality of Borel  $\sigma$ -algebras on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \sigma(\mathcal{J}_n^{\mathrm{rat}}) = \sigma(\mathcal{J}_{o,n}^{\mathrm{rat}}) = \sigma(\mathcal{J}_n) = \sigma(\mathcal{J}_{o,n}),$$

**Remark 1.4.** Let  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a dense subset, for example  $D = \mathbb{Q}$  or  $D = \mathbb{R}$ . Then the Borel sets on  $\mathbb{R}$  can also be generated by any of the following families of intervals:

$$\{(-\infty, a) : a \in D\}, \{(-\infty, a] : a \in D\}, \{(a, \infty) : a \in D\}, \{[a, \infty) : a \in D\}.$$

## 2 Measure Spaces

**Definition 2.1.** A (positive) measure  $\mu$  on X is a map  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \to [0, \infty]$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on X, satisfying:

$$\mu(\emptyset) = 0, \tag{M1}$$

and for any pairwise disjoint sequence  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\mu\left(\bigsqcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}A_n\right) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mu(A_n). \tag{M2}$$

Property (M2) is also called *countable additivity*.

If  $\mu$  satisfies (M1), (M2), but  $\mathcal{A}$  is not a  $\sigma$ -algebra, then  $\mu$  is called a *pre-measure*.

**Remark 2.1.** (M2) requires implicitly that  $\bigsqcup_n A_n$  is again in  $\mathcal{A}$  this is clearly the case for  $\sigma$ -algebras, but needs special attention when dealing with pre-measures.

**Definition 2.2** (Monotone sequences of sets). Let  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  and  $(B_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be sequences of subsets of X.

We say  $(A_n)$  is increasing if

$$A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq A_3 \subseteq \cdots$$

and write  $A_n \uparrow A$  where

$$A := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$$

Similarly,  $(B_n)$  is decreasing if

$$B_1 \supset B_2 \supset B_3 \supset \cdots$$

and write  $B_n \downarrow B$  where

$$B:=\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}B_n$$

**Definition 2.3.** Let X be a set and  $\mathcal{A}$  a  $\sigma$ -algebra on X. The pair  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is called a measurable space. If  $\mu$  is a measure on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ , then  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is called a measure space.

A measure  $\mu$  is called:

- finite if  $\mu(X) < \infty$ ,
- a probability measure if  $\mu(X) = 1$ .

Accordingly, we speak of a finite measure space and a probability space.

**Definition 2.4.** A measure  $\mu$  on a measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is called  $\sigma$ -finite if there exists a sequence  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathcal{A}$  such that:

$$A_n \uparrow X$$
 and  $\mu(A_n) < \infty$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In this case, the measure space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is called  $\sigma$ -finite.

**Lemma 2.1** (Basic properties of measures). Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space. Then:

- (i) If  $A_0, \ldots, A_k \in \mathcal{A}$  are pairwise disjoint, then  $\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^k A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^k \mu(A_n)$ .
- (ii) If  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $\mu(A) \le \mu(B)$ .
- (iii) If  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $A \subseteq B$ , and  $\mu(A) < \infty$ , then  $\mu(B \setminus A) = \mu(B) \mu(A)$ .

*Proof.* (i) Extend  $(A_n)$  by  $A_n = \emptyset$  for n > k. Then by countable additivity,

$$\mu\Big(\bigsqcup_{n=1}^k A_n\Big) = \mu\Big(\bigsqcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n\Big) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu(A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^k \mu(A_n).$$

(ii) Since  $B = A \sqcup (B \setminus A)$  we have

$$\mu(B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B \setminus A) \ge \mu(A).$$

(iii) Rearranging gives

$$\mu(B \setminus A) = \mu(B) - \mu(A),$$

which is well-defined if  $\mu(A) < \infty$ .

**Lemma 2.2** (Main properties of measures). Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space. Then:

(i) Countable subadditivity: For any countable family  $\{A_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}}A_i\right)\leq\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mu(A_i).$$

(ii) Continuity from below (increasing sequence): If  $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \cdots$  (i.e.,  $A_n \uparrow A$ ), then

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}A_n\right)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\mu(A_n).$$

(iii) Continuity from above (decreasing sequence): If  $B_1 \supseteq B_2 \supseteq \cdots$  (i.e.,  $B_n \downarrow B$ ), then

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}B_n\right)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\mu(B_n).$$

*Proof.* (i) For countable subadditivity, set  $B_k := A_k \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} A_i$ , so that  $(B_k)$  are disjoint with  $B_k \subseteq A_k$ . Then,

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_i) \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i).$$

(ii) Let  $A_n \uparrow A$ , i.e.,  $A_n \subseteq A_{n+1}$  and  $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ . Define  $B_n := A_n \setminus A_{n-1}$  with  $A_0 := \emptyset$ . Then  $(B_n)$  is disjoint and  $\coprod_n B_n = A$ . By countable additivity,

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu(B_k) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(A_n)$$

(iii) Assume  $B_n \downarrow B$ , i.e.,  $B_n \supseteq B_{n+1}$  and  $B = \bigcap_n B_n$ , with  $\mu(B_1) < \infty$ . Set  $A_n := B_1 \setminus B_n$ , so  $A_n \uparrow A := B_1 \setminus B$ . Then

$$\mu(B) = \mu(B_1) - \mu(A) = \mu(B_1) - \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(A_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(B_n)$$

**Remark 2.2.** With appropriate modifications, these properties also hold for pre-measures, i.e., when  $\mathcal{A}$  is not necessarily a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**Example 2.1** (Dirac measure). Let (X, A) be a measurable space and let  $x \in X$ . Define  $\delta_x : A \to \{0, 1\}$  by

$$\delta_x(A) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin A. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\delta_x$  is a measure on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ , called the *Dirac measure* (or unit mass) at the point x.

**Example 2.2** (Counting measure). Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space. Define  $\#A : \mathcal{A} \to [0, \infty]$  by

$$\#A := \begin{cases} \text{number of elements in } A & \text{if } A \text{ is finite,} \\ \infty & \text{if } A \text{ is infinite.} \end{cases}$$

Then # is a measure on (X, A), called the *counting measure*.

**Example 2.3** (Discrete probability measure). Let  $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots\}$  be a countable set, and let  $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq [0, 1]$  be a sequence such that  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} p_n = 1$ . Define the set function  $P : \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \to [0, 1]$  by

$$P(A) := \sum_{\{n \in \mathbb{N}: \omega_n \in A\}} p_n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} p_n \, \delta_{\omega_n}(A), \quad A \subseteq \Omega,$$

where  $\delta_{\omega_n}$  denotes the Dirac measure at  $\omega_n$ . Then P is a probability measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{P}(\Omega))$ , and the triplet  $(\Omega, \mathcal{P}(\Omega), P)$  is called a *discrete probability space*.

**Example 2.4** (Linear combination of measures). Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space, and let  $(\mu_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of measures on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ . Let  $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq [0, \infty]$ . Then the set function

$$\mu := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n \mu_n$$

defined by

$$\mu(A) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n \mu_n(A), \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{A},$$

is a measure on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ 

*Proof.* We verify the axioms of a measure:

(M1) (Null empty set): For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mu_n(\emptyset) = 0$ , so

$$\mu(\emptyset) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n \mu_n(\emptyset) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n \cdot 0 = 0.$$

(M2) (Countable additivity): Let  $(A_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathcal{A}$  be pairwise disjoint. Since each  $\mu_n$  is a measure, we have

$$\mu_n\left(\bigsqcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}A_k\right) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\mu_n(A_k), \text{ for all } n\in\mathbb{N}.$$

Then,

$$\mu\left(\bigsqcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}A_k\right) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}x_n\mu_n\left(\bigsqcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}A_k\right) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}x_n\sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\mu_n(A_k).$$

Since all terms are non-negative, we may exchange the order of summation:

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_n(A_k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n \mu_n(A_k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_k).$$

Therefore,  $\mu$  is countably additive.

**Example 2.5** (Restriction of a measure). Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space and let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Define the set function  $\mu_A : \mathcal{A} \to [0, \infty]$  by

$$\mu_A(B) := \mu(A \cap B)$$
, for all  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Then  $\mu_A$  is a measure on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ , called the restriction of  $\mu$  to A.

*Proof.* We verify the two defining properties of a measure:

(M1): 
$$\mu_A(\emptyset) = \mu(A \cap \emptyset) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0.$$

(M2): Let  $(B_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathcal{A}$  be pairwise disjoint. Then  $(A\cap B_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  are also pairwise disjoint, and

$$\mu_A\left(\bigsqcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}B_n\right) = \mu\left(A\cap\bigsqcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}B_n\right) = \mu\left(\bigsqcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}(A\cap B_n)\right) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mu(A\cap B_n) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mu_A(B_n).$$

Hence,  $\mu_A$  is a measure.

**Definition 2.5** (Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). Define the set function  $\lambda_n$  on  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  by

$$\lambda_n\left(\llbracket a,b \rrbracket\right) := \prod_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i),$$

for all  $[a, b] := [a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times [a_n, b_n) \in \mathcal{J}_n$ . This is called the *n*-dimensional Lebesgue measure.

Remark 2.3. The set function  $\lambda_n$  is defined only on the family  $\mathcal{J}_n$  of half-open rectangles and hence is not yet a measure. Extending  $\lambda_n$  to a measure on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  requires the Carathéodory extension theorem, which will be developed later.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measure space, and let  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \to [0, \infty]$  be an additive set function with  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ . Then  $\mu$  is a measure if and only if it is **continuous from below**, i.e., for every increasing sequence  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  with  $A_n \uparrow A$ , we have

$$\mu(A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(A_n) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_n).$$

*Proof.* Any measure  $\mu$  is continuous from below.

Conversely, suppose  $\mu$  is finitely additive,  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ , and  $\mu$  is continuous from below. Let  $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  be disjoint, and define  $A_n := \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i$ . Then  $(A_n)$  is increasing with  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$ . By finite additivity,

$$\mu(A_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(B_i),$$

and by continuity from below,

$$\mu\left(\bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_n).$$

Hence  $\mu$  is countably additive, i.e., a measure.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space and  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \to [0, \infty)$  an additive set function with  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$  and  $\mu(A) < \infty$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mu$  is a measure if and only if it satisfies one of the following continuity properties:

- (i)  $\mu$  is continuous from below;
- (ii)  $\mu$  is continuous from above;
- (iii)  $\mu$  is continuous at  $\emptyset$ , i.e., for every decreasing sequence  $(B_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n = \emptyset$ , we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mu(B_n)=0.$$

*Proof.* Clearly, every measure satisfies properties (i)–(iii), so we only need to show that (iii) implies countable additivity.

Assume  $\mu$  is additive,  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ , and satisfies continuity at  $\emptyset$ . Let  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  be pairwise disjoint and define  $A := \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ . For each n, let

$$B_n := A \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i.$$

Then  $(B_n)$  is a decreasing sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} B_n = \emptyset$ , so by continuity at  $\emptyset$ , we have  $\mu(B_n) \to 0$ .

Using additivity, we compute

$$\mu(A) = \mu\left(B_n \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \mu(B_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i).$$

Taking the limit as  $n \to \infty$ , we get

$$\mu(A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \mu(B_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu(A_i).$$

Thus,  $\mu$  is countably additive, hence a measure.

### 3 Uniqueness of Measures

**Definition 3.1.** A *Dynkin system* (or  $\lambda$ -system)  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  is a collection of subsets of X such that:

• 
$$X \in \mathcal{D}$$
 (D1)

• If 
$$D \in \mathcal{D}$$
, then  $D^c \in \mathcal{D}$  (D2)

• If 
$$(D_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathcal{D}$$
 are pairwise disjoint, then  $\bigsqcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}D_n\in\mathcal{D}$  (D3)

**Remark 3.1.** As with  $\sigma$ -algebras one easily checks that  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{D}$  and that finite disjoint unions are in  $\mathcal{D}$ : if  $D, E \in \mathcal{D}$  with  $D \cap E = \emptyset$ , then  $D \sqcup E \in \mathcal{D}$ . Every  $\sigma$ -algebra is a Dynkin system, but the converse is not true in general.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ . Then there exists a smallest Dynkin system  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$  containing  $\mathcal{E}$ , called the *Dynkin system generated by*  $\mathcal{E}$ . Moreover,

$$\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{E}),$$

where  $\sigma(\mathcal{E})$  denotes the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{E}$ .

*Proof.* The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 1.1 for  $\sigma$ -algebras. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the family of all Dynkin systems on X that contain  $\mathcal{E}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is nonempty, since  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  is a Dynkin system containing  $\mathcal{E}$ . Define

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) := \bigcap_{\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{F}} \mathcal{D}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$  is a Dynkin system, being the intersection of Dynkin systems (which are closed under complements, disjoint unions, and contain X). Moreover, it is the smallest such system containing  $\mathcal{E}$  by construction. Since every  $\sigma$ -algebra is in particular a Dynkin system, we also have

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{E}).$$

**Lemma 3.2.** A Dynkin system  $\mathcal{D}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra if and only if it is closed under finite intersections; that is,

$$D, E \in \mathcal{D} \quad \Rightarrow \quad D \cap E \in \mathcal{D}.$$

*Proof.* The "only if" direction follows immediately from Remark 3.1 and the fact that every  $\sigma$ -algebra is closed under finite intersections.

For the converse, assume  $\mathcal{D}$  is a Dynkin system closed under finite intersections. Let  $(D_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathcal{D}$ , and define

$$E_1 := D_1 \in \mathcal{D}, \quad E_{n+1} := D_{n+1} \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^n D_k = D_{n+1} \cap \bigcap_{k=1}^n D_k^c.$$

Each  $E_n \in \mathcal{D}$  by the Dynkin properties and the assumed stability under finite intersections. The sets  $(E_n)$  are disjoint and satisfy

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n = \bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \in \mathcal{D},$$

so  $\mathcal{D}$  is closed under countable unions. Hence,  $\mathcal{D}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

While Lemma 3.2 characterizes when a Dynkin system is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, it is not directly applicable when the Dynkin system  $\mathcal{D}$  is defined via a generator  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ , as is often the case in practice. The following theorem overcomes this limitation and plays a central role in many applications.

**Theorem 3.3** (Dynkin's  $\pi$ - $\lambda$  Theorem). Let  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  be a collection of sets that is closed under finite intersections. Then,

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) = \sigma(\mathcal{E}).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.1, we have  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{E})$ . To show equality, it suffices to prove that  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Since it contains  $\mathcal{E}$ , it would then contain  $\sigma(\mathcal{E})$  by minimality.

By Lemma 3.2, it is enough to show that  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$  is closed under finite intersections. Fix  $D \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ , and define

$$\mathcal{D}_D := \{ A \subseteq X : A \cap D \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \}.$$

We claim that  $\mathcal{D}_D$  is a Dynkin system:

**(D1)**: Since  $D = X \cap D \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ , we have  $X \in \mathcal{D}_D$ .

**(D2)**: If  $A \in \mathcal{D}_D$ , then

$$A^c \cap D = ((A \cap D) \sqcup D^c)^c \cap D \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}),$$

using that  $A \cap D \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ ,  $D^c \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ , and that Dynkin systems are closed under disjoint unions and complements.

(D3): Let  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathcal{D}_D$  be disjoint. Then the sets  $A_n\cap D\in\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$  are disjoint, and

$$\left(\bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) \cap D = \bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \cap D) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}).$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{D}_D$  is a Dynkin system. Since  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_G$  for all  $G \in \mathcal{E}$  by the assumed  $\cap$ -stability of  $\mathcal{E}$ , and each  $\mathcal{D}_G$  is a Dynkin system, it follows that

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_G$$
 for all  $G \in \mathcal{E}$ .

Hence, for all  $D \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$  and  $G \in \mathcal{E}$ , we have  $D \cap G \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$  is closed under finite intersections.

By Lemma 3.2, we conclude that  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Since  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{E})$  and both are  $\sigma$ -algebras containing  $\mathcal{E}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) = \sigma(\mathcal{E}).$$

**Theorem 3.4** (Uniqueness of Measures). Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space with  $\mathcal{A} = \sigma(\mathcal{E})$ , where  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  satisfies:

- $\mathcal{E}$  is closed under finite intersections;
- there exists an increasing sequence  $(E_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathcal{E}$  with  $E_n\uparrow X$ .

Suppose  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are measures on  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mu(E) = \nu(E)$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ , and  $\mu(E_n) = \nu(E_n) < \infty$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\mu = \nu$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ ; that is,

$$\mu(A) = \nu(A)$$
 for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and define

$$\mathcal{D}_n := \{ A \in \mathcal{A} : \mu(E_n \cap A) = \nu(E_n \cap A) \}.$$

We claim that  $\mathcal{D}_n$  is a Dynkin system:

**(D1)**: Since  $E_n \in \mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ , and  $\mu(E_n) = \nu(E_n)$ , it follows that  $X \in \mathcal{D}_n$ .

(D2): If  $A \in \mathcal{D}_n$ , then

$$\mu(E_n \cap A^c) = \mu(E_n) - \mu(E_n \cap A) = \nu(E_n) - \nu(E_n \cap A) = \nu(E_n \cap A^c),$$

so  $A^c \in \mathcal{D}_n$ .

**(D3)**: Let  $(A_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathcal{D}_n$  be disjoint. Then:

$$\mu\left(E_n\cap\bigsqcup_{k=1}^\infty A_k\right)=\sum_{k=1}^\infty \mu(E_n\cap A_k)=\sum_{k=1}^\infty \nu(E_n\cap A_k)=\nu\left(E_n\cap\bigsqcup_{k=1}^\infty A_k\right),$$

so  $\bigsqcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \in \mathcal{D}_n$ .

Thus,  $\mathcal{D}_n$  is a Dynkin system. Since  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_n$  (as  $\mu(E_n \cap E) = \nu(E_n \cap E)$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ , by the  $\cap$ -stability of  $\mathcal{E}$ ), and since  $\sigma(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{A}$ , Theorem 3.3 yields

$$\mathcal{A} = \sigma(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_n.$$

Hence,

$$\mu(E_n \cap A) = \nu(E_n \cap A)$$
 for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now fix  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Since  $E_n \uparrow X$ , we have  $E_n \cap A \uparrow A$ , and by continuity from below,

$$\mu(A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(E_n \cap A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \nu(E_n \cap A) = \nu(A).$$

Therefore,  $\mu = \nu$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Theorem 3.5** (Translation Invariance and Uniqueness of Lebesgue Measure). Let  $\lambda^n$  denote the *n*-dimensional Lebesgue measure on  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ . Then:

(i) (Translation invariance) For all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and all  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have

$$\lambda^n(x+B) = \lambda^n(B),$$

where  $x + B := \{x + y : y \in B\}$  is the translation of B by x.

(ii) (Uniqueness up to scalar) Let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  that is translation invariant and finite on the unit cube:

$$\mu(x+B) = \mu(B)$$
 for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and  $\mu([0,1)^n) < \infty$ .

Then  $\mu$  is a scalar multiple of Lebesgue measure:

$$\mu = \mu([0,1)^n) \cdot \lambda^n$$
.

### 4 Existence of Measures

**Definition 4.1** (Semi-ring). Let X be a set. A family  $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  is called a *semi-ring* if:

• 
$$\emptyset \in \mathcal{S}$$
 (S1)

• For all 
$$S, T \in \mathcal{S}$$
, we have  $S \cap T \in \mathcal{S}$  (S2)

• For all  $S, T \in \mathcal{S}$ , there exist disjoint sets  $S_1, \ldots, S_M \in \mathcal{S}$  such that

$$S \setminus T = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{M} S_i \tag{S3}$$

**Theorem 4.1** (Carathéodory Extension Theorem). Let  $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  be a semi-ring and let  $\mu: S \to [0, \infty]$  be a pre-measure, i.e.,

- $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ ,
- For every sequence  $(S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathcal{S}$  of disjoint sets with  $\bigsqcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}S_n\in\mathcal{S}$ , we have

$$\mu\left(\bigsqcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}S_n\right)=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mu(S_n).$$

Then  $\mu$  has an extension to a measure  $\bar{\mu}$  on  $\sigma(S)$ .

Moreover, if S contains an increasing sequence  $(S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  with  $S_n \uparrow X$  and  $\mu(S_n) < \infty$  for all n, then the extension is unique.

Idea of the proof. The fundamental problem is how to extend the pre-measure  $\mu$ . The following auxiliary set function  $\mu^* : \mathcal{P}(X) \to [0, \infty]$  will play a central role. For any  $A \subseteq X$ , define the family of countable  $\mathcal{S}$ -coverings

$$C(A) := \left\{ (S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq S : A \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n \right\},$$

and the set function

$$\mu^*(A) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(S_n) : (S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{C}(A) \right\}.$$

If A cannot be covered by sets from S, we define  $C(A) = \emptyset$  and hence  $\mu^*(A) := \inf \emptyset = \infty$ . The proof proceeds in four main steps:

1. (Outer measure) Show that  $\mu^*$  is an outer measure, i.e., it satisfies:

(OM1) 
$$\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0,$$
  
(OM2)  $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow \mu^*(A) \le \mu^*(B),$   
(OM3)  $\mu^*\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) \le \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu^*(A_n).$ 

- 2. (Extension) Show that  $\mu^*$  extends  $\mu$ , i.e.,  $\mu^*(S) = \mu(S)$  for all  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ .
- 3. ( $\mu^*$ -measurable sets) Define the collection of  $\mu^*$ -measurable sets by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mu^*} := \{ A \subseteq X : \mu^*(Q) = \mu^*(Q \cap A) + \mu^*(Q \setminus A) \text{ for all } Q \subseteq X \}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{A}_{\mu^*}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra with  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\mu^*}$  and  $\sigma(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\mu^*}$ .

4. (Measure on  $\sigma$ -algebra) The restriction of  $\mu^*$  to  $\mathcal{A}_{\mu^*}$  is a measure. In particular,  $\mu^*|_{\sigma(\mathcal{S})}$  is a measure extending  $\mu$ .

If S contains an increasing sequence  $(S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  with  $S_n \uparrow X$  and  $\mu(S_n) < \infty$  for all n, then the extension is unique.

### Existence of Lebesgue Measure on $\mathbb{R}$

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $\mathcal{J}_1 := \{[a,b) \subseteq \mathbb{R} : a < b\}$  be the family of half-open intervals. Define the set function

$$\lambda_1([a,b)) := b - a$$
 for all  $[a,b) \in \mathcal{J}_1$ .

Then  $\lambda_1: \mathcal{J}_1 \to [0, \infty)$  is a pre-measure.

*Proof.* Let  $[a,b) \in \mathcal{J}_1$ , and suppose it can be written as a disjoint union of intervals:

$$[a,b) = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n$$
, with  $I_n \in \mathcal{J}_1$  for all  $n$ .

Our goal is to show that

$$\lambda_1([a,b)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_1(I_n).$$

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , choose a closed interval  $I_n^{(\varepsilon)}$  such that

$$I_n \subseteq I_n^{(\varepsilon)}$$
 and  $\lambda_1(I_n^{(\varepsilon)}) \le \lambda_1(I_n) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n}$ .

These intervals slightly extend each  $I_n$ , allowing us to approximate the union  $\bigsqcup I_n$  from above.

Since the  $I_n$  cover [a, b) disjointly, the union of the extended intervals will eventually cover most of [a, b). More precisely, for sufficiently large N, we have

$$[a, b - \varepsilon) \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{N} I_n^{(\varepsilon)}.$$

Now we estimate the difference:

$$\lambda_{1}([a,b)) - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_{1}(I_{n}) = (\lambda_{1}([a,b)) - \lambda_{1}([a,b-\varepsilon)))$$

$$+ \left(\lambda_{1}([a,b-\varepsilon)) - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_{1}(I_{n}^{(\varepsilon)})\right)$$

$$+ \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\lambda_{1}(I_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}) - \lambda_{1}(I_{n})\right)$$

$$\leq \varepsilon + 0 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n}} \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

On the other hand, since  $\bigsqcup_{n=1}^{N} I_n \subseteq [a,b)$  and the intervals  $I_n$  are disjoint, finite additivity and monotonicity of  $\lambda_1$  imply:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_1(I_n) = \lambda_1 \left( \bigsqcup_{n=1}^{N} I_n \right) \le \lambda_1([a,b)).$$

Therefore,

$$0 \le \lambda_1([a,b)) - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_1(I_n),$$

which justifies the lower bound in the previous inequality.

Combining both sides, we have

$$0 \le \lambda_1([a,b)) - \sum_{n=1}^N \lambda_1(I_n) \le 2\varepsilon.$$

Letting  $N \to \infty$  and then  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we conclude:

$$\lambda_1([a,b)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_1(I_n).$$

Thus,  $\lambda_1$  is countably additive on  $\mathcal{J}_1$ , and hence a pre-measure.

**Lemma 4.3** (Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ ). The set function  $\lambda_1$ , defined on  $\mathcal{J}_1$  by  $\lambda_1([a,b)) = b - a$  for a < b, extends to a measure on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ . This extension is the unique measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  such that

$$\mu([a,b)) = b - a$$
 for all  $a < b$ .

*Proof.* We have already shown that  $\lambda_1$  is a pre-measure on  $\mathcal{J}_1$ . By Theorem 1.3,  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) = \sigma(\mathcal{J}_1)$ , i.e., the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra is generated by  $\mathcal{J}_1$ .

Consider the sequence of half-open intervals  $[-k, k) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . This forms an increasing sequence for  $\mathbb{R}$ , and we have

$$\lambda_1([-k,k)) = 2k < \infty$$
 for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Thus, all the conditions of Theorem 4.1 (Carathéodory's extension theorem) are satisfied. It follows that  $\lambda_1$  extends uniquely to a measure on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , yielding the one-dimensional Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

#### Existence of Lebesgue Measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $\mathcal{J}_n$  denote the collection of half-open rectangles in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of the form

$$[a, b] = \prod_{i=1}^{n} [a_i, b_i], \text{ where } a = (a_1, \dots, a_n), b = (b_1, \dots, b_n), a_i < b_i.$$

Then  $\mathcal{J}_n$  is a semi-ring.

*Proof.* We prove the statement by induction on n. Assume  $\mathcal{J}_n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a semi-ring. Define

$$\mathcal{J}_{n+1} := \mathcal{J}_n \times \mathcal{J}_1$$

i.e., the collection of rectangles of the form  $R = R_n \times R_1$ , where  $R_n \in \mathcal{J}_n$  and  $R_1 \in \mathcal{J}_1$ . We verify the properties of a semi-ring:

(S1) Closure under the empty set: Since  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{J}_n$  and  $\mathcal{J}_1$ , we have

$$\emptyset = \emptyset \times [a, b) \in \mathcal{J}_{n+1}.$$

(S2) Closure under intersection: Let  $R = R_n \times R_1$  and  $S = S_n \times S_1$  be in  $\mathcal{J}_{n+1}$ . Then

$$R \cap S = (R_n \cap S_n) \times (R_1 \cap S_1),$$

which belongs to  $\mathcal{J}_{n+1}$ , since both  $R_n \cap S_n \in \mathcal{J}_n$  and  $R_1 \cap S_1 \in \mathcal{J}_1$ , by the inductive hypothesis.

(S3) Closure under set difference (finite disjoint union): Consider

$$R \setminus S = (R_n \times R_1) \setminus (S_n \times S_1).$$

This set can be decomposed as

$$(R_n \setminus S_n) \times (R_1 \setminus S_1) \sqcup (R_n \cap S_n) \times (R_1 \setminus S_1) \sqcup (R_n \setminus S_n) \times (R_1 \cap S_1).$$

Each of the components  $R_n \setminus S_n$ ,  $R_n \cap S_n$ ,  $R_1 \setminus S_1$ , and  $R_1 \cap S_1$  can be written as finite disjoint unions of sets in  $\mathcal{J}_n$  and  $\mathcal{J}_1$ , respectively. Therefore, their Cartesian products yield finite disjoint unions of elements in  $\mathcal{J}_{n+1}$ .

Hence,  $\mathcal{J}_{n+1}$  is a semi-ring. By induction, it follows that  $\mathcal{J}_n$  is a semi-ring for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** The function  $\lambda_n \colon \mathcal{J}_n \to [0, \infty)$ , defined by

$$\lambda_n([a_1,b_1)\times\cdots\times[a_n,b_n))=\prod_{i=1}^n(b_i-a_i),$$

is a pre-measure on the semi-ring  $\mathcal{J}_n$ .

*Proof.* We prove that  $\lambda_n$  is a pre-measure on  $\mathcal{J}_n$  by induction on n.

For n = 1, the result follows from Lemma 4.2, where  $\lambda_1$  is shown to be countably additive on  $\mathcal{J}_1$ .

Assume now that  $\lambda_n$  is countably additive on  $\mathcal{J}_n$ . Let  $I = I_1 \times I_n \in \mathcal{J}_{n+1}$ , and suppose that  $\{I^i = I_1^i \times I_n^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{J}_{n+1}$  is a disjoint collection with

$$\bigsqcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}}I^i=I.$$

Then,

$$I_1 = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} I_1^i$$
 and  $I_n = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} I_n^i$ .

Define disjoint refinements of the  $I_1^i$  and  $I_n^i$  as follows:

$$\widehat{I}_d^1:=I_d^1, \quad \widehat{I}_d^{i+1}:=I_d^{i+1}\setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^i I_d^j \quad \text{for } d=1,n.$$

Then  $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{N} \widehat{I}_d^i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} I_d^i$  for all N, and each  $\widehat{I}_d^i$  is a finite union of disjoint rectangles in  $\mathcal{J}_d$ , since  $\mathcal{J}_d$  is a semi-ring.

So, each  $I^i = I_1^i \times I_n^i$  becomes a finite disjoint union of sets of the form  $\widetilde{I}_1^k \times \widetilde{I}_n^\ell$ , with  $\widetilde{I}_1^k \in \mathcal{J}_1$ ,  $\widetilde{I}_n^\ell \in \mathcal{J}_n$ . Hence,

$$I = \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} I^i = \bigsqcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \widetilde{I}_1^k \times \left( \bigsqcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \widetilde{I}_n^\ell \right) = \bigsqcup_{k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}} \widetilde{I}_1^k \times \widetilde{I}_n^\ell.$$

Now, since  $\lambda_{n+1}(A \times B) = \lambda_1(A) \cdot \lambda_n(B)$ , and both  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_n$  are countably additive by the induction hypothesis:

$$\lambda_{n+1}(I) = \sum_{k} \sum_{\ell} \lambda_1(\widetilde{I}_1^k) \cdot \lambda_n(\widetilde{I}_n^\ell) = \sum_{k,\ell} \lambda_{n+1}(\widetilde{I}_1^k \times \widetilde{I}_n^\ell).$$

Similarly, each  $I^i = I^i_1 \times I^i_n$  can be decomposed as

$$I^i = \bigsqcup_{(k,\ell): \widetilde{I}_1^k \subset I_1^i, \, \widetilde{I}_n^\ell \subset I_n^i} \widetilde{I}_1^k \times \widetilde{I}_n^\ell,$$

SO

$$\lambda_{n+1}(I^i) = \sum_{k,\ell: \widetilde{I}_n^k \times \widetilde{I}_n^\ell \subset I^i} \lambda_{n+1}(\widetilde{I}_1^k \times \widetilde{I}_n^\ell).$$

Summing over  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  gives:

$$\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \lambda_{n+1}(I^i) = \sum_{k,\ell} \lambda_{n+1}(\widetilde{I}_1^k \times \widetilde{I}_n^\ell) = \lambda_{n+1}(I).$$

Hence,  $\lambda_{n+1}$  is countably additive on  $\mathcal{J}_{n+1}$ , and by induction,  $\lambda_n$  is a pre-measure on  $\mathcal{J}_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Corollary 4.5.1 (Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). The set function  $\lambda_n$  extends to a measure on the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , called the *Lebesgue measure*. It is the unique measure satisfying

$$\lambda_n([a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times [a_n, b_n)) = \prod_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i), \text{ for all } a_i < b_i.$$

# 5 Measurable Mappings

**Definition 5.1** (Measurable Map). Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ ,  $(X', \mathcal{A}')$  be measurable spaces. A map  $T: X \to X'$  is called  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'$ -measurable (or simply measurable) if the pre-image of every measurable set is measurable:

$$T^{-1}(A') \in \mathcal{A}$$
 for all  $A' \in \mathcal{A}'$ .

#### Remark 5.1.

- Probabilists often refer to a measurable map defined on a probability space as a random variable.
- The symbolic notation  $T^{-1}(\mathcal{A}') := \{T^{-1}(A') : A' \in \mathcal{A}'\}$  is often used. We also write  $T^{-1}(\mathcal{A}') \subset \mathcal{A}$  as shorthand for measurability.
- It is common to write  $T:(X,\mathcal{A})\to (X',\mathcal{A}')$  to indicate that T is measurable.
- A measurable map between  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^m)$  is often called a *Borel measurable map*.