# Measure Theory

### 1 σ-Algebras

**Definition 1.1.** A  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  on a set X is a family of subsets of X such that:

1. 
$$X \in \mathcal{A}$$
  $(\Sigma_1)$ 

2. If 
$$A \in \mathcal{A}$$
, then  $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$   $(\Sigma_2)$ 

3. If 
$$(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathcal{A}$$
, then  $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}A_n\in\mathcal{A}$   $(\Sigma_3)$ 

A set  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be measurable or  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable.

#### Example 1.1.

- 1.  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra (the maximal  $\sigma$ -algebra on X).
- 2.  $\{\emptyset, X\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra (the minimal  $\sigma$ -algebra on X).
- 3.  $A := \{A \subseteq X : \#A < \infty \text{ or } \#A^c < \infty\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.
- 4. (Trace  $\sigma$ -algebra) Let  $E\subseteq X$  be any set and let  $\mathcal A$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra on X. Then

$$\mathcal{A}_E := \{ E \cap A : A \in \mathcal{A} \}$$

is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on E.

*Proof.* We verify the three defining properties of a  $\sigma$ -algebra on E:

- (a) Since  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have  $E = E \cap X \in \mathcal{A}_E$ .
- (b) If  $E \cap A \in \mathcal{A}_E$ , then  $E \setminus (E \cap A) = E \cap A^c$ , and since  $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$ , it follows that  $E \cap A^c \in \mathcal{A}_E$ .
- (c) If  $(E \cap A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_E$ , then  $\bigcup_n (E \cap A_n) = E \cap \bigcup_n A_n$ , and since  $\bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ , we conclude that  $\bigcup_n (E \cap A_n) \in \mathcal{A}_E$ .

Hence,  $A_E$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on E.

5. (Pre-image  $\sigma$ -algebra) Let  $f: X \to X'$  be a function and let  $\mathcal{A}'$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra on X'. Then

$$\mathcal{A} := \{ f^{-1}(A') : A' \in \mathcal{A}' \}$$

is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on X.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let X be a set and let  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be a family of  $\sigma$ -algebras on X. Define

$$\mathcal{A} := \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i = \{ A \subseteq X : A \in \mathcal{A}_i \text{ for all } i \in I \}.$$

Then,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on X.

*Proof.* We verify the  $\sigma$ -algebra properties for  $\mathcal{A}$ :

- 1. Since  $X \in \mathcal{A}_i$  for all  $i \in I$ , we have  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- 2. If  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $A \in \mathcal{A}_i$  for all  $i \in I$ , so  $A^c = X \setminus A \in \mathcal{A}_i$  for all  $i \in I$ , hence  $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- 3. If  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathcal{A}$ , then  $A_n\in\mathcal{A}_i$  for all n and i, so  $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}A_n\in\mathcal{A}_i$  for all  $i\in I$ , and thus  $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}A_n\in\mathcal{A}$ .

Therefore,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on X.

**Definition 1.2.** Let X be a set and let  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  be a collection of subsets of X. The  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{E}$ , denoted by  $\sigma(\mathcal{E})$ , is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra on X containing all sets in  $\mathcal{E}$ . That is,

$$\sigma(\mathcal{E}) := \bigcap \big\{ \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X) : \mathcal{A} \text{ is a $\sigma$-algebra on } X, \ \mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \big\}.$$

**Remark 1.1** (Generated  $\sigma$ -algebras).

- 1. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, then  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$ .
- 2. For  $A \subseteq X$ , we have  $\sigma(\{A\}) = \{\emptyset, A, A^c, X\}$ .
- 3. If  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\sigma(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ .

**Definition 1.3** (Topological Space). A topological space is a pair  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  where X is a set and  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  is a collection of subsets of X, called *open sets*, satisfying the following properties:

1.  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $X \in \mathcal{T}$ ,

- 2. If  $\{U_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{T} : \alpha \in I\}$  is an arbitrary collection of open sets, then the union  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{T}$ ,
- 3. If  $\{U_i \in \mathcal{T} : i = 1, ..., n\}$  is a finite collection of open sets, then the intersection  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i \in \mathcal{T}$ .

The collection  $\mathcal{T}$  is called a *topology* on X. The complement of an open set is called a *closed set*.

**Remark 1.2** (Standard Topology on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). A subset  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is called *open* if for every point  $x \in U$ , there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that the open ball

$$B_{\varepsilon}(x) := \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||x - y|| < \varepsilon \},$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the Euclidean norm, is contained in U; that is,  $B_{\varepsilon}(x) \subseteq U$ . The collection of all such open sets is denoted by  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$  and forms the standard topology on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Definition 1.4** (Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra). The  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\sigma(\mathcal{O})$  generated by the collection of open sets  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is called the *Borel*  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Its elements are called *Borel sets* or *Borel measurable sets*. We denote the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra by  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Definition 1.5.** Let X be a topological space and let  $A \subseteq X$ . A collection  $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in A}\subseteq \mathcal{T}$  of open sets is called an *open cover* of A if

$$A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_{\alpha}.$$

A *subcover* is a subcollection that still covers A. The set A is called *compact* if every open cover of A admits a finite subcover.

**Remark 1.3.** In  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , a set is compact if and only if it is closed and bounded (Heine–Borel Theorem).

**Theorem 1.2** (Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra from Different Generators). Let  $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denote the collections of open, closed, and compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , respectively. Then,

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \sigma(\mathcal{O}) = \sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \sigma(\mathcal{K}).$$

*Proof.* Since compact sets are closed, we have  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ , and by Remark 1.1(3),  $\sigma(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{C})$ . Conversely, for any  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , define  $C_k := C \cap B_k(0)$ , where  $B_k(0)$  is the closed ball of radius k centered at the origin. Each  $C_k$  is closed and bounded,

hence compact, so  $C_k \in \mathcal{K}$ . Since  $C = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} C_k$ , it follows that  $C \in \sigma(\mathcal{K})$ , and thus  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{K})$ .

Next, since  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{O}^c := \{U^c : U \in \mathcal{O}\}$ , and complements of sets in a  $\sigma$ -algebra are again in the  $\sigma$ -algebra, it follows that  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{O})$ , hence  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{O})$ . The reverse inclusion follows similarly from  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{C}^c$ . Therefore, we have:

$$\sigma(\mathcal{K}) = \sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \sigma(\mathcal{O}).$$

Generating Sets of the Borel Algebra. The Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  can be generated by various systems of sets. Of particular importance are:

• The family of open rectangles:

$$\mathcal{J}_{o,n} := \left\{ (a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times (a_n, b_n) : a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R} \right\},\,$$

• The family of half-open rectangles:

$$\mathcal{J}_n := \{ [a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times [a_n, b_n) : a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{J}_n^{\mathrm{rat}}$ ,  $\mathcal{J}_{o,n}^{\mathrm{rat}}$  the subsets with rational endpoints. These sets represent intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ , rectangles in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , cuboids in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and hypercubes in higher dimensions.

**Theorem 1.3.** We have the following equality of Borel  $\sigma$ -algebras on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \sigma(\mathcal{J}_n^{\mathrm{rat}}) = \sigma(\mathcal{J}_{o,n}^{\mathrm{rat}}) = \sigma(\mathcal{J}_n) = \sigma(\mathcal{J}_{o,n}),$$

**Remark 1.4.** Let  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a dense subset, for example  $D = \mathbb{Q}$  or  $D = \mathbb{R}$ . Then the Borel sets on  $\mathbb{R}$  can also be generated by any of the following families of intervals:

$$\{(-\infty, a) : a \in D\}, \{(-\infty, a] : a \in D\}, \{(a, \infty) : a \in D\}, \{[a, \infty) : a \in D\}.$$

## 2 Measure Spaces

**Definition 2.1.** A (positive) measure  $\mu$  on X is a map  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \to [0, \infty]$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on X, satisfying:

$$\mu(\emptyset) = 0,\tag{M1}$$

and for any pairwise disjoint sequence  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\mu\left(\bigsqcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}A_n\right) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mu(A_n). \tag{M2}$$

Property (M2) is also called *countable additivity*.

If  $\mu$  satisfies (M1), (M2), but  $\mathcal{A}$  is not a  $\sigma$ -algebra, then  $\mu$  is called a *premeasure*.

**Remark 2.1.** (M2) requires implicitly that  $\bigsqcup_n A_n$  is again in  $\mathcal{A}$  this is clearly the case for  $\sigma$ -algebras, but needs special attention when dealing with premeasures.

**Definition 2.2** (Monotone sequences of sets). Let  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  and  $(B_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be sequences of subsets of X.

We say  $(A_n)$  is increasing if

$$A_1 \subset A_2 \subset A_3 \subset \cdots$$

and write  $A_n \uparrow A$  where

$$A := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$$

Similarly,  $(B_n)$  is decreasing if

$$B_1 \supseteq B_2 \supseteq B_3 \supseteq \cdots$$

and write  $B_n \downarrow B$  where

$$B:=\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}B_n$$

**Definition 2.3.** Let X be a set and  $\mathcal{A}$  a  $\sigma$ -algebra on X. The pair  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is called a *measurable space*. If  $\mu$  is a measure on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ , then  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is called a *measure space*.

A measure  $\mu$  is called:

- finite if  $\mu(X) < \infty$ ,
- a probability measure if  $\mu(X) = 1$ .

Accordingly, we speak of a *finite measure space* and a *probability space*.

**Definition 2.4.** A measure  $\mu$  on a measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is called  $\sigma$ -finite if there exists a sequence  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathcal{A}$  such that:

$$A_n \uparrow X$$
 and  $\mu(A_n) < \infty$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In this case, the measure space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is called  $\sigma$ -finite.

**Lemma 2.1** (Basic properties of measures). Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space. Then:

- (i) If  $A_0, \ldots, A_k \in \mathcal{A}$  are pairwise disjoint, then  $\mu(\bigcup_{n=0}^k A_n) = \sum_{n=0}^k \mu(A_n)$ .
- (ii) If  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $\mu(A) \le \mu(B)$ .
- (iii) If  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $A \subseteq B$ , and  $\mu(A) < \infty$ , then  $\mu(B \setminus A) = \mu(B) \mu(A)$ .

*Proof.* (i) Extend  $(A_n)$  by  $A_n = \emptyset$  for n > k. Then by countable additivity,

$$\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{k} A_n) = \mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{k} \mu(A_n).$$

(ii) Since  $B = A \cup (B \setminus A)$  with disjoint union,

$$\mu(B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B \setminus A) \ge \mu(A).$$

(iii) Rearranging gives

$$\mu(B \setminus A) = \mu(B) - \mu(A),$$

which is well-defined if  $\mu(A) < \infty$ .

**Lemma 2.2** (Main properties of measures). Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space. Then:

(i) Countable subadditivity: For any countable family  $\{A_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}}A_i\right)\leq\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mu(A_i).$$

(ii) Continuity from below (increasing sequence): If  $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \cdots$  (i.e.,  $A_n \uparrow A$ ), then

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}A_n\right)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\mu(A_n).$$

(iii) Continuity from above (decreasing sequence): If  $B_1 \supseteq B_2 \supseteq \cdots$  (i.e.,  $B_n \downarrow B$ ), then

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}B_n\right)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\mu(B_n).$$

*Proof.* (i) For countable subadditivity, set  $B_k := A_k \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} A_i$ , so that  $(B_k)$  are disjoint with  $B_k \subseteq A_k$ . Then,

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \mu\left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_i) \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i).$$

(ii) Let  $A_n \uparrow A$ , i.e.,  $A_n \subseteq A_{n+1}$  and  $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ . Define  $B_n := A_n \setminus A_{n-1}$  with  $A_0 := \emptyset$ . Then  $(B_n)$  is disjoint and  $\coprod_n B_n = A$ . By countable additivity,

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu(B_k) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(A_n)$$

(iii) Assume  $B_n \downarrow B$ , i.e.,  $B_n \supseteq B_{n+1}$  and  $B = \bigcap_n B_n$ , with  $\mu(B_1) < \infty$ . Set  $A_n := B_1 \setminus B_n$ , so  $A_n \uparrow A := B_1 \setminus B$ . Then

$$\mu(B) = \mu(B_1) - \mu(A) = \mu(B_1) - \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(A_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(B_n)$$

**Remark 2.2.** With appropriate modifications, these properties also hold for pre-measures, i.e., when A is not necessarily a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**Example 2.1** (Dirac measure). Let (X, A) be a measurable space and let  $x \in X$ . Define  $\delta_x : A \to \{0, 1\}$  by

$$\delta_x(A) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin A. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\delta_x$  is a measure on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ , called the *Dirac measure* (or unit mass) at the point x.

**Example 2.2** (Counting measure). Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space. Define  $\#A: \mathcal{A} \to [0, \infty]$  by

$$\#A := \begin{cases} \text{number of elements in } A & \text{if } A \text{ is finite,} \\ \infty & \text{if } A \text{ is infinite.} \end{cases}$$

Then # is a measure on (X, A), called the *counting measure*.

**Example 2.3** (Discrete probability measure). Let  $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots\}$  be a countable set, and let  $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq [0, 1]$  be a sequence such that  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} p_n = 1$ . Define the set function  $P : \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \to [0, 1]$  by

$$P(A) := \sum_{\{n \in \mathbb{N}: \omega_n \in A\}} p_n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} p_n \, \delta_{\omega_n}(A), \quad A \subseteq \Omega,$$

where  $\delta_{\omega_n}$  denotes the Dirac measure at  $\omega_n$ . Then P is a probability measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{P}(\Omega))$ , and the triplet  $(\Omega, \mathcal{P}(\Omega), P)$  is called a discrete probability space.

**Example 2.4** (Linear combination of measures). Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space, and let  $(\mu_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of measures on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ . Let  $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq [0,\infty]$ . Then the set function

$$\mu := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n \mu_n$$

defined by

$$\mu(A) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n \mu_n(A), \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{A},$$

is a measure on (X, A)

*Proof.* We verify the axioms of a measure:

(M1) (Null empty set): For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mu_n(\emptyset) = 0$ , so

$$\mu(\emptyset) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n \mu_n(\emptyset) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n \cdot 0 = 0.$$

(M2) (Countable additivity): Let  $(A_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathcal{A}$  be pairwise disjoint. Since each  $\mu_n$  is a measure, we have

$$\mu_n\left(\bigsqcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} A_k\right) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \mu_n(A_k), \text{ for all } n\in\mathbb{N}.$$

Then,

$$\mu\left(\bigsqcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}A_k\right) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}x_n\mu_n\left(\bigsqcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}A_k\right) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}x_n\sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\mu_n(A_k).$$

Since all terms are non-negative, we may exchange the order of summation:

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} x_n \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \mu_n(A_k) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} x_n \mu_n(A_k) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \mu(A_k).$$

Therefore,  $\mu$  is countably additive.

**Example 2.5** (Restriction of a measure). Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space and let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Define the set function  $\mu_A : \mathcal{A} \to [0, \infty]$  by

$$\mu_A(B) := \mu(A \cap B)$$
, for all  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Then  $\mu_A$  is a measure on (X, A), called the restriction of  $\mu$  to A.

*Proof.* We verify the two defining properties of a measure:

(M1):  $\mu_A(\emptyset) = \mu(A \cap \emptyset) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0.$ 

(M2): Let  $(B_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathcal{A}$  be pairwise disjoint. Then  $(A\cap B_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  are also pairwise disjoint, and

$$\mu_A\left(\bigsqcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}B_n\right) = \mu\left(A\cap\bigsqcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}B_n\right) = \mu\left(\bigsqcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}(A\cap B_n)\right) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mu(A\cap B_n) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mu_A(B_n).$$

Hence,  $\mu_A$  is a measure.

**Definition 2.5** (Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). Define the set function  $\lambda_n$  on  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  by

$$\lambda_n\left(\llbracket a,b\rrbracket\right):=\prod_{i=1}^n(b_i-a_i),$$

for all  $[a,b] := [a_1,b_1) \times \cdots \times [a_n,b_n) \in \mathcal{J}_n$ . This is called the *n*-dimensional Lebesgue measure.

Remark 2.3. The set function  $\lambda_n$  is defined only on the family  $\mathcal{J}_n$  of half-open rectangles and hence is not yet a measure. Extending  $\lambda_n$  to a measure on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  requires the Carathéodory extension theorem, which will be developed later.

**Theorem 2.3** (Existence and properties of Lebesgue measure). There exists a unique measure  $\lambda_n$  on  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  extending the pre-measure defined on  $\mathcal{J}_n$ . Moreover, for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\lambda_n$  satisfies:

- (i) Translation invariance:  $\lambda_n(x+B) = \lambda_n(B)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (ii) Motion invariance:  $\lambda_n(R^{-1}(B)) = \lambda_n(B)$  for any motion R, i.e., composition of translations, rotations, and reflections.
- (iii) Linear change of variables:  $\lambda_n(M^{-1}(B)) = |\det(M)|^{-1}\lambda_n(B)$  for any invertible matrix  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ .

These properties will be established later, once the necessary tools have been developed.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measure space, and let  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \to [0, \infty]$  be an additive set function with  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ . Then  $\mu$  is a measure if and only if it is **continuous from below**, i.e., for every increasing sequence  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  with  $A_n \uparrow A$ , we have

$$\mu(A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(A_n) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_n).$$

*Proof.* Any measure  $\mu$  is continuous from below.

Conversely, suppose  $\mu$  is finitely additive,  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ , and  $\mu$  is continuous from below. Let  $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  be disjoint, and define  $A_n := \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i$ . Then  $(A_n)$  is increasing with  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$ . By finite additivity,

$$\mu(A_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(B_i),$$

and by continuity from below,

$$\mu\left(\bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_n).$$

Hence  $\mu$  is countably additive, i.e., a measure.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space and  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \to [0, \infty)$  an additive set function with  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$  and  $\mu(A) < \infty$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mu$  is a measure if and only if it satisfies one of the following continuity properties:

- (i)  $\mu$  is continuous from below;
- (ii)  $\mu$  is continuous from above;
- (iii)  $\mu$  is continuous at  $\emptyset$ , i.e., for every decreasing sequence  $(B_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n = \emptyset$ , we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mu(B_n)=0.$$

*Proof.* Clearly, every measure satisfies properties (i)–(iii), so we only need to show that (iii) implies countable additivity.

Assume  $\mu$  is additive,  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ , and satisfies continuity at  $\emptyset$ . Let  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq A$  be pairwise disjoint and define  $A := \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ . For each n, let

$$B_n := A \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i.$$

Then  $(B_n)$  is a decreasing sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} B_n = \emptyset$ , so by continuity at  $\emptyset$ , we have  $\mu(B_n) \to 0$ .

Using additivity, we compute

$$\mu(A) = \mu\left(B_n \sqcup \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \mu(B_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i).$$

Taking the limit as  $n \to \infty$ , we get

$$\mu(A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \mu(B_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu(A_i).$$

Thus,  $\mu$  is countably additive, hence a measure.

### 3 Uniqueness

**Definition 3.1.** A *Dynkin system* (or  $\lambda$ -system)  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  is a collection of subsets of X such that:

1. 
$$X \in \mathcal{D}$$
 (D1)

2. If 
$$D \in \mathcal{D}$$
, then  $D^c \in \mathcal{D}$  (D2)

3. If 
$$(D_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathcal{D}$$
 are pairwise disjoint, then  $\bigsqcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}D_n\in\mathcal{D}$  (D3)