

Mandatory Exercise - Backwards-chaining

Anders Wind - awis@itu.dk

Task 1

Backwards-chaining is goal driven and can have a better time complexity than forward-chaining. This is due to the fact that Backwards chaining only "searches" the relevant part of the knowledge base, but forward-chaining has to search all different implications of the given facts. Therefore situations where we have a lot of facts that do not have any impact on the query, backwards chaining will be advantageous.

Task 2

I assume that the KB is in CNF.

I note that only one of the symbols in a clause's premise needs to be false for us to say that the clause is false, but only one of the clauses for a propositional symbol needs to be inferred for the propositional symbol to be entailed.

```
function PL-BC-Entails? (KB, q) returns true or false
  inputs: KB, the knowledge base, a set of propositional definite clauses
         q, the query, a propositional symbol

  Value-Map ← Check-Clause(KB, q, Map.Empty)
  if q is in Value-Map
    return Value-Map[q]

  return false

function Check-Clause(KB, q, Value-Map) returns map
  inputs: KB, the knowledge base, a set of propositional definite clauses
         q, the query, a propositional symbol
         Value-Map, a map of propositional symbol keys mapping to boolean values, empty to begin with

  if q is in Value-Map
    return Value-Map

  Value-Map.add(q, false)
  for each clause c in KB which implies q
    if c is fact
      Value-Map[q] ← true
      return Value-Map

    else
      result ← true

      Value-Map ← Update-Map(KB, c.PREMISE, Value-Map)
      for each (key, value) in Value-Map where key is in c.PREMISE
        if !value
          result ← false
          break

      if result
        Value-Map[q] ← true
        return Value-Map

  return Value-Map

function Update-Map(KB, symbols, Value-Map) returns map
  inputs: KB, the knowledge base, a set of propositional definite clauses
         symbols, a set of propositional symbols
         Value-Map, a map of propositional symbol keys mapping to boolean value

  for each Propositional-Symbol ps in symbols
    Value-Map ← Check-Clause(KB, ps, Value-Map)

  return Value-Map
```

Task 3

Yes but it returns false due to a cyclic case. My algorithm handles cyclic cases by checking if a Symbol has already been already exists in the Value-Map.

This is achieved by adding (q, false) to the Value-Map before recursively checking the Premise of a clause. Then if q is reached through again in one of the premise symbols(or through any number of recursions) it will just return the value-map(where the value of the symbol is false) and not try to update again.

Task 4

I assume that it is meant, that time complexity the algorithm runs in based on the amount of propositional symbols. The algorithm runs in linear time since every symbol will only be visited once due to the generation of the value-map and if a symbol already has been entailed it will not be entailed again.