Data Structures – Heaps & Huffman (Lecture Notes)

Authors: Refat Othman and Diaeddin Rimawi

Lecture 1 — Heaps and Heap Sort

1. What is a Heap (Priority Queue)?

A **heap** is a tree-based data structure that supports efficient retrieval of the **highest priority** element. We usually implement it as a **complete binary tree** stored in an array. A **priority queue** is an abstract data type that exposes operations like **insert** and **extract-min** or **extract-max**, and a heap is a standard way to implement it.

We define two core properties for a binary heap:

- 1. **Shape property**: the tree is complete, we fill each level from left to right without gaps.
- 2. **Heap property**: for a **min-heap**, every node is less than or equal to its children. For a **max-heap**, every node is greater than or equal to its children.

Array representation for a node at index i (0-based):

left child at 2i + 1, right child at 2i + 2, parent at (i - 1) // 2.

Example (array \rightarrow tree, 0-based): Array A = [7, 12, 9, 25, 30, 15, 40, 50, 60]

```
• i=0 (7) \rightarrow children at 1,2 \rightarrow 12,9
```

- i=1 (12) \rightarrow children at 3,4 \rightarrow 25,30
- i=2 (9) \rightarrow children at 5,6 \rightarrow 15,40
- i=3 (25) \rightarrow child at $7 \rightarrow 50$
- i=4 (30) \rightarrow child at 8 \rightarrow 60
- Parent examples: parent of index 5 (15) is (5-1)//2 = 2 (value 9); parent of index 4 (30) is (4-1)//2 = 1 (value 12).

ASCII visualization:

Example: Given the array [5, 8, 12, 15, 10, 20, 18], which represents a heap:

```
5 (i=0)

/ \

(i=1)8 12(i=2)

/ \ / \

(i=3)15 (4)10 (5)20 (6)18
```

Index mapping:

- Index 0 → left=1 (8), right=2 (12)
- Index 1 → left=3 (15), right=4 (10)
- Index 2 → left=5 (20), right=6 (18) This mapping works because the heap is a complete binary tree stored in an array.

2. Types of Heaps

We commonly use:

- **Binary heap**: min-heap or max-heap, complete binary tree, array-backed.
- **d-ary heap**: each node has d children (useful to reduce height when decrease-key is frequent).
- **Binomial heap**: a forest of binomial trees, supports fast merge (union).
- **Fibonacci heap**: supports very fast **amortized** decrease-key and merge, used in theoretical improvements of algorithms (e.g., Dijkstra). Implementation is more complex.
- Pairing heap and Leftist heap: pointer-based heaps that support efficient meld operations.

For this course, we implement and use the **binary heap**.

3. Heapify: definition and strategies

Heapify transforms a partially ordered array or subtree to satisfy the heap property.

- **Heapify-down** (sift-down): fix a violation at a node by swapping it with its best child and recursing downward. We use it after we remove the root or during bottom-up heap construction.
- **Heapify-up** (sift-up): fix a violation by swapping a node with its parent while the heap property is violated. We use it after insertion at the end.

4. Core operations

Below, each core operation is shown **step-by-step** with a small **min-heap** example and ASCII visualization. We use **0-based** indexing.

4.1 Build-heap (bottom-up heapify-down)

```
Input array (unsorted): A = [22, 13, 17, 11, 6, 7, 3, 5] (n = 8)
```

Last internal index = L(n-2)/2J = 3. We call heapify-down(i) for i = 3, 2, 1, 0.

i = 3 (value 11), children at 7 and 8 \rightarrow values 5 and $-\rightarrow$ swap with 5. A = [22, 13, 17, 5, 6, 7, 3, 11]

```
22(0)

/ \
13(1) 17(2)

/ \ / \
5(3) 6(4) 7(5) 3(6)

/
11(7)
```

i = 2 (value 17), children at 5 and 6 \rightarrow 7 and 3 \rightarrow swap with 3, then stop. A = [22, 13, 3, 5, 6, 7, 17, 11]

i = 1 (value 13), children at 3 and 4 \rightarrow 5 and 6 \rightarrow swap with 5. A = [22, 5, 3, 13, 6, 7, 17, 11] \rightarrow at index 3, children 7 and 8 \rightarrow 11 and $-\rightarrow$ 11 < 13, swap. A = [22, 5, 3, 11, 6, 7, 17, 13]

i = 0 (value 22), children at 1 and 2 \rightarrow 5 and 3 \rightarrow swap with 3 \rightarrow at index 2, children 5 and 6 \rightarrow 7 and 17 \rightarrow swap with 7 \rightarrow stop. A = [3, 5, 7, 11, 6, 22, 17, 13]

```
3
/ \
5 7
/ \ \
11 6 22 17
/
13
```

Result: a valid min-heap. Cost: O(n).

4.2 Insert (push) with heapify-up

Start with heap A = [3, 5, 7, 11, 6, 22, 17, 13]. Insert 4.

1. Append at end: A = [3, 5, 7, 11, 6, 22, 17, 13, 4]

```
3
/ \
5 7
/ \ \
11 6 22 17
/ \
13 4 ← new
```

2. heapify-up from index 8: parent (8-1)//2 = 3 value 11. Swap 4 \Leftrightarrow 11. A = [3, 5, 7, 4, 6, 22, 17, 13, 11]

```
3
/ \
5 7
/ \
4 6 22 17
/ \
13 11
```

3. New index 3, parent (3-1)//2 = 1 value 5. 4 < 5, swap. A = [3, 4, 7, 5, 6, 22, 17, 13, 11]

```
3
/ \
4 7
/ \
5 6 22 17
/ \
13 11
```

4. New index 1, parent 0 value 3. $4 \ge 3$, stop. **Cost**: $0(\log n)$.

4.3 Delete-min (pop root) with heapify-down

Start with heap A = [3, 4, 7, 5, 6, 22, 17, 13, 11].

1. Swap root with last and remove last: A = [11, 4, 7, 5, 6, 22, 17, 13] (popped value was 3).

```
11
/ \
4     7
/ \     / \
5     6     22     17
```

```
13
```

2. heapify-down from index 0: compare 11 with children 4 and $7 \rightarrow$ smallest 4 at $1 \rightarrow$ swap. A = [4, 11, 7, 5, 6, 22, 17, 13]

```
4
// \
11 7
// \
5 6 22 17
/
13
```

3. At index 1: children 5 and $6 \rightarrow$ smallest 5 at $3 \rightarrow$ swap. A = [4, 5, 7, 11, 6, 22, 17, 13]

4. At index 3: child 13 only \rightarrow 11 \leq 13, stop. **Cost**: $0(\log n)$.

4.4 Peek

Return A[0] without modification. **Cost**: 0(1).

5. Time complexity

Operation	Binary Heap Complexity
build-heap (bottom-up)	O(n)
insert	O(log n)
peek	O(1)
delete-min/delete-max	O(log n)
heapify-up/heapify-down	O(log n)

6. Visual examples

We visualize heaps as trees and arrays. We use a **min-heap** in the examples.

6.1 Example heap (three full levels)

Array: [5, 8, 12, 15, 10, 20, 18]

```
5
/ \
8     12
/ \ / \
15     10     20     18
```

Indices and children (0-based): $0 \rightarrow (1,2)$, $1 \rightarrow (3,4)$, $2 \rightarrow (5,6)$.

6.2 Insert 7 (heapify-up)

Start array: [5, 8, 12, 15, 10, 20, 18] Append $7 \rightarrow [5, 8, 12, 15, 10, 20, 18, 7]$

```
5
/ \
8     12
/ \ / \
15     10     20     18
/
7     ← new node
```

 $7 < 15 \text{ swap} \rightarrow [5, 8, 12, 7, 10, 20, 18, 15] 7 < 8 \text{ swap} \rightarrow [5, 7, 12, 8, 10, 20, 18, 15] 7 > 5 \text{ stop.}$

Final heap:

6.3 Delete-min (pop root)

Start array: [5, 7, 12, 8, 10, 20, 18, 15] Swap root with last, pop last: [15, 7, 12, 8, 10, 20, 18] Heapify-down from index 0: 15 compares children 7 and 12, smallest is 7, swap → [7, 15, 12, 8, 10, 20, 18] At index 1, children 8 and 10, smallest is 8, swap → [7, 8, 12, 15, 10, 20, 18] At index 3, leaf, stop.

Final heap:

2025-08-08 w9 heaps.md

```
7
              \
              12
                  \
15
      10
            20
                  18
```

6.4 Build-heap from array

Input: [15, 10, 7, 18, 20, 12, 8] We call heapify-down from last internal index i = L(n-2)/2J = 2down to ∅:

- i=2, node 7, children 12, 8, already fine.
- i=1, node 10, children 18, 20, already fine.
- i=0, node 15, children 10, 7, swap with $7 \rightarrow [7, 10, 15, 18, 20, 12, 8]$, then heapify-down at index 2 with children 12, 8, swap with $8 \rightarrow [7, 10, 8, 18, 20, 12, 15]$.

Result is a min-heap with three levels.

7. Full Python implementation (binary min-heap, simplified list-based version)

```
class MinHeap:
    def __init__(self, initial_data=None):
        self.data = []
        if initial_data:
            self.data = list(initial_data)
            self.build_heap()
    def left_child(self, index):
        return 2 * index + 1
    def right_child(self, index):
        return 2 * index + 2
    def parent(self, index):
        return (index - 1) // 2
    def heapify_down(self, index):
        size = len(self.data)
        while True:
            left = self.left child(index)
            right = self.right_child(index)
            smallest = index
            if left < size and self.data[left] < self.data[smallest]:</pre>
                smallest = left
            if right < size and self.data[right] < self.data[smallest]:</pre>
                smallest = right
            if smallest == index:
                break
```

```
self.data[index], self.data[smallest] = self.data[smallest],
self.data[index]
            index = smallest
    def heapify up(self, index):
        while index > ∅:
            parent_index = self.parent(index)
            if self.data[index] < self.data[parent index]:</pre>
                self.data[index], self.data[parent_index] =
self.data[parent_index], self.data[index]
                index = parent_index
            else:
                break
    def build heap(self):
        for i in range((len(self.data) - 2) // 2, -1, -1):
            self.heapify_down(i)
    def push(self, value):
        self.data.append(value)
        self.heapify_up(len(self.data) - 1)
    def peek(self):
        if not self.data:
            raise IndexError("peek from empty heap")
        return self.data[0]
    def pop(self):
        if not self.data:
            raise IndexError("pop from empty heap")
        self.data[0], self.data[-1] = self.data[-1], self.data[0]
        min_value = self.data.pop()
        if self.data:
            self.heapify_down(∅)
        return min_value
    def __len__(self):
        return len(self.data)
    def __repr__(self):
        return f"MinHeap({self.data})"
```

8. Selection sort, then heap sort

8.1 Selection sort

Idea: repeatedly select the minimum from the unsorted suffix and swap it into position i.

Process for array A of length n:

1. for i from 0 to n-2 1.1 find index m of minimum in A[i..n-1] 1.2 swap A[i] and A[m]

Implementation (Python):

Complexity: comparisons $\approx n(n-1)/2$, time $O(n^2)$

8.2 Heap sort

Idea: build a heap once, then extract the minimum repeatedly. We improve the selection of the minimum from **O(n)** per step to **O(log n)** per step.

Process:

- 1. Build min-heap in **O(n)** from the array.
- 2. Repeatedly pop the root and append to output, each pop **O(log n)**. Total **O(n log n)** time, **O(1)** extra space if we do it in-place with a max-heap.

Heap sort using MinHeap (with min-heap visualization):

- Build a **min-heap** in the array (to extract minimums first).
- For end from n-1 down to 1: swap a[0] and a[end], reduce heap size, heapify-down(0).
- Result becomes ascending order.

ASCII visualization for heap sort (min-heap, descending) on [4, 10, 3, 5, 1, 8, 2]:

Implementation using the MinHeap class above (order with min-heap):

```
def heapsort_inplace(array):
    # Create a MinHeap from the given unsorted array
```

```
heap = MinHeap(array)
sorted_list = []
# Extract all elements in ascending order
while len(heap) > 0:
    sorted_list.append(heap.pop())
return sorted_list
```

Complexity: build O(n), then n-1 heapify steps $O(\log n)$ each, total $O(n \log n)$.

Lecture 2 — Huffman Coding with Heaps

1. What is Huffman coding and why we use it

Huffman coding is a greedy compression algorithm that assigns **variable-length** prefix-free binary codes to characters based on their **frequencies**. More frequent characters get **shorter codes**, which reduces the total number of bits. We use it in compressors and file formats.

Key properties:

- Code is **prefix-free** (no code is a prefix of another), which enables unique decoding.
- The algorithm builds an **optimal** prefix code for a given set of symbol frequencies.

2. Main idea

- 1. Count character frequencies.
- 2. Put each character as a leaf node with its frequency into a **min-heap**.
- 3. While the heap has more than one node, we repeatedly extract the two smallest nodes, merge them into a new internal node whose frequency is the sum, and push it back.
- 4. The final node is the root of the Huffman tree.
- 5. Assign 0 to left edges, 1 to right edges, read codes by traversing from root to leaves.

3. Pseudocode

```
Huffman(F):
    heap ← empty min-heap
    for each (char c, freq f) in F:
        push(heap, Node(c, f))
    while size(heap) > 1:
        x ← pop(heap) // min frequency
        y ← pop(heap)
        z ← Node(char=None, freq=x.f + y.f, left=x, right=y)
        push(heap, z)
        root ← pop(heap)
        return BuildCodes(root)

BuildCodes(root):
    codes = {}
    def dfs(node, path):
        if node.char is not None:
```

```
codes[node.char] = path
    return

dfs(node.left, path + "0")

dfs(node.right, path + "1")

dfs(root, "")

return codes
```

Complexity: building uses a heap with at most σ symbols, we perform $\sigma - 1$ merges. Each heap operation costs $O(\log \sigma)$, total $O(\sigma \log \sigma)$. Encoding a text of length n then costs O(n) once we have the code table.

4. Worked example with visualization: "abracadabra" (step-by-step)

We encode the text:

```
abracadabra
```

Length: 11 characters.

4.1 Frequency table (why)

We first count how often each symbol appears. Huffman prioritizes low-frequency symbols with longer codes and high-frequency symbols with shorter codes. For abracadabra:

```
'a': 5
'b': 2
'r': 2
'c': 1
'd': 1
```

Rationale: assigning shorter codes to frequent a (5) will minimize the total bit-length.

4.2 Min-heap initialization (one insertion at a time)

We build a **min-heap** keyed by frequency. For equal frequencies we tie-break lexicographically to make the example deterministic.

Start with empty heap [] (array, level-order):

```
    insert (a,5) → [a:5]
    insert (b,2) → [b:2, a:5]
    insert (r,2) → [b:2, a:5, r:2]
    insert (c,1) → [c:1, b:2, r:2, a:5]
    insert (d,1) → [c:1, d:1, r:2, a:5, b:2]
```

ASCII min-heap after all inserts:

```
1:c
/ \
1:d 2:r
/ \
5:a 2:b
```

(Shown as freq: char; the root is the smallest frequency.)

4.3 Iterative merges (why and how)

At each step we **pop the two smallest** items, **merge** them into a new internal node whose frequency is their sum, then **push** it back. This greedy rule ensures optimal total cost.

Notation: leaves shown as char:freg; internal nodes as •:freq.

```
• Step 1: Pop c:1 and d:1 → push •:2. Heap becomes [•:2, b:2, r:2, a:5].
```

```
• Step 2: Pop •:2 and b:2 (tie-break) \rightarrow push •:4. Heap becomes [r:2, •:4, a:5].
```

```
• Step 3: Pop r:2 and •:4 → push •:6. Heap becomes [a:5, •:6].
```

• **Step 4**: Pop a:5 and •:6 → push •:11 (the root). Heap becomes [•:11].

4.4 Final Huffman tree (then derive codes)

We place the **smaller** child on the **left** to keep things deterministic. Left edge is **0**, right edge is **1**.

```
[*:11]
|-0 | ['a':5]
|-1 | [*:6]
|-0 | ['r':2]
|-1 | [*:4]
|-0 | [*:2]
|-0 | ['c':1]
|-1 | ['d':1]
|-1 | ['b':2]
```

Codes (path from root):

- a: 0 (depth 1)
- r: **10** (depth 2)
- b: **111** (depth 3)
- c: **1100** (depth 4)
- d: **1101** (depth 4)

Why these lengths? The greedy merges push rare symbols (c,d) deeper, giving them longer codes, while frequent a sits closest to the root.

4.5 Encode the text (cost analysis)

Replace each character with its code and concatenate.

```
• Original size (ASCII): 11 × 8 = 88 bits.
```

```
• Compressed size (Huffman): 5 \times \text{len('a')} + 2 \times \text{len('b')} + 2 \times \text{len('r')} + 1 \times \text{len('c')} + 1 \times \text{len('d')} = 5 \times 1 + 2 \times 3 + 2 \times 2 + 1 \times 4 + 1 \times 4 = 5 + 6 + 4 + 4 + 4 = 23 \text{ bits.}
```

Compression ratio ≈ 23 / 88 ≈ 0.261 (about 74% smaller).

4.6 Decode back (why it's unambiguous)

We read the bitstream left-to-right from the root. Because the code is **prefix-free**, no codeword is a prefix of another, so as soon as we reach a leaf we emit its character and reset to the root. This guarantees exact reconstruction of abracadabra.

Exercises

Exercise 1 — Kth smallest element in an unsorted array

Problem: Given an array A and an integer k (1-indexed), find the kth smallest element. **Approaches**:

• Min-heap: build min-heap O(n), pop k-1 times, answer at next pop O(k log n).

Assignment for students: Using what you learned in Lecture 1, implement a MaxHeap class from scratch (supporting insert, pop, heapify-up, heapify-down) and demonstrate it by inserting a set of integers, then repeatedly popping to display the elements in descending order.