

nurow.ai

Report

20 Nov 2021

Patient Summary :

Full Name: Quentin Beck
DoB: 01/06/1964
Address: 20 Ingram Street, London, W1K 1QA
Telephone: 07907907907


Radiograph Analysis

Analysis Completed

1. Tooth Identification
2. Natural Anatomy Analysis
3. Foreign Structures Analysis
4. Diagnosis Analysis
 - a. Caries (Decay) Analysis
 - b. Bone Loss Analysis

Analysis Summary

Tooth Number	Natural structures identified	Foreign structures Identified	Pathology Identified/ Notes
UL4	E,D,P,CEJ	Restoration	Caries, Bone-loss mild
UL5	E,D,P,CEJ	Restoration	Caries, Bone-loss mild
UL6	E,D,P,CEJ	Restoration	Marginal Discrepancy?
UL7	E,D,P,CEJ		
LL4	E,D,P,CEJ		
LL5	E,D,P,CEJ		
LL6	E,D,P,CEJ		Caries
LL7	E,D,P,CEJ		Caries
Image	 		



Caries (Decay) Report

Patient Risk factors:

Medical History: NIL

Diet: High Sugar Frequency





37.5% restored teeth on image (historical risk) [Fillings: Unrestored teeth]

OH regime: ETBx2, Rare interdental cleaning

Tooth Number	Position on Tooth	Advancement Level	Indicators	Uncertainties	Confidence %
UL4	Distal	Dentine	Irregular shaped radiolucency. Poor contact point. Mirror caries on adjacent tooth. Rare interdental cleaning reported. High Sugar frequency reported. 37.5% restored teeth on image (historical risk)		93%
Image					
UL5	Mesial	Dentine	Irregular shaped radiolucency. Poor contact point. Mirror caries on adjacent tooth. Rare interdental cleaning reported. High Sugar frequency reported. 37.5% restored teeth on image (historical risk)		94%
Image					

					
LL6	Distal	Enamel	<p>Wedge shaped radiolucency. At contact point.</p> <p>No interdental cleaning reported. High Sugar frequency reported. 37.5% restored teeth on image (historical risk)</p>	<p>Overlap on image. Check additional views if available!</p>	12%
Image	 				
LL7	Mesial	Enamel	<p>Wedge shaped radiolucency. At contact point</p> <p>No interdental cleaning reported High Sugar frequency reported 37.5% restored teeth on image (historical risk)</p>	<p>Overlap on image. Check additional views if available!</p>	9%
Image	 				

Cervical Burnout


Tooth Number	Position on Tooth	Indicators	Uncertainties	Confidence %
UL4	Mesial	Knife edge shape of enamel intact at CEJ. Close to alveolar bone.	Check additional views if available!	91%
Image			 	
UL6	Mesial	Knife edge shape of enamel intact at CEJ. Close to alveolar bone.	Check additional views if available!	94%
Image			 	
LL6	Mesial	Knife edge shape of enamel intact at CEJ. Close to alveolar bone.	Check additional views if available!	87%
Image			 	

Marginal Discrepancy

Caries risk:

37.5% restored rate on image (Restored teeth: Unrestored teeth)

50% caries identified on image (Carious teeth: Non-carious teeth)

Tooth Number	Position on Tooth	Indicators	Uncertainties	Confidence %	Suggestion	
UL5	Distal	Break in outline form from restoration to tooth structure.	Check additional views if available! Visual assessment.	23%	Clinical investigation Floss check.	
Image		 				
UL6	Mesial	Break in outline form from restoration to tooth structure	Check additional views if available! Visual assessment.	31%	Clinical investigation. Floss check.	
Image		 				

Bone-loss Report

Diagnosis:

General Good Crestal bone levels - Within accepted normal region

Patient Risk Factors:

SH: Smoker 10/day

MH: NIL

OH regime: ETBx2, Rare interdental cleaning

Number of Teeth identified on Xray	CEJ reference points identified	Current bone level tracing confidence %	Impediments identified	Confidence % in diagnosis
8	15	99%	Overlapping teeth	98%
Image		