### Stochastic Gradient Descent

Axel Böhm

October 19, 2021

Introduction

Convergence in expectation

High probability bounds

#### Finite sum structure

Many optimization problems in Data science are sum structured:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(x).$$

- known as empirical risk (minimization)
- $\diamond$   $f_i$  corresponds to the loss of the *i*-th observation
- for example: linear regression

$$f(x) = ||Ax - b||^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i^T x - b_i)^2$$

 $\diamond$  evaluating  $\nabla f$  can be expensive if n is large

### Risk minimization

In theory we would even like to minimize the population risk

$$f(x) = \mathbb{E}_{\xi}[f(x,\xi)]$$

- ⋄ Typically no access to f
- most of what follows works in this more general setting

sample 
$$i \in 1, ..., n$$
 uniformly at random  $x_{k+1} = x_k - \alpha \nabla f_i(x_k)$ .

- ⋄ requires only **one** gradient instead of *n* per iteration.
- $\diamond$  we call  $g_t := \nabla f_i(x_k)$  a stochastic gradient (estimator)

### Unbiased

Can't really use convexity as before since

$$f(x_k) - f(x^*) \le \langle \nabla f_i(x_k), x^* - x_k \rangle$$

might not hold in general.

- But holds in expectation!
- $\diamond$  For this we need that  $\nabla f_i(x)$  is unbiased estimator of  $\nabla f(x)$

$$\mathbb{E}[\nabla f_i(x)] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla f_i(x) = \nabla f(x)$$

## Gradient inequality holds in expectation

We would like to conclude that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\langle g_k, x^* - x_k \rangle\right] = \langle \mathbb{E}[g_k], \mathbb{E}[x^* - x_k] \rangle$$

but this is not so clear since  $x_k$  is also stochastic and in general  $\mathbb{E}[XY] \neq \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y]$ .

 $\diamond$  We use the **conditional Expectation**  $\mathbb{E}[\cdot|x_k]$  (read as expectation of  $\cdot$  given  $x_k$ ). Then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\langle g_k, x^* - x_k \rangle | x_k\right] = \langle \mathbb{E}[g_k | x_k], x^* - x_k \rangle = \langle \nabla f(x_k), x^* - x_k \rangle.$$

⋄ Together with the tower property  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X|Y]] = \mathbb{E}[X]$ :

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\langle g_k, x^* - x_k \rangle\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\langle g_k, x^* - x_k \rangle | x_k\right]\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\langle \nabla f(x_k), x^* - x_k \rangle\right] \leq f(x^*) - f(x_k).$$

# Convergence statement: $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-2})$ steps

#### Assumptions

- $\diamond$  f is convex and differentiable
- $\diamond \|x_0 x^*\| \le D$
- $\diamond$  stochastic gradient are bounded in expectation  $\mathbb{E}[\|g_k\|^2] \leq B^2$ .

#### $\mathsf{Theorem}$

With the assumptions above and stepsize

$$\alpha = \frac{D}{B\sqrt{k}}$$

yields

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(\bar{x}_i)-f^*\right]\leq \frac{DB}{\sqrt{k}}.$$

### Proof

#### Proof.

We start as usual  $(g_k$  is a stochastic gradient)

$$||x_{k+1} - x^*||^2 \le ||x_k - \alpha g_k - x^*||^2$$
  
=  $||x_k - x^*||^2 + 2\alpha \langle g_k, x^* - x_k \rangle + \alpha^2 ||g_k||^2$ .

Now take expectation

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|x_{k+1} - x^*\|^2\right] \le \mathbb{E}\left[\|x_k - x^*\|^2\right] + 2\alpha \mathbb{E}[f^* - f(x_k)] + \alpha^2 \mathbb{E}[\|g_k\|^2].$$

Bound gradients and telescope to finish the proof.

# Comparing constants: SGD vs. GD

⋄ GD: In the bounded (sub-)gradient analysis we assumed  $\|\nabla f(x)\|^2 \le B_{BG}^2$ . For finite-sum this gives

$$\left\|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\nabla f_{i}(x)\right\|^{2}\leq B_{BG}^{2}$$

 SGD: We assumed that the expected squared norm are bounded, i.e.

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f_i(x)\|^2] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\nabla f_i(x)\|^2 \le B_{SGD}^2$$

By convexity we have that

$$\diamond \ \ B_{GD}^2 \approx \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla f_i(x) \right\|^2 \leq = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \| \nabla f_i(x) \|^2 \approx B_{SGD}^2$$

but usually comparable



### Minibatch SGD

Instead of just using a single element  $f_i$  we can use several  $S \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ 

$$g_k := \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{j \in S} \nabla f_j(x_k)$$

Interpolates between

- $\diamond |S| = 1 \Leftrightarrow \text{(vanilla) SGD, as defined earlier}$
- $\diamond |S| = n \Leftrightarrow (batch) GD$

Benefit: Gradient computation can parallelized.

## Increasing batch size reduces variance

Taking an average of independent random variables will reduce variance.

$$V[g_k] = \mathbb{E}\left[\|g_k - \nabla f(x_k)\|^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\frac{1}{|S|}\sum_{j\in S} f_j(x_k) - \nabla f(x_k)\right\|^2\right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{|S|}\mathbb{E}\left[\|\nabla f_i(x_k) - \nabla f(x_k)\|^2\right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{|S|}V[\nabla f_i(x_k)]$$

However: We have to use a different analysis to make use of this.

## Stochastic Subgradient Method

If we go back to the proof: We did not use smoothness. If we choose unbiased estimate of subgradient  $\mathbb{E}[g_k|x_k] \in \partial f(x_k)$  and iterate

sample 
$$i \in 1, ..., n$$
 uniformly at random let  $g_k \in \partial f_i(x_k)$   $x_{k+1} = x_k - \alpha g_k$ .

We can get the same  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-2})$  complexity. Smoothness did provide any benefit (in terms of rate).

# Projected SGD

- Previous proof can be extended (trivially) to the constrained setting
- $\diamond$  with same complexity  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-2})$
- but (ofcourse) additionaly

## High probability bounds

#### Theorem

Hoeffding's inequality Let  $X_i$  be independent random variables that satisfy

$$\diamond$$
  $\mathbb{E}X_i = 0$ 

$$\diamond ||X_i|| \leq M.$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[X_1 + \dots + X_k \ge t\right] \le e^{-\frac{t^2}{2kM^2}}$$

Azuma-Hoeffding's generalization does not require independence, only  $\mathbb{E}[X_k|X_{k-1},\ldots,1]=0$ .

## Statement with high probability

#### **Theorem**

Let  $\delta > 0$  and assumptions as before + iterates remain in bounded set with diameter D (for example constraint set). Then,

$$f(\bar{x}_i) - f^* \leq \frac{DB\delta}{\sqrt{k}}.$$

with probability less than  $1 - e^{-\delta^2/8}$ .

 $\Rightarrow$  choose  $\delta$  large for bound in higher probability.

#### Proof.

$$||x_{k+1} - x^*||^2$$

$$\leq ||x_k - x^*||^2 + 2\alpha \langle g_k, x^* - x_k \rangle + \alpha^2 ||g_k||^2$$

$$\leq ||x_k - x^*||^2 + 2\alpha \langle \nabla f(x_k), x^* - x_k \rangle + \alpha^2 ||g_k||^2 + \langle v_k, x^* - x_k \rangle$$

with  $v_k = g_k - \nabla f(x_k)$ . Continue as usual

$$f(\bar{x}_k) - f^* \le \frac{\|x_0 - x^*\|^2}{2\alpha k} + \alpha B^2 + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k X_i$$

with

$$X_k := \langle v_k, x^* - x_k \rangle \le ||v_k|| ||x_k - x^*|| \le 2BD$$

and  $\mathbb{E}[X_k] = 0$  fulfilling Hoeffding's assumptions. Use it with  $t = DB\sqrt{k}\delta$ .