

# Stochastic Gradient Descent

Axel Böhm

October 19, 2021

# Finite sum structure

Many optimization problems in Data science are **sum structured**:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x).$$

- ◇ known as **empirical risk** (minimization)
- ◇  $f_i$  corresponds to the loss of the  $i$ -th observation
- ◇ for example: linear regression

$$f(x) = \|Ax - b\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i^T x - b_i)^2$$

- ◇ evaluating  $\nabla f$  can be expensive if  $n$  is large

# Risk minimization

In theory we would even like to minimize the **population risk**

$$f(x) = \mathbb{E}_{\xi}[f(x, \xi)]$$

- ◇ Typically no access to  $f$

## (vanilla) Stochastic gradient descent

sample  $i \in 1, \dots, n$  uniformly at random

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \alpha \nabla f_i(x_k).$$

- ◇ requires only **one** gradient instead of  $n$  per iteration.
- ◇ we call  $g_t := \nabla f_i(x_k)$  a **stochastic gradient** (estimator)

# Unbiased

- ◇ Can't really use convexity as before since

$$f(x_k) - f(x^*) \leq \langle \nabla f_i(x_k), x^* - x_k \rangle$$

might **not hold** in general.

- ◇ But holds **in expectation**!
- ◇ For this we need that  $\nabla f_i(x)$  is **unbiased estimator** of  $\nabla f(x)$

$$\mathbb{E}[\nabla f_i(x)] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla f_i(x) = \nabla f(x)$$

# Gradient inequality holds in expectation

- ◇ We would like to conclude that

$$\mathbb{E} [\langle g_k, x^* - x_k \rangle] = \langle \mathbb{E}[g_k], \mathbb{E}[x^* - x_k] \rangle$$

but this is not so clear since  $x_k$  is also stochastic and in general  $\mathbb{E}[XY] \neq \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y]$ .

- ◇ We use the **conditional Expectation**  $\mathbb{E}[\cdot | x_k]$  (read as expectation of  $\cdot$  given  $x_k$ ). Then

$$\mathbb{E} [\langle g_k, x^* - x_k \rangle | x_k] = \langle \mathbb{E}[g_k | x_k], x^* - x_k \rangle = \langle \nabla f(x_k), x^* - x_k \rangle.$$

- ◇ Together with the tower property  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X | Y]] = \mathbb{E}[X]$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [\langle g_k, x^* - x_k \rangle] &= \mathbb{E} [\mathbb{E} [\langle g_k, x^* - x_k \rangle | x_k]] \\ &= \mathbb{E} [\langle \nabla f(x_k), x^* - x_k \rangle] \leq f(x^*) - f(x_k). \end{aligned}$$

Convergence statement:  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-2})$  steps

### assumptions

- ◇  $f$  is convex and differentiable
- ◇  $\|x_0 - x^*\| \leq R$
- ◇ stochastic gradient are **bounded** in expectation  $\mathbb{E}[\|g_k\|^2] \leq B^2$

### Theorem

*With the assumptions above and stepsize*

$$\alpha = \frac{R}{B\sqrt{k}}$$

*yields*

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\bar{x}_i) - f^*] \leq \frac{RB}{\sqrt{k}}.$$

error bound holds in expectation

# Proof

## Proof.

We start as usual ( $g_k$  is a stochastic gradient)

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_{k+1} - x^*\|^2 &\leq \|x_k - \alpha g_k - x^*\|^2 \\ &= \|x_k - x^*\|^2 + 2\alpha \langle g_k, x^* - x_k \rangle + \alpha^2 \|g_k\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Now take expectation

$$\mathbb{E} [\|x_{k+1} - x^*\|^2] \leq \mathbb{E} [\|x_k - x^*\|^2] + 2\alpha \mathbb{E}[f^* - f(x_k)] + \alpha^2 \mathbb{E}[\|g_k\|^2].$$

Bound gradients and telescope to finish the proof. □



## Comparing constants: SGD vs. GD

- ◇ **GD:** In the bounded (sub-)gradient analysis we assumed  $\|\nabla f(x)\|^2 \leq B_{BG}^2$ . For finite-sum this gives

$$\left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla f_i(x) \right\|^2 \leq B_{BG}^2$$

- ◇ **SGD:** We assumed that the expected squared norm are bounded, i.e.

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f_i(x)\|^2] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\nabla f_i(x)\|^2 \leq B_{SGD}^2$$

By convexity we have that

- ◇  $B_{GD}^2 \approx \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla f_i(x) \right\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\nabla f_i(x)\|^2 \approx B_{SGD}^2$
- ◇ but usually comparable

# Minibatch SGD

Instead of just using a single element  $f_i$  we can use several  $S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$

$$g_k := \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{j \in S} \nabla f_j(x_k)$$

Interpolates between

- ◇  $|S| = 1 \Leftrightarrow$  (vanilla) SGD, as defined earlier
- ◇  $|S| = n \Leftrightarrow$  (batch) GD