# Predicting Terror Attacks? A Network Story


Enea Figini, Axel Nilsson, Nicolas Bollier, Elias Le Boudec Team 29

January 17, 2019

#### Introduction 1

#### **Exploring the Data** 2

#### **Relationships Dataset** 2.1



by component ID

(a) Terror attacks location graph, colouring (b) Ten biggest components from the terror attacks location graph

Figure 1: Graphs analysed in the project

#### 2.2 **Terror Attacks Dataset**

The formation of the network implies a transitive relation between most of the nodes. Indeed, if for most nodes, take *a b* and *c* in the network, we have

$$a \sim b \text{ and } b \sim c \text{ then } a \sim c$$
 (1)

Equivalently, if attack a took place close to b, and attack b took place close to c, then it is probable that attack a took place close to c.

### 3 Data Quality

### 3.1 Terror Attacks Dataset

Multiple issues regarding data quality have been found in this dataset:

**Broadness** The dataset comprises attacks ranging from 1969 to 1950 and spanning the entire globe. Simple and relevant explanations for the graph formation or properties are not likely to be found, since the mechanisms behind two different attacks can be entirely different.

**Structure** Half of the nodes are isolated, hence the topological information they carry in the graph is very limited. What is more, because of the transitivity relation described in Section 2.2, connected components are in most of the cases complete, hence isotropic.

**Reliability** Errors have been found in the data. For example nodes Djibouti\_-Youth\_Movement\_19900927 and Armed\_Islamic\_Group\_19950711 have been connected, whereas the first attack took place in Djibouti [1] and the second one in Paris [2]. Hence algorithms using the data must tolerate some error in order to avoid overfitting.

### 4 Predictions

The algorithm used to predict the terror attack location is the following: L

Table 1: Prediction accuracy for different node distance weightings

Weighting		Best skewness $\zeta$	Accuracy
Gaussian:	$w = e^{-d^2/\zeta} - e^{-1/\zeta}$	0.01	50.5%
Log-Exponential:	$w = e^{-d} \log \left( \frac{1+\zeta}{d+\zeta} \right)$	0.1	50 %
Linear:	w = 1 - d	N.A.	47%
Square:	$w = \begin{cases} 1 & d < \zeta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$	0.1	43 %

### 5 Conclusion

## References

- [1] Amnesty Internation Publications, 1 Easton Street, London, *Amnesty Internation Report* 1991, 1991.
- [2] L'Obs, "Attentats de 1995 : chronologie." [fr] Online. https://bit.ly/2ASwNQP, last checked 17 January 2019, October 2007.