

AI Assignment - 2 Theory

Aditya Aggarwal
(2022028)

Answer 1

Recall Notations for PL :

R_t signifies that the traffic light is red at given time t .

G_t signifies that the traffic light is green at given time t .

Y_t signifies that the traffic light is yellow at given time t .

Rule 1 :

$$(G_t \vee Y_t \vee R_t) \wedge \neg(G_t \wedge Y_t) \wedge \neg(Y_t \wedge R_t) \wedge \neg(R_t \wedge G_t)$$

Rule 2 :

$$(G_t \Rightarrow Y_{t+1}) \wedge (Y_t \Rightarrow R_{t+1}) \wedge (R_t \Rightarrow G_{t+1})$$

Rule 3 :

$$(\neg(G_t \wedge G_{t+1} \wedge G_{t+2} \wedge G_{t+3})) \wedge (\neg(Y_t \wedge Y_{t+1} \wedge Y_{t+2} \wedge Y_{t+3})) \wedge (\neg(R_t \wedge R_{t+1} \wedge R_{t+2} \wedge R_{t+3}))$$

Answer 2

Defining Predicates :

connected (x, y) : two nodes $x, y \in N$ are connected directly by an edge $\in R$

color (x, c) : a node $x \in N$ has a color $c \in C$

Note that we assume $\text{connected}(x, y) \leftrightarrow \text{connected}(y, x)$

$$1. \quad \forall x \forall y \forall c \text{ connected}(x, y) \Rightarrow \neg (\text{color}(x, c) \wedge \text{color}(y, c))$$

$$x, y \in N, c \in C$$

$$2. \quad \exists x \exists y \text{ color}(x, \text{yellow}) \wedge \text{color}(y, \text{yellow}) \wedge (x \neq y) \wedge$$

$$\forall z (z \neq x \wedge z \neq y) \Rightarrow \neg \text{color}(z, \text{yellow})$$

$$x, y, z \in N; \text{yellow is a constant} \in C.$$

$$3. \quad \forall x \text{ color}(x, \text{red}) \Rightarrow \exists y \text{ color}(y, \text{green}) \wedge (x \neq y) \wedge$$

$$(\exists z_1 \text{ connected}(x, z_1) \wedge \text{connected}(z_1, y) \wedge (x \neq z_1 \neq y))$$

$$\vee (\exists z_1 \exists z_2 \text{ connected}(x, z_1) \wedge \text{connected}(z_1, z_2) \wedge \text{connected}(z_2, y) \wedge$$

$$(x \neq z_1 \neq z_2 \neq y)) \vee (\exists z_1 \exists z_2 \exists z_3 \text{ connected}(x, z_1) \wedge \text{connected}(z_1, z_2)$$

$$\wedge \text{connected}(z_2, z_3) \wedge \text{connected}(z_3, y) \wedge (x \neq z_1 \neq z_2 \neq z_3 \neq y)) \vee$$

$$\text{connected}(x, y) \quad x, y, z_1, z_2, z_3 \in N$$

$$\text{red, green} \in C$$

to shorten this expression, we can define a predicate as follows

$\text{path}(x, y, R')$: There exists a path between 2 nodes x and $y \in N$ consisting of edges $e \in R'$ such that $R' \subset R$.

Now the expression changes to :

$$\forall x \text{ color}(x, \text{red}) \Rightarrow \exists y \text{ color}(y, \text{green}) \wedge (x \neq y) \wedge \exists R' \subset R$$

$$\text{path}(x, y, R') \wedge (1 \leq |R'| \leq 4)$$

$$x, y \in N; \text{red, green} \in C$$

$$4. \forall c \exists x \text{ color}(x, c) \quad x \in N; c \in C$$

$$5. (\forall c \forall x \forall y \text{ color}(x, c) \wedge \text{color}(y, c) \wedge (x \neq y) \Rightarrow \text{connected}(x, y)) \\ \wedge (\forall c_1 \forall c_2 \forall x \forall y \text{ color}(x, c_1) \wedge \text{color}(y, c_2) \wedge (x \neq y) \wedge (c_1 \neq c_2) \\ \Rightarrow \neg (\text{connected}(x, y))) \\ x, y \in N; c, c_1, c_2 \in C$$

Answer 3

Defining propositional conditions:

R : a creature can read

L : a creature is literate

D : the creature is a dolphin

I : a creature is intelligent

Defining predicate conditions:

read(x) : x can read

literate(x) : x is literate

dolphin(x) : x is a dolphin

intelligent(x) : x is intelligent

$$1. \text{ PL : } R \Rightarrow L$$

$$\text{ FOL : } \forall x \text{ read}(x) \Rightarrow \text{literate}(x)$$

$$2. \text{ PL : } D \Rightarrow \neg L$$

$$\text{ FOL : } \forall x \text{ dolphin}(x) \Rightarrow \neg (\text{literate}(x))$$

$$3. \text{ PL : We cannot represent Existential quantifiers in PL}$$

$$\text{ FOL : } \exists x \text{ dolphin}(x) \wedge \text{intelligent}(x)$$

$$4. \text{ PL : PL does not exist due to above mentioned reason}$$

$$\text{ FOL : } \exists x \text{ intelligent}(x) \wedge \neg \text{read}(x)$$

$$5. \exists x (\text{dolphin}(x) \wedge \text{intelligent}(x) \wedge \text{read}(x)) \wedge \forall y (\text{dolphin}(y) \wedge \text{intelligent}(y) \wedge \text{read}(y) \Rightarrow \neg \text{literate}(y))$$

The above was FOL. PL does not exist due to use of existential quantifiers.

checking satisfiability of Statement 4 using statements 1, 2 & 3.

Converting to CNF :

$$R_1 \equiv \forall x (\neg \text{read}(x) \vee \text{literate}(x))$$

$$R_2 \equiv \forall x (\neg \text{dolphin}(x) \vee \text{literate}(x))$$

$$R_3 \equiv \exists x \neg (\neg \text{dolphin}(x) \vee \neg \text{intelligent}(x))$$

We have the conjunction of these statements as KB.

$$KB \wedge \neg \alpha \text{ ~~should satisfy~~, } KB \equiv R_1 \wedge R_2 \wedge R_3$$

$$R_4 \equiv \neg \alpha \equiv \neg \exists x (\text{intelligent}(x) \wedge \neg \text{read}(x)) \\ \equiv \forall (\neg \text{intelligent}(x) \vee \text{read}(x))$$

let y be a dolphin that satisfies R_3

$$R_5 \equiv \text{dolphin}(y)$$

$$R_6 \equiv \text{intelligent}(y)$$

$$\text{Resolving } R_2 \text{ and } R_5 : R_7 \equiv \neg \text{literate}(y)$$

$$\text{Resolving } R_1 \text{ and } R_7 : R_8 \equiv \neg \text{read}(y)$$

$$\text{Resolving } R_4 \text{ and } R_8 : R_9 \equiv \neg \text{intelligent}(y)$$

Resolving R_6 and R_9 gives an empty clause.

\therefore Thus statement-4 is satisfiable.

checking satisfiability of statement 5 using statements 1, 2, 3 & 4.

R_1, R_2, R_3 remained as previously defined.

$$R_4 \equiv \exists x \neg (\neg \text{intelligent}(x) \vee \text{read}(x))$$

$$\alpha : \exists x (\text{dolphin}(x) \wedge \text{intelligent}(x) \wedge \text{read}(x)) \wedge \forall y (\text{dolphin}(y) \wedge \text{intelligent}(y) \wedge \text{read}(y) \rightarrow \neg \text{literate}(y))$$

$$KB \equiv R_1 \wedge R_2 \wedge R_3 \wedge R_4$$

checking $KB \wedge \neg \alpha$

α is a disjunction, let $\alpha \equiv \alpha_1 \vee \alpha_2$
sub sentence $\neg \alpha_1 \equiv \exists x (\neg \text{dolphin}(x) \vee \neg \text{intelligent}(x) \vee \neg \text{read}(x))$
let dolphin y satisfy R_3 .

$$R_6 \equiv \text{dolphin}(y)$$

$$R_7 \equiv \text{intelligent}(y)$$

let z satisfy R_4 .

$$R_8 \equiv \text{intelligent}(z)$$

$$R_9 \equiv \neg \text{read}(z)$$

Resolving R_2 with R_6 : $R_{10} \equiv \neg \text{literate}(y)$

Resolving R_{10} with R_1 : $R_{11} \equiv \neg \text{read}(y)$

R_{11} does not resolve with any other clause.

An empty clause could not be achieved through resolution.

\therefore Thus, statement 5 is unsatisfiable.