


PROCESS PEER REVIEW

During this project you have worked together as a group. During this collaboration you may have noticed certain good or bad behaviour from yourself, your group members, or your group as a whole. This process peer review exists out of two parts: 1) Group dynamics with Lewis self-test and reflection, 2) constructive feedback about behaviour during group work.

Be sure to submit the process peer review on time as it will be discussed during the project meeting with you tutor.

1. GROUP DYNAMICS REALTED CULTURAL DIFFERENCES

Include below the *Lewis triangular diagram*, containing plot of all group members, you made during WKS:



Specify below where do you see potential synergies and frictions between group members related to the expectation of PRJ?

According to the high difference between our score on the Linear score, I think that we might face some issues when it comes to planning and scheduling because some members like to work according to plans and think logically more than other members.

But on the other hand, according to our score on Multi-active score, all of us seem to be on the social side, which will for sure help us to communicate better with each other. That can also help us to reach a fast result when deciding on something.

2. CONSTRUCTIVE FEEDBACK

Below you find a table that is intended for you to convey what your impression is related to the work contribution of each member towards the project. Please indicate in percentages how you perceived the work division was per item.

Item worked on	Aya	Erfan	Alaa	Alexander
Process (making agenda's, minutes, being chairperson, etc.)	75%	10%	10%	5%
Project plan	35%	32.5%	32.5%	0%
URS	35%	35%	15%	15%

<i>Testplan & Testreport</i>	30%	23.3%	23.3%	23.3%
<i>UML Class Diagram</i>	35%	25%	35%	5%
<i>C# application</i>	31.6%	31.6%	31.6%	5%
<i>Web application</i>	0%	0%	0%	0%

Lastly, below you can find a table that is intended for you to include constructive feedback for your team members. Be sure to at least include one constructive feedback per member and this be about thing to keep and/or to improve at.

	Situation/behaviour	Effect/impact	Need/Way forward
Alaa	I can communicate good with Alaa. He is calm, gives his opinion in a good way, and is not stubborn with his own way or opinion.	This way, it doesn't take long for us to decide something together. Because with talking and communicating, we can easily come out to a conclusion.	Keep being calm and communicative!
Erfan	He takes his work seriously, and he finishes his work no matter how hard it is. He tries everything, even if he knows that its going to be tricky.	I can easily depend on him. When we assign tasks for each other, I know that he is going to do his task no matter what.	Keep working hard!
Alexander	I didn't see much work from him to be able to give him feedback. He doesn't join meetings that much and he never does his tasks on time.	This can lead to delay in our work. If his task is dependant on our tasks, then we have to wait for him until he finishes his work, and since he doesn't finish it on time, it leads to a delay and stress in the group.	Start taking the group work seriously, and interact more!

Below you are expected to assign a grade between 0 and 10 per group member (including yourself) on how well the person contributed to the project, and how proactive this person was.

	Aya	Alaa	Erfan	Alexander
Contribution to project	8	8	8	2
Proactive attitude	8	7	7	0