


PROCESS PEER REVIEW

During this project you have worked together as a group. During this collaboration you may have noticed certain good or bad behaviour from yourself, your group members, or your group as a whole. This process peer review exists out of two parts: 1) Group dynamics with Lewis self-test and reflection, 2) constructive feedback about behaviour during group work.

Be sure to submit the process peer review on time as it will be discussed during the project meeting with you tutor.

1. GROUP DYNAMICS REALTED CULTURAL DIFFERENCES

Include below the *Lewis triangular diagram*, containing plot of all group members, you made during WKS:



Specify below where do you see potential synergies and frictions between group members related to the expectation of PRJ?

In general as a group we can nicely communicate, share idea's and come into a solution however we can spend a little more time on working on the group project if possible.

2. CONSTRUCTIVE FEEDBACK

Below you find a table that is intended for you to convey what your impression is related to the work contribution of each member towards the project. Please indicate in percentages how you perceived the work division was per item.

Item worked on	<Erfan>	<Aya>	<Alaa>	<Alexander>
Process (making agenda's, minutes, being chairperson, etc.)	20%	50%	20%	10%
Project plan	30%	30%	30%	10%
URS	45%	40%	10%	5%
Testplan & Testreport	25%	35%	25%	15%
UML Class Diagram	15%	40%	40%	5%

<i>C# application</i>	30%	30%	30%	10%
<i>Web application</i>	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.

Lastly, below you can find a table that is intended for you to include constructive feedback for your team members. Be sure to at least include one constructive feedback per member and this be about thing to keep and/or to improve at.

	Situation/behaviour	Effect/impact	Need/Way forward
<Aya>	Responsibale and serious	Leads the project towards a successful ending. Encourges the members to do their tasks on time.	Be more open towards other solutions.
<Alaa>	Friendly and mostly responisble	Good collaboration between group members	Choose between different solutions.
<Alexander>	Not responisble	The taks are not getting done on time cause they are dependent on his tasks. We can't count on him as a group member but at the same time we should! It creates a very difficult situation	Be more responisbale and get your tasks done on time

Below you are expected to assign a grade between 0 and 10 per group member (including yourself) on how well the person contributed to the project, and how proactive this person was.

	<Erfan>	<Aya>	<Alaa>	<Alexander>
Contribution to project	9	9	8	1
Proactive attitude	9	9	9	2