


## PROCESS PEER REVIEW

During this project you have worked together as a group. During this collaboration you may have noticed certain good or bad behaviour from yourself, your group members, or your group as a whole. This process peer review exists out of two parts: 1) Group dynamics with Lewis self-test and reflection, 2) constructive feedback about behaviour during group work.

Be sure to submit the process peer review on time as it will be discussed during the project meeting with you tutor.

### 1. GROUP DYNAMICS REALTED CULTURAL DIFFERENCES

Include below the *Lewis triangular diagram*, containing plot of all group members, you made during VKS:



Specify below where do you see potential synergies and frictions between group members related to the expectation of PRJ?

[Click or tap here to enter text.](#)

### 2. CONSTRUCTIVE FEEDBACK

Below you find a table that is intended for you to convey what your impression is related to the work contribution of each member towards the project. Please indicate in percentages how you perceived the work division was per item.

Item worked on	Alaa>	<Aya	<Erfan	<Alex
Process (making agenda's, minutes, being chairperson, etc.)	15%	65%	15%	5%
Project plan	20%	40%	40%	0%
URS	15%	30%	45%	10%
Testplan & Testreport	27.5%	27.5%	27.5%	17.5%
UML Class Diagram	35%	20%	35%	10%
C# application	31%	31%	31%	7%

Web application	0%	0%	0%	0%
-----------------	----	----	----	----

Lastly, below you can find a table that is intended for you to include constructive feedback for your team members. Be sure to at least include one constructive feedback per member and this be about thing to keep and/or to improve at.

	<b>Situation/behaviour</b>	<b>Effect/impact</b>	<b>Need/Way forward</b>
Aya	Keep being pro active. She is communicating good with all members and keeping track on our progress	This helps us to be always on track and gives us motivation to keep working and catching up	Keep being pro active.
Erfan	He is always on time with his deliverables. But I believe that he has ablity to write more efficient, better queality code.	I believe that he is going to be done with his tasks as expected	Focus more on your work, you will get better results
Alex	I have seen only few work from him. Poor communication. No intraction with groub members	It is risky to depend on somone where his task might be done or not. It cause delay in our work	Try to communicate more and show more commitment to the group project.

Below you are expected to assign a grade between 0 and 10 per group member (including yourself) on how well the person contributed to the project, and how proactive this person was.

	Alaa	Aya	Erfan	Alex
<b>Contribution to project</b>	8	8	8	2
<b>Proactive attitude</b>	7	9	8	0