Case Study

on

Guidelines or practices on how to conduct surveys with Aboriginal peoples.

Ayan Krishna Paul

Introduction:

Surveys and research involving Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples have historically been fraught with complexities related to cultural sensitivity, ethical considerations, and methodological appropriateness. The way that research is approached and carried out within these communities has significantly changed as a result of the growing awareness of these issues. This review of the literature aims to investigate and critically evaluate the changing policies and procedures that control survey methods with Indigenous peoples, with a focus on the significance of culturally appropriate methods that actively incorporate and respect Indigenous knowledge systems and governance structures. Current research, like the Western Australian Aboriginal Child Health Survey, highlights a critical shift toward more inclusive research frameworks that give priority to community-driven objectives and Aboriginal leadership. In order to ensure that research is not just about Aboriginal communities, but also conducted with and for them, this survey is part of a larger trend that involves involving these communities in the research process from inception to dissemination. Surveys and research involving Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples have historically been fraught with complexities related to cultural sensitivity, ethical considerations, and methodological appropriateness.

Researcher adaptation strategies to address historical challenges with participation and representation of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander populations in surveys are further demonstrated by the introduction of novel sampling techniques in studies like the Mayi Kuwayu Study. In order to create a solid evidence base on which to base policies and interventions that successfully address the particular needs of these communities, it is imperative that methods aimed at improving inclusivity and accuracy of data collection be employed.

With the help of a number of recent research projects and critical analyses, this review will methodically dissect these approaches in order to provide a thorough overview of the methods used currently to survey Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. In order to improve policy and health outcomes for Indigenous communities, it is intended to identify best practices, highlight gaps, and recommend future directions for scientifically rigorous and culturally appropriate research.

Historical Context and Evolution of Survey Practices:

Research has historically been viewed with suspicion because survey procedures involving Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples in Australia frequently took place without adequate community consultation or consent. But there has been a noticeable movement over time toward more moral and culturally aware approaches. This shift is primarily the result of increased lobbying by Indigenous leaders who seek beneficial and respectful research methodologies. This evolution is exemplified by surveys such as the Western Australian Aboriginal Child Health Survey (WAACHS), which places a high priority on extensive community consultations and Aboriginal leadership. This method effectively addresses particular health needs by deeply engaging communities in addition to collecting data. In addition, the Mayi Kuwayu Study presents novel techniques for sampling that improve participation and data quality with the goal of dismantling long-standing obstacles to inclusivity in Indigenous research.

The development of survey methods in Indigenous contexts has been marked by an increasing focus on culturally aware approaches that involve community involvement and engagement throughout the process. These methods are essential for guaranteeing that research is acceptable and relevant to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities. In Aboriginal contexts, community engagement has emerged as a crucial component of survey design and execution. Research initiatives that are driven by the community are becoming more prevalent, as evidenced by the Western Australian Aboriginal Child Health Survey (WAACHS). This survey's planning, execution, and reporting were all greatly aided by the direction provided by an Aboriginal Steering Committee. In order to ensure that the community's voice influenced the research process from beginning to end, the survey's methodology and procedures were developed through extensive consultations with Aboriginal leaders, community councils, parents, and service providers.

Methodology:

Specifically addressing the special difficulties involved in involving Indigenous populations in large-scale health research, the Western Australian Aboriginal Child Health Survey (WAACHS) is a groundbreaking attempt to modify survey methodology to align with the cultural needs and sensitivities of Aboriginal communities. The survey's methodology took into account cultural customs, such as consulting with community elders before entering communities to conduct the survey. The communities involved's cooperation and trust-building were greatly aided by this respect for customary decision-making procedures. The WAACHS created the Level of Relative Isolation (LORI) index in recognition of the particular geographic difficulties in surveying Aboriginal populations. By customizing the data analysis to represent the lived realities of Aboriginal families living in remote areas, this tool helped researchers better understand and interpret the impact of geographic isolation on health outcomes.

Level of relative isolation	ARIA++ range	Proportion of WAACHS children %
None (Perth Metropolitan area)	0 – 0.2	30.9
Low	0.2 – 8	31.7
Moderate	8 – 13	18.3
High	13 – 17	9.8
Extreme	17 – 18	9.1

FIGURE 1: LEVEL OF RELATIVE ISOLATION (LORI) CATEGORIES

To accommodate the logistical and cultural demands of the target population, the survey team modified conventional sampling techniques. This involved ensuring a representative cross-section of Aboriginal children from different backgrounds and regions by combining purposive and area sampling. The community liaisons' advice and assistance, who promoted trust and communication between researchers and participants, was crucial to the recruitment strategy. Cultural sensitivity was carefully considered in the design of the data collection techniques. The survey, for instance, featured in-person interviews with members of the Aboriginal community who were accompanied by non-Aboriginal staff or by trained Aboriginal staff. By encouraging participants to feel more at ease and open to sharing information, this method not only guaranteed cultural sensitivity but also improved the accuracy of the data gathered. Aboriginal health professionals and community members provided invaluable feedback during the questionnaire's development, ensuring that its wording, structure, and relevance to the

participants' cultural context were all taken into consideration. A wide range of health, psychological, and educational subjects were covered by the questionnaire, which produced an extensive dataset that could be used to inform practice and policy in a way that takes cultural sensitivity into account.

A ground-breaking national longitudinal study on the health and well-being of adult Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people, the Mayi Kuwayu Study is renowned for its extensive geographic coverage and creative sampling techniques. The study's design represents a substantial departure from traditional methods and demonstrates a strong dedication to inclusivity, cultural sensitivity, and scientific rigor.

Mayi Kuwayu used a multifaceted sampling approach to guarantee a large and diverse pool of participants. Among these are:

stratified random sampling: involves selecting participants from the Medicare Enrollment Database in order to create a well-organized and statistically sound sample that closely matches the demographic makeup of the adult Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander community.

Convenience sampling: involves recruiting participants at community gatherings and places where adult Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people are likely to congregate. This allows for the inclusion of individuals who might not be included in more structured sampling frameworks.

snowball sampling: Using community networks, snowball sampling entails letting current study participants find new participants, expanding the study's reach into more community networks.

Voluntary Sampling: Encouraging self-selection through media calls and promotions so that those who are driven to share their experiences can readily participate in the research.

Table 1 Characteristics of participants in the Mayi Kuwayu baseline sample

Characteristics	n	%
Sex		
Male	3729	37.9
Female	5858	59.5
Other	11	0.1
Missing	245	2.5
Age group		
16–17	176	1.8
18–39	2787	28.3
40–59	3719	37.8
60 plus	2834	28.8
Missing	327	3.3
State/Territory		
New South Wales	3324	33.8
Victoria	949	9.6
Queensland	2644	26.9
South Australia	424	4.3
Western Australia	1092	11.1
Tasmania	503	5.1
Northern Territory	683	6.9
Australian Capital Territory	144	1.5
Missing	80	0.8
Remoteness		
Major Cities of Australia	4048	41.1
Inner Regional Australia	2817	28.6
Outer Regional Australia	1864	18.9
Remote Australia	405	4.1
Very Remote Australia	667	6.8
Missing	42	0.4
Total	9843	

FIGURE 2: MAYI KUWAYU BASELINE SAMPLE

The large geographic diversity of the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander population—which spans from urban areas to remote areas—was taken into consideration in the study's design. This adaptability guaranteed thorough data collection in a variety of contexts, improving the findings' representativeness. Under the direction of an Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander governance group, the study was developed with a focus on Indigenous data sovereignty. This made sure that the Indigenous communities were involved in the study's design and that their consent was obtained for all phases of data collection and analysis.

The methodological innovations and adaptations of the Mayi Kuwayu Study have profound implications for public health research and policy targeting Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander populations. The study’s approach addresses historical challenges in engaging Indigenous populations in research, providing a robust model for respectful, ethical, and effective research practices.

The cross-sectional health survey conducted in South Australia's Aboriginal communities poses particular challenges that this study outlines a comprehensive strategy to address. Specifically

crafted to surmount obstacles frequently encountered in such research contexts, the researchers devised and executed an array of methodological innovations and adaptations.

An innovation in the field of public health research with Indigenous populations, the study used a community-based participatory research (CBPR) approach. Community members are involved in every step of the research process with this approach, from planning to carrying out and sharing the results. By doing this, the research will be more likely to take into account cultural differences and to address the real needs and concerns of the community. Local Aboriginal health workers were creatively integrated into the research process by the research team. Adaptive sampling techniques were used in the study because it acknowledged the diversity of geography, culture, and social structures among the Aboriginal populations. Stratified sampling was employed to guarantee that every subgroup within the community was suitably represented, thereby augmenting the study's overall validity and the practicality of its conclusions.

The purpose of the study was to find out how common diabetes is in adult Aboriginal people who are 15 years of age or older. A sample size of 527 people with an estimated 15% diabetes rate, 16,265 Aboriginal adults in the population, and an error margin of ±3.0 percent at a 95% confidence level were determined using Epi-Info. Collection Districts (CDs) were chosen using a multi-stage sampling technique that took into account the remoteness and density of the Aboriginal population in each CD. With the aid of SPSS software, CDs were chosen at random, yielding 193 distinct CDs. Structured contact screening was used to find eligible homes within these CDs; up to four eligible people could be targeted per metropolitan or rural CD, and up to ten in remote areas. All identified adult Aboriginal residents in a dwelling were included in the outreach to Aboriginal residents regarding participation. Data collection for that CD ended when the required number of eligible participants was attained.

Data Analyses:

To manage the stratified and clustered design of the survey, which included over 5,000 children, researchers used sophisticated statistical techniques in the Western Australian Aboriginal Child Health Survey (WAACHS). In order to account for sample design and investigate health determinants at the individual and community levels, multilevel modeling and weighted data

were essential. In order to determine how remoteness affects health outcomes, the survey also included geographic analysis using the Level of Relative Isolation (LORI) index.

The Mayi Kuwayu Study was a longitudinal research project that employed survival analysis and repeated measures to monitor changes in the health of adult Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander participants over time. Statistical tests were used in this study's comparative analysis to determine whether the sample was representative of the overall population distribution and to pinpoint any notable variations in geographic distribution.

Data analysis mainly used descriptive statistics to outline the health status of South Australian Aboriginal adults for the Culturally Appropriate Methodology in that state. Later, relationships between various predictors and health outcomes were investigated using inferential statistics. The interpretation of the data was noteworthy for being intricately entwined with cultural contexts. The outcomes were discussed and given context through partnerships with community leaders, guaranteeing that the conclusions were culturally relevant.

Conclusion:

The findings of the Mayi Kuwayu Study, the South Australian cross-sectional study, and the Western Australian Aboriginal Child Health Survey (WAACHS) offer vital insights into efficient research methodologies for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities. Using a sophisticated multilevel modeling approach, the WAACHS revealed the complex effects of isolation on health outcomes while accounting for the challenges of sampling across different geographic areas. The creative use of several sampling techniques, such as stratified random sampling and snowball sampling, in the Mayi Kuwayu Study enabled a thorough longitudinal analysis that monitored changes in health over time, emphasizing the important influence of cultural factors on health. The South Australian study showed the value of community engagement in obtaining representative and trustworthy data by adapting sampling techniques to fit cultural norms. Taken as a whole, these studies highlight how culturally appropriate sampling designs can improve the validity and relevance of health research in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities, resulting in more informed health policies and treatments.

References:

Telethon Kids Institute. (2001). Western Australian Aboriginal Child Health Survey: The health of Aboriginal children and young people. Retrieved from

https://www.telethonkids.org.au/globalassets/media/documents/aboriginal-health/waachs-vol1/western_australian_aboriginal_child_health_survey_ch1.pdf

Thandrayen, J., Walker, J., Chapman, J., Lovett, R., & Thurber, K. A. (2024). Sampling approaches and geographic coverage in Mayi Kuwayu: The national study of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander wellbeing. BMC Research Notes, 17(26).

https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-024-06692-0

Marin, T., Taylor, A. W., Dal Grande, E., Avery, J., Tucker, G., & Morey, K. (2015). Culturally appropriate methodology in obtaining a representative sample of South Australian Aboriginal adults for a cross-sectional population health study: Challenges and resolutions. BMC Research Notes, 8(200).

https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-015-1080-5